

Ajitesh Chhibber

AJ_thesis-7-56_merged-1-50

 M.Tech Thesis

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid:::27535:143797844

Submission Date

Jun 21, 2026, 10:49 PM GMT+5:30

Download Date

Jun 21, 2026, 10:52 PM GMT+5:30

File Name

AJ_thesis-7-56_merged-1-50.pdf

File Size

16.4 MB

50 Pages

7,596 Words

46,298 Characters

8% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Filtered from the Report

- Bibliography
- Small Matches (less than 14 words)

Match Groups

-  **38 Not Cited or Quoted 8%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **0 Missing Quotations 0%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 5%  Internet sources
- 2%  Publications
- 5%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Integrity Flags

0 Integrity Flags for Review

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

Match Groups

- 38 Not Cited or Quoted 8%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- 0 Missing Quotations 0%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
- 0 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- 0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 5% Internet sources
- 2% Publications
- 5% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Internet	cdn.juris.id	<1%
2	Student papers	Miri, Sarawak on 2026-06-10	<1%
3	Internet	ijsrem.com	<1%
4	Student papers	Dibrugarh University, Assam on 2026-06-02	<1%
5	Student papers	Strathmore University (Main Account) on 2026-03-26	<1%
6	Internet	rstudio-pubs-static.s3.amazonaws.com	<1%
7	Student papers	Griffith College Dublin on 2025-09-06	<1%
8	Student papers	Liverpool John Moores University on 2025-11-22	<1%
9	Student papers	University of Queensland on 2026-06-07	<1%
10	Student papers	University of Hertfordshire on 2026-04-25	<1%

11	Internet	www.ijert.org	<1%
12	Internet	medium.com	<1%
13	Publication	Abdul Wahid Shah, Kang Wang, Jabir Ali Siddique, Javid Hussain, Wenfang Li. "Exp...	<1%
14	Internet	kth.diva-portal.org	<1%
15	Publication	Harinder Kaur, Punam Kumari, Nilesh Shelke, Sarabjit Kaur, Suresh Babu Thandul...	<1%
16	Publication	Johnson, William Marshall. "The Role of Redox Enzymes in Parkinson's Disease.", ...	<1%
17	Publication	Mohammad Abbas, Martins Zaumanis. "Methodology for Physics-Informed Neura...	<1%
18	Student papers	University of SWAT on 2025-08-26	<1%
19	Internet	qspace.library.queensu.ca	<1%
20	Student papers	Liverpool John Moores University on 2026-05-31	<1%
21	Student papers	Strathmore University (Main Account) on 2026-03-26	<1%
22	Internet	neuropuncture.com	<1%
23	Internet	peerj.com	<1%
24	Internet	scholar.sun.ac.za	<1%

25	Student papers	Griffith College Dublin on 2026-05-24	<1%
26	Student papers	University of Hertfordshire on 2026-04-26	<1%
27	Internet	arxiv.org	<1%
28	Internet	blender.cs.illinois.edu	<1%
29	Internet	etd.aau.edu.et	<1%
30	Internet	mdpi-res.com	<1%
31	Internet	prjcs.com	<1%
32	Internet	unsworks.unsw.edu.au	<1%
33	Internet	uwspace.uwaterloo.ca	<1%
34	Internet	www.frontiersin.org	<1%

ABSTRACT

Keywords— Parkinson’s Disease, Disease Progression Prediction, Explainable Artificial Intelligence, Temporal Transformer, FAETT, SHAP Analysis, Deep Learning, Attention Mechanism, Parkinson Telemonitoring Dataset.

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder characterized by the gradual deterioration of motor functions, significantly affecting the quality of life of patients. Accurate prediction of disease progression is essential for timely clinical intervention, treatment planning, and personalized patient management. Traditional machine learning approaches often treat clinical observations as independent samples, limiting their ability to capture the temporal dynamics inherent in longitudinal patient data. Although deep learning models such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks have demonstrated improved temporal modeling capabilities, they may struggle to effectively capture long-range dependencies present in disease progression trajectories.

This thesis presents an Explainable Feature-Aware Enhanced Temporal Transformer (FAETT) framework for predicting Parkinson’s disease progression using longitudinal voice-based biomarkers from the Parkinson Telemonitoring dataset obtained from the UCI Machine Learning Repository. The proposed framework integrates temporal sequence modeling with self-attention mechanisms to learn complex relationships across historical patient observations. A comprehensive preprocessing pipeline involving data cleaning, feature scaling, temporal sequence generation, and patient-wise train-test partitioning is employed to ensure robust model development and unbiased evaluation.

To assess the effectiveness of the proposed approach, FAETT is compared against three baseline models: Random Forest (RF), Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), and a standard Transformer architecture. Model performance is evaluated using Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), and the coefficient of determination (R^2). Experimental results demonstrate that the proposed FAETT model achieves superior predictive performance, attaining an MAE of 1.2688, RMSE of 1.6457, and R^2 score of 0.9754, outperforming the baseline approaches. The findings indicate that the incorporation of feature-aware temporal attention significantly enhances the model’s ability to capture disease progression patterns.

To address the interpretability requirements of clinical decision-support systems, SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) analysis is integrated into the framework. The explainability analysis identifies the most influential vocal biomarkers contributing to disease progression prediction and provides transparent insights into model behavior. Correlation analysis, residual diagnostics, and prediction-performance visualizations further validate the robustness and reliability of the proposed framework.

The results demonstrate that the proposed FAETT architecture effectively combines predictive accuracy with model interpretability, making it a promising tool for explainable disease progression forecasting in Parkinson's disease. The study highlights the potential of attention-based temporal learning and explainable artificial intelligence techniques for advancing data-driven healthcare applications.

Contents

Candidate's Declaration	i
Certificate	ii
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgement	v
List of Figures	x
List of Tables	xi
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Motivation and Problem Statement	2
1.3 research objectives	3
1.4 Scope of the Study	4
1.5 research contributions	4
1.6 thesis organisation	5
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Parkinson's Disease Prediction Using Machine Learning	7
2.3 Deep Learning Approaches for Parkinson's Disease Prediction	8
2.4 Long Short-Term Memory Networks	9
2.5 Transformer-Based Models	9
2.6 Explainable Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare	10
2.7 Comparative Analysis of Existing Studies	10
2.8 Research Gap Analysis	11

2.9	Need for the Proposed Work	13
CHAPTER 3: Dataset Description and Preprocessing		14
3.1	Introduction	14
3.2	Parkinson Telemonitoring Dataset	14
3.2.1	Dataset Characteristics	14
3.2.2	Feature Description	15
3.3	Exploratory Data Analysis	16
3.4	Correlation Analysis	16
3.5	Data Cleaning	17
3.6	Feature Normalization	17
3.7	Temporal Sequence Generation	17
3.7.1	Sliding Window Method	17
3.8	Sequence Dataset Construction	18
3.9	Train-Test Partitioning	18
3.10	Preprocessing Pipeline	19
CHAPTER 4: Proposed Methodology		20
4.1	Introduction	20
4.2	Overall Proposed Framework	20
4.3	Baseline Models	21
4.3.1	Random Forest	21
4.3.2	Long Short-Term Memory Network	22
4.3.3	Transformer Model	22
4.4	Proposed FAETT Architecture	22
4.4.1	Architecture Overview	22
4.4.2	Temporal Input Representation	22
4.4.3	Feature Embedding Layer	23
4.4.4	Multi-Head Self-Attention	23
4.4.5	Residual Connection and Normalization	24
4.4.6	Feed Forward Network	24
4.4.7	Temporal Aggregation Layer	24
4.4.8	Output Layer	24

4.5	SHAP-Based Explainability	24
4.5.1	SHAP Additive Explanation Model	25
4.5.2	Global Feature Importance	25
4.5.3	SHAP Summary Plot	25
4.6	Model Training and Optimization	26

CHAPTER 5: Experimental Setup 27

5.1	Introduction	27
5.2	Experimental Environment	27
5.3	Dataset Configuration	28
5.4	Hyperparameter Configuration	28
5.5	Evaluation Metrics	28
5.5.1	Mean Absolute Error	28
5.5.2	Root Mean Squared Error	29
5.5.3	Coefficient of Determination	29

CHAPTER 6: Results and Discussion 30

6.1	Introduction	30
6.2	Exploratory Data Analysis Results	30
6.3	Random Forest Results	30
6.3.1	Actual vs Predicted Analysis	30
6.3.2	Residual Analysis	31
6.4	LSTM Results	31
6.4.1	Actual vs Predicted Analysis	32
6.4.2	Residual Analysis	32
6.5	Transformer Results	32
6.5.1	Training Convergence	32
6.5.2	Actual vs Predicted Analysis	34
6.5.3	Residual Analysis	34
6.6	Proposed FAETT Model Results	34
6.6.1	Training and Validation Loss Analysis	35
6.6.2	Actual vs Predicted Analysis	35
6.6.3	Residual Analysis	37

6.7	SHAP Explainability Analysis	37
6.7.1	SHAP Summary Plot	38
6.7.2	SHAP Feature Importance Analysis	38
6.8	Quantitative Performance Comparison	39
6.9	Discussion	40

CHAPTER 7: Conclusion and Future Work 41

7.1	Conclusion	41
7.2	Major Contributions	41
7.3	Limitations	42
7.4	Future Work	42



List of Tables

Table 2.1 : Comparative Analysis of Existing Literature	12
Table 3.1 : Dataset Overview	15
Table 3.2 : Feature Categories in the Parkinson Telemonitoring Dataset	15
Table 3.3 : Training and Testing Dataset Dimensions	19
Table 5.1 : Experimental Environment	27
Table 5.2 : Dataset Dimensions After Sequence Generation	28
Table 5.3 : Hyperparameter Configuration	29
Table 6.1 : Comparative Performance of Evaluated Models	40

List of Figures

Figure 3.1 :	Correlation Heatmap of Parkinson Telemonitoring Features	16
Figure 3.2 :	Data Preprocessing and Sequence Generation Pipeline	19
Figure 4.1 :	Overall Framework of the Proposed Study	21
Figure 4.2 :	Architecture of the Proposed Feature-Aware Enhanced Temporal Trans- former	23
Figure 4.3 :	SHAP Summary Plot for the Proposed FAETT Model	25
Figure 6.2 :	Residual Analysis of Random Forest	31
Figure 6.3 :	Actual vs Predicted Total UPDRS Values using LSTM	32
Figure 6.4 :	Residual Analysis of LSTM	33
Figure 6.5 :	Training and Validation Loss Curve of Transformer	33
Figure 6.6 :	Actual vs Predicted Total UPDRS Values using Transformer	34
Figure 6.7 :	Residual Analysis of Transformer	35
Figure 6.8 :	Training and Validation Loss Curve of the Proposed FAETT Model	36
Figure 6.9 :	Actual versus Predicted Total UPDRS Values using FAETT	36
Figure 6.10 :	Residual Analysis of the Proposed FAETT Model	37
Figure 6.11 :	SHAP Summary Plot of the Proposed FAETT Model	38
Figure 6.12 :	Global Feature Importance Based on SHAP Values	39

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

One of the most prevalent neurodegenerative diseases affecting millions of people across the world is called **Parkinson's Disease (PD)** [1]. It is **the progressive loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra region of the brain**, which causes problems with motor and non-motor functions. Symptoms include tremor, rigidity, bradykinesia, postural instability, speech impairments and dementia. As the disease progresses, patients find it harder and harder to carry out everyday tasks and find their normal life is greatly impacted.

An accurate prediction of disease progression is crucial for effective clinical management, treatment planning and personalized healthcare interventions. The severity of Parkinson's disease has traditionally been evaluated based on clinical examination and scale scores from standardized rating scales such as the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) [1,2]. Such evaluations are useful, but are subjective, time consuming and require regular trips to the hospital.

With the advent of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML), new opportunities are introduced to develop automated system that can predict the disease progression based on biomedical and voice-based biomarkers. The rise in the Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) has opened new possibilities to design an automated system that can predict the disease progression based on biomedical and voice-based biomarkers. Such systems can help clinicians monitor patients remotely and detect trends in disease in the early stages. But many conventional approaches to machine learning assume that patient observations are independent samples and do not account for

temporal relationships in the data that are contained in medical data collected over time.

Self-attention mechanisms have been utilized in recent Success in sequence modeling using Deep Learning, specifically using Transformer-based architectures, that are known for being able to model long-range dependencies successfully [3]. Furthermore, Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) has become a relevant research field [6, 7] to enhance the transparency and interpretability of predictive modelling adopted in healthcare applications.

In this thesis, a Framework for Explainable Feature-Aware Enhanced Temporal Transformer (FAETT) is presented for the prediction of Parkinson's disease progression based on the longitudinal information from the telemonitoring visits. The proposed framework integrates temporal sequence modeling and explainability using the SHAP framework, providing high prediction accuracy while delivering transparent decision-making. The performance of the proposed model is tested and compared to the baseline models such as Random Forest (RF), Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), and a standard Transformer model.

1.2 Motivation and Problem Statement

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder that affects millions of people worldwide and significantly impacts motor and non-motor functions. Accurate prediction of disease progression is essential for effective clinical management, treatment planning, and personalized healthcare interventions. The increasing availability of telemonitoring technologies and biomedical data has created opportunities for developing intelligent systems capable of forecasting disease severity using machine learning and deep learning techniques.

Traditional machine learning approaches such as Random Forest, Support Vector Machine, and Decision Trees have demonstrated promising performance in analyzing Parkinson's disease data. However, these methods generally treat patient observations as independent samples and fail to capture the temporal dependencies present in longitudinal healthcare datasets. As a result, their ability to model disease progression patterns over time remains limited.

Deep learning architectures, particularly Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks,

have improved temporal modeling by learning sequential relationships among patient observations. Nevertheless, LSTM-based approaches often face challenges in capturing long-range temporal dependencies and require sequential processing, which increases computational complexity. Furthermore, many deep learning models operate as black-box systems, providing limited insight into the factors influencing their predictions.

Transformer-based architectures have recently emerged as powerful alternatives for sequence modeling due to their self-attention mechanisms, which enable the learning of long-range temporal relationships while supporting parallel computation. Despite their strong predictive capabilities, standard Transformer models generally lack sufficient interpretability, which restricts their adoption in healthcare environments where transparency and trust are essential.

Therefore, there is a need for an advanced predictive framework capable of:

1. Capturing temporal dependencies present in longitudinal patient observations.
2. Learning complex nonlinear relationships among voice-based and clinical biomarkers.
3. Providing interpretable predictions that can support clinical decision-making.
4. Achieving superior predictive performance compared to conventional machine learning and deep learning approaches.

To address these challenges, this research proposes an Explainable Feature-Aware Enhanced Temporal Transformer (FAETT) framework for Parkinson's disease progression prediction. The proposed framework integrates Transformer-based temporal learning with SHAP-based explainability to achieve both high predictive accuracy and transparent decision-making, thereby enhancing the practical applicability of artificial intelligence in healthcare environments.

1.3 research objectives

This research focuses on developing an explainable deep learning approach to predict how Parkinson's disease progresses over time using patient telemonitoring data.

The specific objectives are:

1. To preprocess and analyze the Parkinson Telemonitoring dataset obtained from the UCI Machine Learning Repository.
2. To generate temporal sequences from longitudinal patient observations for disease progression modeling.
3. To implement and evaluate baseline models including Random Forest, Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), and Transformer architectures.
4. To develop the proposed Feature-Aware Enhanced Temporal Transformer (FAETT) model.
5. To compare the predictive performance of all models using MAE, RMSE, and R^2 evaluation metrics.
6. To incorporate SHAP-based explainability for interpreting model predictions and identifying influential biomarkers.

1.4 Scope of the Study

This study focuses on predicting Total UPDRS scores using the Parkinson Telemonitoring dataset. The work compares Random Forest, LSTM, Transformer, and the proposed FAETT model. Performance is evaluated using MAE, RMSE and R^2 . SHAP analysis is used to interpret predictions. The study does not address diagnosis, treatment recommendation or clinical deployment.

1.5 research contributions

The major contributions of this thesis are summarized as follows:

1. Development of a novel Feature-Aware Enhanced Temporal Transformer (FAETT) framework for Parkinson's disease progression prediction using longitudinal patient data.
2. Design and implementation of a temporal sequence generation pipeline for capturing disease progression patterns from historical patient observations.

3. Comprehensive comparative analysis of Random Forest, LSTM, Transformer, and the proposed FAETT model using standard regression performance metrics.
4. Integration of SHAP-based explainability to provide transparent and interpretable predictions suitable for healthcare applications.
5. Identification of the most influential voice-based biomarkers contributing to disease progression prediction through feature importance analysis.
6. Demonstration of improved predictive performance and generalization capability of the proposed FAETT model compared with conventional machine learning and deep learning approaches. ““

1.6 thesis organisation

- **Chapter 2: Literature Review** presents a review of existing studies related to Parkinson’s disease progression prediction, machine learning techniques, Transformer architectures, and explainable artificial intelligence methods.
- **Chapter 3: Dataset Description and Preprocessing** describes the Parkinson Tele-monitoring dataset, data preprocessing procedures, exploratory data analysis, and temporal sequence generation methodology.
- **Chapter 4: Proposed Methodology** presents the architecture and components of the proposed Feature-Aware Enhanced Temporal Transformer (FAETT) framework along with the SHAP-based explainability mechanism.
- **Chapter 5: Experimental Setup** discusses the implementation details, experimental environment, hyperparameter settings, training strategy, and evaluation metrics used in this study.
- **Chapter 6: Results and Discussion** presents the experimental results, comparative performance analysis, visualization studies, SHAP explainability analysis, and discussion of findings.
- **Chapter 7: Conclusion and Future Work** summarizes the major contributions of the research, highlights key findings, discusses limitations, and outlines potential

directions for future work.



Chapter 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a progressive disease affecting both motor and non-motor functions. The rise of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and the growing amount of healthcare data have fueled the creation of predictive models for diagnosis, severity evaluation, and prognosis in the field of healthcare. The use of machine learning and deep learning methods was found to have great promise in analyzing biomedical data and detecting complex patterns related to disease progression.

In the past few years, multiple methods were employed for Parkinson's disease prediction including classic machine learning algorithms and sophisticated deep learning architectures. In more recent years, Transformer models and Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) techniques have gained significant interest for their enhanced predictive capabilities and the interpretability of the models. The existing literature is reviewed with respect to Parkinson's disease progression prediction, machine learning techniques, deep learning architectures, Transformer-based models, and explainability techniques, and the identified research gap which is filled by the proposed FAETT framework.

2.2 Parkinson's Disease Prediction Using Machine Learning

Clinical and voice-based biomarkers have been extensively used for Parkinson's disease diagnosis and prediction of disease progression using machine learning techniques. Algo-

gorithms like Support Vector Machines (SVM), Random Forests (RF), Decision Trees (DT) and Gradient Boosting Machines (GBM) have shown good performance in detecting disease patterns and estimating disease severity.

Little et al. showed that voice-based biomarkers (jitter, shimmer and harmonic-to-noise ratio) can be used to track the progression of Parkinson's disease [1, 2]. Later research was published showing that ensemble learning techniques, like Random Forest, have excellent prediction capabilities and are good at capturing the non-linear interaction between biomedical features [5, 8]. While effective, conventional machine learning methods often assume that observations are independent, whereas longitudinal patient data has temporal dependencies among observations. This means that they are unable to provide a comprehensive understanding of disease progression patterns over time; hence the use of sophisticated, deep learning architectures for temporal healthcare analytics.

2.3 Deep Learning Approaches for Parkinson's Disease Prediction

With the success of the deep learning approaches in healthcare analytics, researchers have been motivated to train neural network architecture for prediction of Parkinson's disease [9]. In many situations, deep learning models can automatically discover complex representations of features and capture the non-linear relationships between biomedical variables [9] and can be better at learning from large datasets compared to traditional machine learning models.

Several deep learning architectures have been studied for the prediction of disease severity and disease progression, such as feedforward neural networks, recurrent neural networks and attention-based models. They have the advantage of learning hierarchical patterns directly from data rather than requiring considerable manual feature engineering, while offering enhanced predictive power.

Many deep learning models are also known for being less interpretable, meaning that it is hard to determine what may be affecting the predictions. Moreover, the traditional architecture of neural networks might not be suitable to capture long-range temporal dependencies found in healthcare data that are longitudinal. The constraints have inspired the creation of specific sequential network models like Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)

networks and Transformer architectures.

2.4 Long Short-Term Memory Networks

Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks are a special case of Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) that can be used to model sequential data and mitigate the vanishing gradient problem inherent in RNNs [4]. LSTMs are capable of retaining relevant information for long periods of time and discovering temporal relationships from healthcare data spanning over time, thanks to their memory cells and gating mechanisms.

LSTM networks have been widely used in Parkinson's disease research for predicting the progression of the disease based on sequential clinical observations and telemonitoring data [4]. They can identify temporal patterns which leads to better forecasting performance than traditional machine learning methods assuming observations are independent.

Although LSTM networks have proven effective, there are still some drawbacks to them. They process in a sequential manner which eliminates parallel computation and makes training more complicated. Moreover, LSTMs could also have difficulties with very long range dependencies in the longitudinal patient trajectories. Recent advances in attention-based architectures, like Transformers, have sparked interest in healthcare time-series analysis, driven by these constraints.

2.5 Transformer-Based Models

Recently, transformer architectures have been successfully developed to model sequences, especially, long-range ones, because they are able to capture long-range dependencies through the self-attention mechanism [3]. Transformers, in contrast to recurrent neural networks, work on the sequence elements at the same time, which makes them more efficient to train and more scalable when dealing with large datasets.

In recent years, Transformer models have been proven to work in healthcare on tasks such as disease diagnosis, medical time-series forecasting, EHR analysis, and patient outcome prediction [11–13, 15]. They are well suited for modeling temporal relationships on a global scale in longitudinal patient information, as they excel at learning these relation-

ships from the patient data [11, 13].

While their predictive capabilities are impressive, typical Transformer models may need modifications for their use in healthcare. Moreover, their decision making process is often not readily understood, making it less likely that they will be implemented in clinical settings that require transparency and trust. The difficulties underscore the demand for transparent and interpretable Transformer models that can generate accurate predictions and provide insights.

2.6 Explainable Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare

Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) techniques are increasingly employed in healthcare to improve the transparency and trustworthiness of predictive models [7, 9]. Among the available approaches, SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) is widely used for quantifying feature contributions and interpreting model predictions [6]. Several studies have successfully applied SHAP analysis to identify influential biomarkers and support explainable decision-making in clinical applications.

2.7 Comparative Analysis of Existing Studies

Table 2.1 summarizes key research studies related to Parkinson's disease prediction, machine learning, deep learning, Transformer architectures, and explainable artificial intelligence techniques.

The studies summarized in Table 2.1 highlight the evolution of Parkinson's disease prediction methods from traditional machine learning approaches to deep learning and Transformer-based architectures [16–21].

The literature survey indicates that traditional machine learning techniques provide strong baseline performance but fail to model temporal dependencies present in longitudinal patient observations. Deep learning approaches such as LSTM improve temporal modeling capabilities; however, they often struggle to capture long-range relationships effectively. Transformer-based architectures offer superior sequence learning performance but generally lack sufficient interpretability for healthcare applications. Furthermore, only a limited number of studies have combined temporal Transformer architectures with explainable artificial intelligence techniques for Parkinson's disease progression prediction.

Therefore, there exists a significant research gap in the development of an explainable temporal modeling framework that simultaneously achieves high predictive accuracy and transparent decision-making. The proposed Feature-Aware Enhanced Temporal Transformer (FAETT) model aims to address this gap by integrating Transformer-based temporal learning with SHAP-based explainability.

The studies summarized in Table 2.1 indicate a progressive transition from traditional machine learning approaches toward Transformer-based and explainable AI frameworks for healthcare analytics [5, 11–13, 15].

2.8 Research Gap Analysis

The literature review reveals that traditional machine learning approaches fail to capture temporal dependencies present in longitudinal patient data. Although LSTM-based models improve sequential learning, they often struggle with long-range temporal relationships and computational efficiency. Transformer architectures provide superior temporal modeling capabilities; however, their application to Parkinson's disease progression prediction remains limited, and most existing approaches lack interpretability. Furthermore, few studies have combined Transformer-based temporal learning with SHAP-based explainability for disease progression forecasting. Therefore, there exists a need for an explainable temporal modeling framework that can achieve both high predictive accuracy and transparent decision-making.

Table 2.1: Comparative Analysis of Existing Literature

Authors	Year	Method	Dataset	Performance	Limitation
Sakar et al.	2019	Machine Learning Classifiers	UCI Parkinson Dataset	High classification accuracy	Focused on diagnosis only
Aich et al.	2020	Random Forest	Voice Biomarker Dataset	Robust prediction performance	Ignores temporal dependencies
Mitra et al.	2020	Support Vector Machine	Clinical Dataset	Good classification accuracy	Limited scalability
Shinde et al.	2021	Deep Neural Networks	Parkinson Speech Dataset	Improved feature learning	Black-box predictions
Wang et al.	2021	LSTM Networks	Longitudinal Health Data	Effective sequence modeling	Difficulty with long-range dependencies
Zhang et al.	2021	Bi-LSTM	Clinical Time-Series Data	Enhanced temporal learning	High computational complexity
Li et al.	2022	GRU Networks	Healthcare Time-Series Dataset	Faster training than LSTM	Reduced interpretability
Khan et al.	2022	CNN-LSTM Hybrid Model	Parkinson Dataset	Improved prediction accuracy	Complex architecture
Vaswani et al.	2017	Transformer Architecture	Sequence Data	Superior sequence modeling	Limited explainability
Liu et al.	2022	Healthcare Transformer	Electronic Health Records	Strong temporal modeling	Interpretability concerns
Chen et al.	2023	Temporal Transformer	Medical Time-Series Data	High forecasting accuracy	Black-box behavior

2.9 Need for the Proposed Work

The limitations of existing machine learning, deep learning, and Transformer-based approaches highlight the need for a framework capable of accurately modeling disease progression while maintaining interpretability. Parkinson's disease progression forecasting requires effective learning of temporal patterns from longitudinal patient observations together with transparent explanations of prediction outcomes. Therefore, this research proposes an Explainable Feature-Aware Enhanced Temporal Transformer (FAETT) framework that integrates attention-based temporal learning with SHAP-based explainability to achieve both high predictive performance and interpretable decision-making for Parkinson's disease progression prediction.

Chapter 3

Dataset Description and Preprocessing

3.1 Introduction

This chapter describes the Parkinson Telemonitoring dataset and the preprocessing procedures employed in this study. The preprocessing pipeline includes data cleaning, feature normalization, temporal sequence generation, and train-test partitioning. These steps transform the raw telemonitoring data into a structured format suitable for machine learning and deep learning models.

3.2 Parkinson Telemonitoring Dataset

This research uses the Parkinson Telemonitoring data set, which was found in the UCI Machine Learning Repository. It includes longitudinal voice measurements from patients suffering from Parkinson's disease undertaken during telemonitoring sessions. The goal of the dataset is to classify the severity of the disease with biomedical voice-based biomarkers. In the present study, the Total UPDRS score is used as the target variable for the prediction of the disease progression. Table 3.1 shows the general properties of the dataset.

3.2.1 Dataset Characteristics

Table 3.1 presents the general characteristics of the dataset used in this study.

Table 3.1: Dataset Overview

Attribute	Value
Dataset Name	Parkinson Telemonitoring Dataset
Source	UCI Machine Learning Repository
Number of Patients	42
Number of Records	5875
Number of Features	22
Target Variable	Total UPDRS
Task Type	Regression
Data Type	Longitudinal Time-Series

3.2.2 Feature Description

The dataset contains demographic, clinical, temporal, and voice-based biomarkers extracted from speech recordings. These features capture frequency variations, amplitude perturbations, noise characteristics, and nonlinear voice dynamics that are associated with Parkinson's disease severity. The major feature categories used in this study are summarized in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2: Feature Categories in the Parkinson Telemonitoring Dataset

Category	Features
Demographic Features	Age, Sex
Temporal Feature	Test Time
Clinical Feature	Motor UPDRS
Jitter Features	Jitter(%), Jitter(Abs), Jitter:RAP, Jitter:PPQ5, Jitter:DDP
Shimmer Features	Shimmer, Shimmer(dB), Shimmer:APQ3, Shimmer:APQ5, Shimmer:APQ11, Shimmer:DDA
Noise Features	NHR, HNR
Nonlinear Features	RPDE, DFA, PPE

3.3 Exploratory Data Analysis

Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) was performed to examine the statistical characteristics of the dataset and identify relationships among variables. The analysis indicated that several voice-based biomarkers exhibit meaningful associations with Parkinson's disease severity, supporting their use for disease progression prediction.

3.4 Correlation Analysis

Correlation analysis was performed using the Pearson correlation coefficient to examine relationships among features and their association with the target variable, Total UPDRS. The resulting correlation matrix is visualized in Figure 3.1. Several voice-based biomarkers exhibited moderate to strong correlations with disease severity, indicating their potential relevance for disease progression prediction.




Figure 3.1: Correlation Heatmap of Parkinson Telemonitoring Features

3.5 Data Cleaning

Data cleaning was performed to ensure the quality and consistency of the dataset prior to model development. The preprocessing steps included verification of missing values, removal of non-predictive attributes, and validation of feature formats. No significant missing values or inconsistencies were observed in the dataset; therefore, extensive imputation procedures were not required.

3.6 Feature Normalization

To ensure uniform feature scaling and improve model training stability, StandardScaler normalization was applied to all input features. The transformation is defined as:

$$z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} \quad (3.1)$$

where x is the original feature value, μ is the feature mean, and σ is the standard deviation.

3.7 Temporal Sequence Generation

To capture disease progression patterns, the longitudinal patient records were transformed into temporal sequences using a sliding window approach. For each patient, observations were first sorted according to the *test_time* attribute to preserve chronological order. A sequence length of 10 was selected, enabling the model to utilize information from the previous ten observations to predict the subsequent Total UPDRS value.

3.7.1 Sliding Window Method

The sliding window technique was used to transform the chronological patient records into fixed-length temporal sequences suitable for sequence learning models.

$$X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\} \quad (3.2)$$

For a window size of 10, the input sequence is defined as:

$$S_i = \{x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+9}\} \quad (3.3)$$

and the corresponding target value is:

$$y_i = x_{i+10} \quad (3.4)$$

where S_i represents the input sequence and y_i denotes the prediction target associated with the subsequent observation.

3.8 Sequence Dataset Construction

After sequence generation, the dataset was transformed into a three-dimensional tensor suitable for sequence learning models:

$$X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times T \times F} \quad (3.5)$$

where N denotes number of samples, T represents sequence length, and F denotes the number of input features.

For the generated dataset:

$$X \in \mathbb{R}^{5455 \times 10 \times 19} \quad (3.6)$$

$$y \in \mathbb{R}^{5455} \quad (3.7)$$

3.9 Train-Test Partitioning

To evaluate model generalization performance, the generated sequences were split into training-testing parts using 80:20 split ratio. The training set was used for model development and parameter optimization, while the testing set was reserved exclusively for performance evaluation. The resulting dataset dimensions are summarized in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3: Training and Testing Dataset Dimensions

Dataset	Shape
Training Input (X_{train})	(4364, 10, 19)
Testing Input (X_{test})	(1091, 10, 19)
Training Target (y_{train})	(4364,)
Testing Target (y_{test})	(1091,)

The training dataset was used for model development and parameter optimization, while the testing dataset was reserved exclusively for performance evaluation.

3.10 Preprocessing Pipeline

The complete preprocessing workflow adopted in this study is illustrated in Figure 3.2. The pipeline includes data cleaning, feature normalization, temporal sequence generation, dataset partitioning, and model training. These steps transform the raw telemonitoring data into a structured format suitable for machine learning and deep learning models used for disease progression prediction.




Figure 3.2: Data Preprocessing and Sequence Generation Pipeline

Chapter 4

Proposed Methodology

4.1 Introduction

This chapter presents the methodology adopted for Parkinson's disease progression prediction. The proposed Feature-Aware Enhanced Temporal Transformer (FAETT) framework integrates Transformer-based temporal learning with SHAP-based explainability to model longitudinal patient observations and provide interpretable predictions. The chapter describes the overall framework, baseline models, proposed architecture, training strategy, and explainability mechanism.

4.2 Overall Proposed Framework

The overall framework adopted in this study is illustrated in Figure 4.1.

The proposed Feature-Aware Enhanced Temporal Transformer (FAETT) framework is designed to predict Parkinson's disease progression from longitudinal telemonitoring data. The framework consists of five major stages: data preprocessing, temporal sequence generation, feature embedding, Transformer-based temporal learning, and SHAP-based explainability. The final output corresponds to the predicted Total UPDRS score, while SHAP analysis provides insights into feature contributions. The overall architecture of the proposed framework is illustrated in Figure 4.1.




Figure 4.1: Overall Framework of the Proposed Study

4.3 Baseline Models

To evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed FAETT framework, three baseline models were implemented: Random Forest (RF), Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), and Transformer. These models represent traditional machine learning, recurrent neural network-based learning, and attention-based sequence modeling approaches, respectively. Performance comparisons between the baseline models and the proposed framework provide insights into the benefits of enhanced temporal learning and explainability.

4.3.1 Random Forest

Random Forest was employed as a traditional machine learning baseline for disease progression prediction [5]. The model utilizes an ensemble of decision trees and generates predictions by averaging the outputs of individual trees. Random Forest provides a strong benchmark for evaluating the effectiveness of deep learning and Transformer-based approaches.

4.3.2 Long Short-Term Memory Network

Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks were implemented as a sequential learning baseline. LSTM models are capable of capturing temporal dependencies through memory cells and gating mechanisms, making them suitable for longitudinal healthcare data. The model was trained using the generated temporal sequences for disease progression prediction [4].

4.3.3 Transformer Model

A standard Transformer model was implemented [3] as an attention-based baseline. The model employs self-attention mechanisms to learn temporal relationships among sequence elements and serves as a direct benchmark for evaluating the improvements introduced by the proposed FAETT framework.

4.4 Proposed FAETT Architecture

The proposed Feature-Aware Enhanced Temporal Transformer (FAETT) framework is designed to model temporal dependencies in longitudinal Parkinson's disease data while providing interpretable predictions. The architecture consists of a feature embedding layer, multi-head self-attention mechanism, feed-forward network, temporal aggregation layer, and a regression output layer. Figure 4.2 illustrates the overall architecture of the proposed model.

4.4.1 Architecture Overview

The overall architecture of the proposed FAETT model is illustrated in Figure 4.2.

4.4.2 Temporal Input Representation

The generated temporal sequences are represented as a three-dimensional tensor:

$$X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times T \times F} \quad (4.1)$$

where N denotes the number of samples, T represents the sequence length, and F corresponds to the number of input features.




Figure 4.2: Architecture of the Proposed Feature-Aware Enhanced Temporal Transformer

4.4.3 Feature Embedding Layer

The input features are projected into a higher-dimensional latent space using a learnable embedding layer:

$$Z = XW_e + b_e \quad (4.2)$$

where W_e and b_e denote the embedding weights and bias, respectively.

4.4.4 Multi-Head Self-Attention

The embedded features are transformed into query, key, and value representations:

$$Q = ZW_Q \quad (4.3)$$

$$K = ZW_K \quad (4.4)$$

$$V = ZW_V \quad (4.5)$$

The attention mechanism is computed as [3]:

$$\text{Attention}(Q, K, V) = \text{Softmax} \left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}} \right) V \quad (4.6)$$

The outputs from multiple attention heads are combined as [3]:

$$\text{MultiHead}(Q, K, V) = \text{Concat}(\text{head}_1, \dots, \text{head}_h) W_O \quad (4.7)$$

4.4.5 Residual Connection and Normalization

Residual connections and layer normalization are applied to stabilize learning:

$$H_1 = \text{LayerNorm}(Z + \text{MultiHead}(Z)) \quad (4.8)$$

4.4.6 Feed Forward Network

The attention output is refined through a position-wise feed-forward network [3]:

$$\text{FFN}(x) = W_2(\text{ReLU}(W_1x + b_1)) + b_2 \quad (4.9)$$

$$H_2 = \text{LayerNorm}(H_1 + \text{FFN}(H_1)) \quad (4.10)$$

4.4.7 Temporal Aggregation Layer

Global average pooling is employed to obtain a fixed-length sequence representation:

$$G = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T H_t \quad (4.11)$$

4.4.8 Output Layer

The final prediction is generated through a regression layer:

$$\hat{y} = W_o G + b_o \quad (4.12)$$

4.5 SHAP-Based Explainability

To enhance model interpretability, SHAP [6] (SHapley Additive exPlanations) was integrated with the proposed FAETT framework. SHAP quantifies the contribution of each input feature to the prediction outcome and provides both local and global explanations. This enables the identification of influential biomarkers associated with Parkinson's disease progression.

4.5.1 SHAP Additive Explanation Model

SHAP explanations are based on an additive feature attribution model defined as [6]:

$$g(x') = \phi_0 + \sum_{i=1}^M \phi_i x'_i \quad (4.13)$$

where ϕ_0 denotes the baseline prediction and ϕ_i represents the contribution of the i -th feature.

4.5.2 Global Feature Importance

Global feature importance was computed using the mean absolute SHAP values:

$$\text{Importance}_j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N |\phi_{ij}| \quad (4.14)$$

where ϕ_{ij} denotes the SHAP value of feature j for sample i .

4.5.3 SHAP Summary Plot

A SHAP summary plot was generated to visualize the overall contribution of features across the test dataset.




Figure 4.3: SHAP Summary Plot for the Proposed FAETT Model

The summary plot simultaneously displays:

- Feature importance ranking,
- Distribution of SHAP values,
- Direction of feature influence,
- Variability of feature contributions.

This visualization provides a comprehensive overview of model behavior and feature relevance.

4.6 Model Training and Optimization

The proposed FAETT model was trained using supervised learning to minimize prediction error between the actual and predicted Total UPDRS scores. Mean Squared Error (MSE) was employed as the loss function, while the Adam optimizer was used for parameter optimization.

23

Chapter 5

Experimental Setup

5.1 Introduction

This chapter describes the experimental environment, implementation settings, hyperparameter configuration, and evaluation metrics used to assess the performance of the proposed FAETT framework and baseline models.

5.2 Experimental Environment

All experiments were conducted using Python and TensorFlow in the Google Colab environment. The implementation was executed on a system equipped with GPU acceleration to facilitate efficient model training. The software and hardware specifications used in this study are summarized in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1: Experimental Environment

Component	Specification
Programming Language	Python 3.x
Framework	TensorFlow / Keras
Development Platform	Google Colab
Processor	Intel/AMD Processor
GPU	NVIDIA GPU (Colab)
Operating System	Linux Environment

5.3 Dataset Configuration

The Parkinson Telemonitoring dataset was used for all experiments. Following preprocessing and temporal sequence generation, the final dataset consisted of 5455 temporal sequences, each containing 10 historical observations and 19 predictive features.

The dataset dimensions are summarized in Table 5.2.

Table 5.2: Dataset Dimensions After Sequence Generation

Dataset Component	Shape
Input Sequences	(5455, 10, 19)
Target Values	(5455,)
Training Input	(4364, 10, 19)
Testing Input	(1091, 10, 19)
Training Target	(4364,)
Testing Target	(1091,)

5.4 Hyperparameter Configuration

The hyperparameters used for training the baseline models and the proposed FAETT framework were selected empirically based on preliminary experiments. The final configuration employed in this study is summarized in Table 5.3.

5.5 Evaluation Metrics

Model performance was evaluated using Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), and the coefficient of determination (R^2). These metrics quantify prediction accuracy and assess the ability of the models to explain variations in the target variable.

5.5.1 Mean Absolute Error

Mean Absolute Error (MAE) is defined as:

11

Table 5.3: Hyperparameter Configuration

Parameter	Value
Sequence Length	10
Batch Size	32
Epochs	100
Learning Rate	0.001
Optimizer	Adam
Loss Function	Mean Squared Error
Embedding Dimension	64
Number of Attention Heads	4
Dropout Rate	0.2
Train-Test Split	80:20

$$\text{MAE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N |y_i - \hat{y}_i| \quad (5.1)$$

5.5.2 Root Mean Squared Error

27

Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) is computed as:

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2} \quad (5.2)$$

5.5.3 Coefficient of Determination

The coefficient of determination (R^2) is given by:

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \bar{y})^2} \quad (5.3)$$



Chapter 6

Results and Discussion

6.1 Introduction

This chapter presents the experimental results obtained using Random Forest (RF), Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), Transformer, and the proposed Feature-Aware Enhanced Temporal Transformer (FAETT) framework. Comparative performance analysis, visualization studies, and SHAP-based explainability results are discussed to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed approach.

6.2 Exploratory Data Analysis Results

Prior to model training, exploratory data analysis was conducted to understand feature relationships and identify patterns within the dataset.

6.3 Random Forest Results

Random Forest was implemented as a traditional machine learning baseline model.

6.3.1 Actual vs Predicted Analysis

The scatter plot demonstrates a strong agreement between actual and predicted values, indicating the capability of Random Forest to model nonlinear relationships present in the dataset.

6.3.2 Residual Analysis




Figure 6.2: Residual Analysis of Random Forest

The residual plot shows that prediction errors are reasonably distributed around zero, suggesting satisfactory generalization performance.

6.4 LSTM Results

The Long Short-Term Memory model was implemented to capture temporal dependencies within longitudinal patient observations.

6.4.1 Actual vs Predicted Analysis




Figure 6.3: Actual vs Predicted Total UPDRS Values using LSTM

6.4.2 Residual Analysis

The LSTM model successfully captures temporal information; however, its performance is limited by difficulties in modeling long-range dependencies across patient visits.

6.5 Transformer Results

The Transformer model was evaluated to investigate the effectiveness of self-attention mechanisms for disease progression prediction.

6.5.1 Training Convergence

The loss curve indicates stable convergence throughout training and demonstrates the effectiveness of attention-based learning.




Figure 6.4: Residual Analysis of LSTM




Figure 6.5: Training and Validation Loss Curve of Transformer

6.5.2 Actual vs Predicted Analysis




Figure 6.6: Actual vs Predicted Total UPDRS Values using Transformer

6.5.3 Residual Analysis

The Transformer model achieves superior performance compared with LSTM due to its ability to capture long-range temporal dependencies through self-attention mechanisms.

6.6 Proposed FAETT Model Results

The proposed Feature-Aware Enhanced Temporal Transformer (FAETT) model was evaluated using the same experimental settings employed for the baseline models. The objective was to determine whether the integration of temporal attention mechanisms and feature-aware learning could improve disease progression prediction performance.




Figure 6.7: Residual Analysis of Transformer

6.6.1 Training and Validation Loss Analysis

Figure 6.8 presents the training and validation loss curves obtained during model optimization.

The loss curves indicate stable convergence throughout training. The validation loss follows the training loss closely, suggesting good generalization performance and limited overfitting.

The gradual reduction in loss demonstrates the effectiveness of the optimization strategy and confirms that the model successfully learned meaningful temporal patterns from historical patient observations.

6.6.2 Actual vs Predicted Analysis

Figure 6.9 illustrates the relationship between actual and predicted Total UPDRS values.




Figure 6.8: Training and Validation Loss Curve of the Proposed FAETT Model




Figure 6.9: Actual versus Predicted Total UPDRS Values using FAETT

The points are closely distributed around the ideal prediction line, indicating strong agreement between predicted and actual values. This demonstrates the ability of the proposed model to accurately capture disease progression trends.

6.6.3 Residual Analysis

Figure 6.10 presents the residual distribution of the proposed model.




Figure 6.10: Residual Analysis of the Proposed FAETT Model

The residuals are randomly distributed around zero without noticeable systematic patterns. This behavior indicates that the model predictions are unbiased and that the learned relationships generalize effectively to unseen data.

6.7 SHAP Explainability Analysis

Although deep learning models often achieve high predictive performance, their adoption in healthcare applications requires interpretability and transparency. Therefore, SHAP analysis was performed to explain the predictions generated by the proposed FAETT model.

6.7.1 SHAP Summary Plot

Figure 6.11 presents the SHAP summary plot.




Figure 6.11: SHAP Summary Plot of the Proposed FAETT Model

The summary plot ranks features according to their influence on model predictions while simultaneously illustrating the direction and magnitude of feature contributions.

6.7.2 SHAP Feature Importance Analysis

Figure 6.12 presents the global feature importance obtained from SHAP analysis.




Figure 6.12: Global Feature Importance Based on SHAP Values

The feature importance ranking highlights the biomarkers that contribute most significantly to disease progression prediction. These findings provide valuable clinical insights regarding the factors associated with Parkinson's disease severity.

The integration of SHAP analysis improves the transparency of the proposed framework and increases confidence in the generated predictions.

6.8 Quantitative Performance Comparison

Table 6.1 presents the performance of all evaluated models. The proposed FAETT framework achieved the lowest prediction errors and the highest coefficient of determination, demonstrating its effectiveness for Parkinson's disease progression prediction.

Table 6.1: Comparative Performance of Evaluated Models

Model	MAE	RMSE	R^2
LSTM	1.4992	2.6445	0.9353
Transformer	1.5725	2.2892	0.9515
Random Forest	1.315	1.774	0.9709
FAETT	1.2688	1.6457	0.9754

6.9 Discussion

The experimental results demonstrate that the proposed FAETT framework effectively captures temporal dependencies in longitudinal Parkinson’s disease data. Compared with Random Forest, LSTM, and standard Transformer models, FAETT achieved superior predictive performance while maintaining interpretability through SHAP analysis. The combination of temporal attention mechanisms and explainable AI techniques makes the proposed framework suitable for healthcare forecasting applications.

Chapter 7

Conclusion and Future Work

7.1 Conclusion

This research presented a Feature-Aware Enhanced Temporal Transformer (FAETT) framework for Parkinson's disease progression prediction using longitudinal voice-based telemonitoring data. The proposed model integrates Transformer-based temporal learning with SHAP-based explainability to achieve both accurate and interpretable predictions. Experimental evaluation was conducted using the Parkinson Telemonitoring dataset, and the performance of the proposed framework was compared with Random Forest, LSTM, and standard Transformer models. The results demonstrated that FAETT achieved superior predictive performance in terms of MAE, RMSE, and R^2 , indicating its effectiveness in modeling disease progression patterns.

Furthermore, SHAP analysis provided insights into the contribution of individual biomarkers, enabling transparent interpretation of model predictions. The findings suggest that the proposed framework can serve as a reliable and interpretable approach for healthcare forecasting applications involving longitudinal patient data.

7.2 Major Contributions

The major contributions of this research are summarized as follows:

1. Developed a Feature-Aware Enhanced Temporal Transformer (FAETT) framework for Parkinson's disease progression prediction.

2. Utilized temporal sequence generation to model longitudinal patient observations effectively.
3. Integrated SHAP-based explainability to provide interpretable predictions and identify influential biomarkers.
4. Conducted a comparative evaluation against Random Forest, LSTM, and Transformer models.
5. Demonstrated improved predictive performance and enhanced interpretability using the proposed framework.

7.3 Limitations

Although the proposed framework demonstrated promising performance, the study was limited to a single publicly available dataset. In addition, the model was evaluated in an offline experimental setting and was not validated in real-world clinical environments. Future studies involving larger and more diverse datasets may further improve the generalizability of the proposed approach.

7.4 Future Work

Future research may explore the integration of multimodal healthcare data, including speech, clinical, imaging, and wearable sensor information, to improve disease progression prediction. Additional investigations may focus on lightweight Transformer architectures, real-time monitoring systems, and clinical validation of explainable AI frameworks for practical healthcare deployment.