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#### Abstract

Age-associated illnesses are a consequence of accumulating senescent cells within the body. These non-proliferative derivatives of normal cells evade cytotoxic immune clearance and supplement disease pathogenesis and aging. Since most senolytic drugs show short-lived, off-targeted effects with high toxicity, a search for a relatively safer and highly specific modality is warranted. A preemptive approach to stall the pathognomonic signs of aging can be achieved through prophylactics called senovaccines, that trigger the immune system to specifically target and eliminate the senescent cells. Characteristic cellular markers of senescent cells such as urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (uPAR) and glycoprotein nonmetastatic melanoma protein B (GPNMB) can be used as promising senoantigens for fabricating senovaccines. In this research, a novel B cell multiepitope senovaccine has been proposed that can potentially elicit a long-lasting humoral immune response. Five highly antigenic B-cell epitopes were predicted and combined with a built-in adjuvant beta-defensin using suitable linkers. The senovaccine construct fulfilled the criteria of nonallergenicity, nontoxicity, solubility and stability. Molecular docking and simulation analysis revealed that the senovaccine construct can form productive and stable complexes with the variable region of anti-uPAR antibody. The computationally designed B-cell multiepitope senovaccine provides us with a novel plausible model that can be explored further for the development of efficacious senovaccines that support healthy aging.
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# CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 

### 1.1 Aging

Aging is regarded as a nonlinear biological process which is typically accompanied with crippling comorbidities such as cancer, chronic kidney disease, diabetes, Alzheimer's and atherosclerosis, that diminish the quality of life and survivability of an individual [1-4]. It is presumed to emerge from accumulated cellular and genetic damage that manifests as a state of gradual decline in overall fitness along with increased susceptibility to illnesses that may ultimately result in death [5].

Even though aging in itself remains a bit of inconclusive mystery, it has been speculated that this pathophysiology can be a consequence of an array of intrinsic as well as extrinsic intermediaries. Common hallmarks that are typically correlated with the organismal aging process are: cellular senescence, genomic instability, epigenetic alterations, telomere attrition, loss of proteostasis, mitochondrial dysfunction, dysregulated nutrient-sensing, stem cell exhaustion, and altered intercellular communication [6].

As people can now live longer because of improvements in healthcare and medical technology, an unprecedented demographic shift is being observed wherein the number and proportion of people aged 60 years and above in the population is growing. WHO reports that by 2030, 1 out of every 6 individuals on the planet will be aged 60 years or over. Following the current trend, experts predict that by 2050 these figures are anticipated to double to 2.1 billion [5].

Generally, aging presents both opportunities and challenges. While it will drive up the demand for long-term primary healthcare and exacerbate the requirement for more age-appropriate environments, it will also allow aging individuals to continue serving as productive members of society and enrich our communities. However the latter will only be possible if aging individuals remain disease free.

### 1.2 Cellular Senescence

A key catalyst that is supposed to facilitate this geroconversion is cellular senescence [4,5]. Senescence is a type of proliferation arrest that cells adopt in response to stressful stimuli like telomere shortening, nutritional disruptions, oxidative damage, endoplasmic reticulum stress, and genotoxic stress. The state of dormancy is characterized with the overexpression of cellular markers such as p16, senescence-associated-beta-gal, urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor (uPAR), glycoprotein nonmetastatic melanoma protein B (GPNMB) and immunosuppressive ligands like programmed death ligand-1 (PDL-1) and nonclassical major histocompatibility complexes (MHC) along with secretion of effector molecules known as senescence-associated secretory phenotypes (SASPs) [2-4].

Phenotypic adaptations such as altered chromatin patterns, cytoskeleton remodeling, increased cellular size and granularity, upregulation of lysosomal enzymes and a metabolic shift to glycolysis from fatty acid catabolism are also observed among senescent cells $[\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8}]$. Figure 1.1 briefly summarizes and illustrates the biology of a typical senescent cell.

In most cases these static cells can be easily identified through universal markers like SA $\beta$-gal, CDK4/6 inhibitor $\mathrm{p} 16^{\mathrm{INK} 4 \mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{p} 16$, uPAR and dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4/CD26) [2]. However, evidence also suggests that SCs may display hysteresis wherein there are marked variations and strong phenotypic heterogeneity among the transcriptional and secretory profiles (SASPs) of SCs, based on their anatomical location or mode of senescence induction [2,7].


Figure 1.1: A cell displaying the typical hallmarks of senescence. The altered chromatin structure results in upregulation of p16 and BcL proteins that trigger a state of dormancy and apoptosis inhibition respectively. Elevated levels of beta-gal enzyme increase lysosomal activity. The senescent cell actively secretes molecules called SASPs that supplement its effector functions. There is also overexpression of senescent cell specific markers on its surface. Despite all these changes, the senescent cell retains its metabolism in the mitochondria as shown in the figure.

### 1.3 Senolytics as an Anti-aging intervention

Since the breakthrough revelation of this unique cellular process by Hayflick and Moorhead in 1961 that challenged the established archetype of endless cell division, our comprehension of cellular senescence has evolved drastically $[\mathbf{2 , 7 , 8}]$. Senescence is generally believed to be an irreversible process that typically occurs in normal cells. Recent investigations, however, have revealed that even tumor cells can experience senescence when exposed to suitable stimuli, such as cancer therapies.

Additionally, numerous studies have been reported that reaffirm the role of senescent cells in progression of age associated ailments. Reversal of the aging phenotype, tumor cessation and chronic disease suppression has been observed in Phase 1 clinical studies, animal models and cell lines that were treated with senolytic agents [1-4].

Senolytic therapy involving Dasatinib and Quercetin ( $D+Q$ ) caused substantial reduction in the levels of SCs in mice suffering from age-associated maladies like osteoporosis and frailty [7]. In the context of cancer, $D+Q$ has been effective at eliminating radiation-induced skin ulceration and pulmonary fibrosis [9].

### 1.4 Theme of the research

To ensure that our future geriatric population ages healthily and is not reliant on long-term assisted care, interventions can be devised that either reverse the signs of aging or slow down the rate of aging. The cascade leading on to aging can be interrupted and adjusted. A growing body of scientific work has proved that it's possible to regulate the speed of aging and sustain vitality with the help of senolytics. Targeting SCs to impede aging can be one possible therapeutic approach against aging and age related pathologies.

# CHAPTER 2: <br> LITERATURE REVIEW 

### 2.1 Age related pathologies

### 2.1.2 Atherosclerosis

Atherosclerosis is a disease of the arteries in which gradual plaque buildup obstructs the blood flow and results in serious complications like stroke, heart attack and kidney malfunction [10]. Pre clinical studies on atherosclerotic/transgenic (Ldlr-/-) mice models have disclosed the contribution of senescence in disease pathogenesis. At the onset of atherosclerosis, accumulation of senescent-foamy macrophages within the subendothelial space is observed wherein they drive plaque buildup by enhancing the expression of inflammatory and atherogenic chemokines and cytokines. As the disease progresses, SCs residing within the advanced lesions promote plaque instability by increasing the production of metalloproteinases that degrade elastic fibers and thin down the fibrous caps [10].

### 2.2.2 Chronic kidney disease

In chronic kidney disease, the gradual loss of renal function is supplemented with accumulation of SCs within different parts of the kidney such as the medulla and cortex along with vascular, glomerular, tubular and interstitial cells. These senescent cells facilitate renal fibrosis by stimulating proinflammatory signaling pathways that cause oxidative stress which further contributes to the loss of renoprotective factors as well as vascular rarefaction. Experimental studies have revealed that on administration of senolytic agents, kidney function is better preserved during aging [11].

### 2.2.3 Cancer

Cancer pathogenesis entails a string of complex intrinsic processes that favors their establishment and persistence within the body. One such process that adds on to tumor burden is the cross talk of SCs to their environment. Several studies have reported that a fraction of SASPs synthesized by the resident SCs of the TME encourage the uncontrollable growth, invasiveness and immune evasion of cancer cells [12]. It has been highlighted that this response may manifest in different manners and to varying degrees in a tumor-type dependent fashion. Bearing in mind the pro-tumorigenic potential of SCs and how detrimental it can be, treatment can include strategies that either specifically eliminate SCs (senolytics) or abrogate SASPs expression [12].

### 2.2.4 Neurodegenerative diseases

The sporadic incidences of neurodegenerative diseases increase with advancing age and usually manifests at a much older age. There is evidence that insinuates that cellular senescence in neurons and glial cells may predispose a person to develop age-related neurodegenerative diseases like Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease and multiple sclerosis. The chronic disease generally manifests progressively where there is loss of neurons and impairment of synaptic connections, which ultimately results in functional and cognitive decline [13].

Through their SASPs, senescent cells promote chronic inflammation and deplete the pool of progenitor cells by inducing senescence within them. The cell cycle arrest of neuronal cells also results in silencing of genes that play a crucial role in conduction of nerve impulses. Furthermore, cerebral hypoperfusion and blood-brain barrier (BBB) dysfunction may arise due to changes in the cerebral microvascular structure [13].

### 2.2 Senoantigens

### 2.2.1 uPAR

uPAR or CD87 encoded by PLAUR is an integral part of the urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA) system, which is engaged in normal physiological events such as tissue degradation and reorganization. The uPA system also plays a major role in inflammatory responses, tumorigenesis, metastasis, and embryonic development [14-17,20,22].

### 2.2.2 GPNMB

GPNMB is a membrane protein which is typically expressed on melanocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, osteoclasts, and osteoblasts. GPNMB overexpression has been correlated with several aggressive forms of breast cancer, melanoma, and bone cancer [18-21].

### 2.2.3 Rationale for selection

Analysis of gene expression profiles of senescent and young human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) revealed that uPAR and GPNMB transcripts were highly upregulated among senescent HUVEC cells. Independent in vivo studies on uPAR mice knockouts and GPNMB mice knockouts showed that the mice models retained their normal physiology and viability, thereby suggesting that both these proteins function autonomously without interfering with any signaling pathways critical for survival [ 20-23].

Owing to their remarkable senescent cell specificity and clinical relevance, uPAR and GPNMB senoantigens are now being used for preferential targeting and eliminating SCs. Recently, a GPNMB peptide based senovaccine was successful at clearing SCs in mice and reversing disease/aging phenotypes. The GPNMB immunized mice displayed reduced atherogenesis as well as improved life span. This correction of metabolic abnormalities, along with extended longevity attests to the prowess of senolytic vaccines
[15]. Additionally, Amor et al. used uPAR-specific Chimeric antigen receptor T-cells (CAR-T) for senolysis of SC population from mice suffering from lung adenocarcinoma. The restoration of liver homeostasis and enhanced survivability of mice validated the potency of uPAR as a potential target for senolytic treatment [4].

### 2.3 Research Hypothesis

Traditionally used senolytics have proven to be efficacious, but their adverse effects, such as off-target toxicity and bystander killing of normal cells makes them less desirable and safe. Therefore, using preemptive, long-lasting measures like vaccines that specifically target SCs and facilitate their removal through non-apoptotic immune-mediated pathways can be an optimal substitute to senolytic drugs.

To counter the aforementioned challenges, we have computationally designed and cloned a novel uPAR and GPNMB based B cell multiepitope senovaccine that can specifically target senescent cells. Our immunoinformatics pipeline involved the prediction of prospective antigenic linear B-cell epitopes derived from the extracellular domain of uPAR and GPNMB followed by protein-protein docking to ascertain the binding efficacy of our senovaccine constructs with the Fab region of an anti-uPAR antibody.

The results of our in silico experiment serve as proof of concept for using uPAR-GPNMB based B cell epitope senovaccine, that not only resolves the vices of senolytics but may also be used as a prophylactic that may potentially tackle age-related pathologies and enhance the quality of life of the aging population.

# CHAPTER 3: <br> METHODOLOGY 

### 3.1 Insilico vaccine designing

Insilico vaccine designing is a bioinformatics oriented strategy wherein novel vaccine constructs are created using sophisticated softwares and complex computing machinery. Different techniques like epitope prediction, molecular docking, structure prediction and modeling (either ab initio or homology), sequence alignment, molecular dynamic simulations etc. are included in this immunoinformatics process.

The fundamental idea behind in-silico vaccine designing is to predict and simulate the interaction between two or more molecules herein, a target protein/receptor and the vaccine construct on a computer. A favorable interaction between the two molecules facilitates formation of a stable adduct. Such outcomes lay the ground for further investigations where the aim is to validate and replicate the same results and accurately determine the immunogenicity of the vaccine construct in in-vitro systems and within animal models as well.

Computational methods support the rational design of potent and safe vaccine candidates and offer a quicker, foolproof alternative to immunologists while overcoming the challenges of the conventional vaccine designing process.

Application of in-silico methods of vaccine designing has taken a new arc in this digital era and is destined to prosper with the increasing need for better and safer prophylactics.

### 3.2 Research pipeline

### 3.2.1 Sequence retrieval and domain identification

Protein sequences of uPAR (UPAR_HUMAN, UniProt ID: Q03405) and GPNMB (GPNMB_HUMAN, UniProt ID: Q14956) were retrieved from the UniProt database [24] and analyzed for their protein topology on TMHMM2.0 [25,26]. The extracellular domains of uPAR and GPNMB protein were found to be located between 22-335 AA and 1-496 AA, respectively.

### 3.2.2 Linear B-cell epitope prediction

To identify potentially antigenic uPAR and GPNMB epitopes, different B-cell epitope prediction tools offered by Immune Epitope Database and Analysis Resource (IEDB) were used [27]. 9 consensus epitope sequences were shortlisted using a combination of prediction tools ${ }^{1}$ such as BepiPred 2.0 (sequential B-cell epitope prediction, threshold : 0.500) [28], Chou and Fasman (beta-turn prediction, threshold: 1.048) [29], Emini (surface accessibility, threshold: 1.000) [30], Karplus and Schulz (flexibility, threshold : 1.003) [31], Parker (hydrophilicity, threshold: 2.314) [32], and Kolaskar and Tongaonkar (antigenicity, threshold : 1.033) [33] .

### 3.2.3 Evaluation of predicted linear B-cell epitopes

The predicted epitopes were validated for their antigenicity on Vaxijen v2.0 against the tumor model set at a threshold of 0.5 . Vaxigen adopts an alignment-independent approach wherein peptide sequences are classified into probable antigens on the basis of their physicochemical properties [34, 35]. Allergenicity was tested on AllergenFp [36] which transforms input sequences into uniform vectors and tests them for their physicochemical properties such as hydrophobicity, size, etc that are defined within the five e-descriptors. The toxigenicity of the predicted epitopes was determined on ToxinPred server that uses a SwissProt based trained SVM classifier [37].

[^0]
### 3.2.4 Visualization of the linear B-cell epitopes

Pymol was used to visualize the location and orientation of the shortlisted linear B-cell epitopes on their respective protein structures, uPAR (PDB ID: 3U74) and GPNMB (AlphaFold: AF-Q14956-F1) [38].

### 3.2.5 Construction of a B-cell multiepitope senovaccine and determination of its features

The epitope candidates that reported the highest antigenicity were joined together in an array using GPGPG linker peptides. Adjuvant human beta-defensin-1 (Uniprot ID: P60022) was added using (EAAAK) $)_{2}$ linkers at the N-terminus. The final senovaccine construct was assessed for its antigenicity on Vaxijen v2.0 [34, 35], allergenicity on AllergenFp [36], and toxigenicity on ToxinPred [37].

### 3.2.6 Determination of physicochemical properties

The physicochemical properties of the senovaccine construct were determined using ProtParam [39] of the ExPASy server. Parameters like the AA composition, theoretical pI, molecular weight, instability index, aliphatic index, grand average of hydropathy (GRAVY) and estimated half-life were computed using this tool. The solubility of the senovaccine construct was predicted on Protein-Sol [40], a web-tool algorithm that calculates for 35 sequence features and compares predicted solubility to the solubility of the population average for the experimental dataset (threshold: 0.45 ).

### 3.2.7 Secondary structure prediction

The secondary structure of the senovaccine construct was predicted on PSIPRED 4.0 [41] workbench which evaluates the position specific scoring matrices of the query sequence via a two stage neural network. Self-optimized prediction tool called SOPMA [42] was used for determining the distribution of the various secondary structures within the vaccine. The analysis was carried out at default parameters- similarity threshold:8, number of conformational states: 4 and window width:17.

### 3.2.8 Tertiary structure prediction

The tertiary structure prediction of the senovaccine construct was performed on I-TASSER [43-45], an iterative protein threading assembly algorithm that takes both sequence homology and structural information in account.

### 3.2.9 Structural refinement and validation of the senovaccine

GalaxyRefine $[\mathbf{4 6 , 4 7}]$ was used to improve the quality of the predicted tertiary structure through successive structural perturbation and relaxation simulations. The parameters of refined structure were computed and validated on MolProbity [48] using the Ramachandran plot. The overall model quality, energy plot and Z-score were further validated using ProSA [49, 50].

### 3.2.10 Molecular Docking of vaccine construct on uPAR antibody

As the structure and sequence of the immunological B-cell receptor against uPAR and GPNMB were unavailable/unknown, we chose to perform a protein-protein docking of our senovaccine against a well characterized anti-uPAR antibody ATN-658 (PDB ID: 4K23) [51], that has previously been used for uPAR epitope mapping and cancer treatment, in order to assess the molecular affinity of our senovaccine construct.

The antibody mode on ClusPro [52] was used for docking the senovaccine construct on the Fab region of the anti-uPAR antibody ATN-658 (PDB ID: 4K23) [53]. To identify the best senovaccine- Ab model, the generated clusters were screened and analyzed for the following parameters: protein-protein interface residues (determined using PDBSum [54] and visualized on PyMol [38]), cluster size, and lowest energy coefficients. The best fit was selected for further analysis. ParaPred [55] was used to identify the CDRs of the anti-uPAR antibody AT-658.

### 3.2.11 Molecular dynamics simulation

Coarse graining C $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-NMA (Normal Mode Analysis) simulation of the best docking model/pose was performed on iMODS [56] online server to determine the overall
stability of the senovaccine-anti-uPAR antibody complex. C $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-NMA simulation model predicts the collective functional motion and flexibility of the macromolecule by using internal coordinates of the dihedral angles. Plots for B factor per residue, deformability, eigenvalues and covariance were computed and analyzed. Covariance map and elastic network was also assessed.

### 3.2.12. Vaccine optimization and insilico cloning

Back translation of the aa sequence of the multiepitope senovaccine was done using the gene infinity server [57]. The generated coding sequence was analyzed for rare codon usage and values for GC content, CAI and CPD were determined on GeneScript [58].
For efficient expression of the senovaccine construct within a heterologous host, E.coli plasmid pET-28a(+) was chosen as an expression vector. The restriction enzyme cleavage sites of the vector and the coding sequence were identified and prepared using NEBcutter [59]. Designing and visualization of the in-silico vaccine carrying expression vector/clone was done on SnapGene6.2.2 Viewer [60].

## CHAPTER 4: RESULTS

### 4.1. Prediction and screening of linear B-cell epitopes

On TMHMM analysis, the extracellular domains of uPAR and GPNMB protein were found to be located between 22-335 AA and 1-496 AA, respectively. The extracellular domains of these senoantigens were then used as a query sequence for the prediction of linear B-cell epitopes on IEDB. A total of 1238 epitopes were predicted for the uPAR antigen, and 2467 epitopes were predicted for the GPNMB antigen. The location of the top scorers lied between 100-220 amino acids for the uPAR antigen and between ranges 20-70, 100-170 and 320-370 amino acids for the GPNMB antigen. These ranges served as the lower and upper limits for subsequent analyses ${ }^{2}$.

After identifying the top scorers and eliminating peptides using the threshold limits of each program, the number of epitopes came down to 505 for uPAR and 1035 for GPNMB ${ }^{3}$. Out of this cohort, we finally identified 9 consensus peptide sequences that were highly antigenic (Vaxijen, threshold: 0.500) and fulfilled the criteria of non allergenicity (AllergenFp) and non toxicity (ToxinPred), as illustrated in Table 4.1. The top five highly antigenic epitopes were visualized on PyMol (Figure 4.1).

[^1]Table 4.1: Predicted B cell epitopes of uPAR and GPNMB

| uPAR senoepitopes |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.no | Consensus B cell epitopes | Location and Length | Antigenicity (Threshold: 0.5) | Allergenicity (Tanimoto coefficient) | Toxicity (SVM Scores) |
| 1. | ELVEKSCT* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61-68 } \\ & 8 \mathrm{AA} \end{aligned}$ | 1.2874 | Non-Allergen $(0.72)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-Toxin } \\ & (-0.79) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2. | TLSYRTGLK | $\begin{aligned} & 76-84 \\ & 9 \mathrm{AA} \end{aligned}$ | 0.8347 | Non-Allergen (0.72) | Non-Toxin $(-1.33)$ |
| 3. | NNDTFHFLK* | $\begin{aligned} & 183-191 \\ & 9 \mathrm{AA} \end{aligned}$ | 1.1406 | Non-Allergen $(0.75)$ | Non-Toxin $(-0.76)$ |
| 4. | LENLPQNGR | $\begin{aligned} & 206-214 \\ & 9 \mathrm{AA} \end{aligned}$ | 0.8762 | Non-Allergen (0.69) | Non-Toxin $(-0.67)$ |
| GPNMB senoepitopes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | VLGNERP | $\begin{aligned} & 28-34 \\ & 7 \mathrm{AA} \end{aligned}$ | 0.9069 | Non-Allergen (0.73) | Non-Toxin $(-1.33)$ |
| 2. | KNSWKGG* | $\begin{aligned} & 70-76 \\ & 7 \mathrm{AA} \end{aligned}$ | 1.5934 | Non-Allergen (0.77) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-Toxin } \\ & (-0.66) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3. | EAGLSADP | $\begin{aligned} & 123-130 \\ & 8 \mathrm{AA} \end{aligned}$ | 0.9489 | Non-Allergen (0.71) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-Toxin } \\ & (-0.69) \end{aligned}$ |
| 4. | NGTGQSHHNV* | $\begin{aligned} & 146-155 \\ & 10 \mathrm{AA} \end{aligned}$ | 1.7441 | Non-Allergen (0.73) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-Toxin } \\ & (-0.63) \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. | TLKSYDSN* | $\begin{aligned} & 342-349 \\ & 8 \mathrm{AA} \end{aligned}$ | 1.0162 | Non-Allergen $(0.75)$ | Non-Toxin $(-1.18)$ |
| * Highlights the epitopes selected for the multiepitope vaccine construct <br> SVM Score: A negative SVM score implies non toxigenicity. $\text { Non-toxin }<0.00<\text { Toxin }$ <br> Tanimoto coefficient: A quantitative metric used to describe the level of similarity between the training dataset and the input query. |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 4.1: Visualization of the most antigenic linear B-cell epitopes
A. Visualization of ELVEKSCT epitope (marked in pink) on uPAR protein domain (PDB ID: 3U74).
B. Visualization of NNDTFHFLK epitope (marked in pink) on uPAR protein domain (PDB ID: 3U74).
C. Visualization of TLKSYDSN epitope (marked in pink) on GPNMB (Alpha Fold: AF-Q14956-F1).
D. Visualization of NGTGQSHHNV epitope (marked in pink) on GPNMB (Alpha Fold: AF-Q14956-F1).
E. Visualization of KNSWKGG epitope (marked in pink) on GPNMB (Alpha Fold: AF-Q14956-F1).

### 4.2. Vaccine designing and feature prediction of the construct

Five epitopes with the highest antigenicity ( $>1.0000$ ) were selected and joined together with GPGPG linkers to construct a linear B-cell multiepitope senovaccine. Adjuvant human beta-defensin-1 (Uniprot ID: P60022) was added using (EAAAK) ${ }_{2}$ linkers at the N-terminus to increase the immunogenicity of the senovaccine (Figure 4.2). To ensure direct activation of the antagonistic B cell clones without any T cell intervention, the aforementioned senoepitopes were repeated multiple times throughout the vaccine construct. We hypothesize that such a repetition of epitopes within our senovaccine would trigger B-cell receptor clustering, which in turn would facilitate the generation of a much more productive humoral immune response against senescent cells.

The designed B cell multi-epitope senovaccine was 347 AA long and showed excellent antigenicity of 0.8402 (Vaxijen, threshold: 0.500 ). It was classified as a non allergen with a Tanimoto index of 0.78 by AllergenFp server. The vaccine construct also fulfilled the criteria of non toxigenicity and was classified as a non toxin by ToxinPred.


Figure 4.2: A graphical representation of the 347 AA long B-cell multiepitope senovaccine. The linear B cell epitopes (marked in purple) are successively joined together by GPGPG linker (marked in green). The adjuvant (in blue) is located at the N terminal end and linked to the epitopes via (EAAAK) ${ }_{2}$ linkers (marked in orange).

### 4.3. Physicochemical analysis of the senovaccine construct.

The physicochemical properties were determined using ProtParam tool, the molecular weight and the theoretical pI of the senovaccine were 34.43 kDa and 8.81 , respectively.

It was noted that the senovaccine construct is relatively stable, with a low instability index (II) score of 22.68 ( $>40$ : unstable). The aliphatic index was $37.35 \%$ which suggests modest thermostability of the protein, and the GRAVY index was found to be -0.835 , illustrating its hydrophilic properties. The ProteinSol server gave a predicted scaled solubility value of 0.585 against the population average of 0.45 , indicating that the senovaccine construct was highly soluble. Table 4.2 summarizes the features of the B-cell multiepitope senovaccine.

Table 4.2: Features of the B-cell multiepitope vaccine construct

| S.no | Property | Insilico tool | Value | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Antigenicity | Vaxigen <br> (threshold: 0.500 ) | 0.8402 | Probable Antigen |
| 2. | Allergenicity | Allergenfp | 0.78 | Non-allergen |
| 3. | Toxicity | ToxinPred | - | Non-toxin |
| 4. | Instability index | ProtParam | 22.68 | Stable |
| 5. | Solubility | Protein-sol <br> (threshold: 0.45 ) | 0.585 | Highly soluble |
| 6. | Molecular Weight | ProtParam | 34439.63 Da | Probable immunogen |

### 4.4. Protein structure prediction and validation of the vaccine construct.

PSIPRED and SOPMA predicted that the vaccine construct was abundant in random coils $(80.40 \%)$ and had smaller stretches of alpha helices (10.09\%), beta strands (6.92\%) and beta turns $(2.59 \%)$. Alpha helices were located within the built-in beta-defensin adjuvant and the EAAAK linker. Beta strands were found to be formed within the repetitive units of uPAR epitopes "ELVEKSCT" and "NNDTFHFLK". Furthermore, the analysis also revealed that the senovaccine construct was prevalent in small non-polar amino acids due to the presence of GPGPG linkers (Figure 4.3).








| Strand | Helix | Coil | Disordered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ Disordered, protein binding | Putative Domain Boundary | Membrane Interaction | Transmembrane Helix |
| Extracellular | Re-entrant Helix | Cytoplasmic | Signal Peptide |
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Small nonpolar Aromatics plus cystiene

| Sequence length : | 347 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOPMA : |  |  |  |  |
| Alpha helix | ( Hh ) | : | 35 is | 10.09\% |
| $3_{10}$ helix | (Gg) | : | 0 is | 0.00\% |
| Pi helix | (Ii) | : | 0 is | 0.00\% |
| Beta bridge | (Bb) | : | 0 is | 0.00\% |
| Extended strand | (Ee) | : | 24 is | 6.92\% |
| Beta turn | (Tt) | : | 9 is | 2.59\% |
| Bend region | (Ss) | : | 0 is | 0.00\% |
| Random coil | (cc) | : | 279 is | 80.40\% |
| Ambiguous states | (?) | : | $\theta$ is | 0.00\% |
| Other states |  | : | 0 is | 0.00\% |

B.

Figure 4.3: Secondary structure prediction of the senovaccine construct.
A. Secondary structure prediction of the senovaccine construct on PSIPRED
B. Secondary structure prediction of the senovaccine construct on SOPMA

The tertiary structure prediction of the senovaccine construct was performed on I-TASSER that generated 5 protein structure models, out of which the most suitable model had the C-score of -2.78 (highest amongst the predicted models), a TM score of $0.40 \pm 0.13$ and a RMSD of $13.2 \pm 4.1$. A high C-score value corresponds to a model with a high prediction confidence. A TM score $>0.17$ signifies that the predicted model does not share any random similarity with the native structures of the protein. Furthermore, the number of decoys (low temperature replicas) generated for this particular model were 1465 , forming the largest cluster with a cluster density of 0.400 . A greater cluster density indicates that the predicted tertiary structure occurs more frequently in the simulation trajectory and hence can be regarded as the optimal model.

The quality of the I-TASSER predicted model was further refined on GalaxyRefine ${ }^{4}$ through successive structural perturbation and relaxation simulations. Out of the 5 refined models generated, the best model had a GDT-HA value of 0.8818 , MolProbity of 1.977, an RMSD value of 0.599 , and a clash score of 8.6 (Figure 4.4). The structural refinement also resulted in a substantial increase in the percentage of AA residues lying within the energetically favorable regions (Rama favored), from $56.5 \%$ to $88.7 \%$. These scores were validated using MolProbity and ProSA.

Ramachandran analysis on MolProbity confirmed that $88.7 \%$ of all AA residues resided within the favored region, while $98.3 \%$ of all AA residues were in the allowed regions. 6 outliers were identified that influenced the protein geometry and contributed to the sub-optimal tertiary structure prediction results. These outliers mainly consisted of glycine and proline residues of the GPGPG linkers within the vaccine construct (Figure 4.4).

ProSA computed a Z-score of -3.6 for the refined model, which as per the Z-score plot resides within the acceptable ranges of experimentally determined Z-score values. The Z-score reflects the overall model quality, which in this case is suboptimal due to the presence of certain erroneous regions. N-terminal of the vaccine containing the adjuvant

[^2]sequence has amino acid residues with higher energy values, while seno-peptides fall under the region of lower and non-offending energies (Figure 4.4).


Figure 4.4 : Tertiary structure and validation of the refined protein structure of the senovaccine construct A. Visualization of the refined tertiary structure of the senovaccine construct using PyMol. B. Ramachandran plot from MolProbity illustrating the location of the constituent AA residues of the vaccine construct. C. Energy plot from ProSA of the predicted structure of the senovaccine construct. D. Z-score plot from ProSA showing the overall model quality of the refined protein structure with a Z-score of -3.6.

### 4.5. Molecular docking of the senovaccine on anti-uPAR antibody

The results of the protein-protein docking on ClusPro confirmed that our senovaccine construct has a propensity to bind to the Fab region of a corresponding anti-uPAR antibody (ATN-658). Out of the 29 clusters generated, the most favorable senovaccine-Ab complex belonged to the largest cluster which had 225 members and a weighted lowest energy score of $-337.0 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

On analyzing the docked pose on PDBSum and PyMol, it was found that the interacting interface residues of the anti-uPAR antibody overlapped with the predicted and experimentally validated CDR regions (Table 4.3)(Figure 4.5). Furthermore, PDBSum protein-protein interactions also revealed that the interface residues of the senovaccine involved in antibody interactions were emerging for the uPAR epitope "ELVEKSCT", the GPNMB epitope "TLKSYDSN" and the GPGPG linker (Figure 4.6).


Figure 4.5 Molecular docking of senovaccine on anti-uPAR antibody AT-658.
A. Visualization of the senovaccine and anti-uPAR antibody AT-658 complex generated after molecular docking. The senovcaccine is represented in blue and the anti-uPAR antibody is represented in green. B. The residues labeled are the interface residues of the antibody involved in the vaccine-Ab complex.


## Interface statistics

| Chains | No. of interface residues | Interface area ( $\hat{A}^{2}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of } \\ \text { salt } \\ \text { bridges } \end{gathered}$ | No. of disulphide bonds | No. of hydrogen bonds | No. of non-bonded contacts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) K | 16:8 | 527:570 | - |  | 12 | 97 |
| (A)K( | 4:6 | 238:207 | 2 |  | 2 | 33 |

Figure 4.6. Protein-protein interactions between senovaccine construct and anti-uPAR antibody AT-658. A. PDBSum prot-prot interaction between senovaccine and anti-uPAR antibody AT-658. Chain A: Senovaccine construct Chain H: Heavy chain of the anti-uPAR antibody Chain L: Light chain of the anti-uPAR antibody

Table 4.3: Experimentally determined and predicted CDRs of anti-uPAR antibody ATN-658

| Experimentally determined CDRs of anti-uPAR antibody ATN-658 (Xu et al, |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2014) |  |  | Heavy Chain $\quad$ Location $\quad$ Light Chain $\quad$ Location | CDR 2: YNQ-K | $59-62$ | CDR1: LDSD | 27 C-28 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CDR 3: YGHSVL | $97-101$ | CDR3: GTHF | $91-94$ |
| ParaPred prediction of CDRs of anti-uPAR antibody ATN-658 |  |  |  |
| CDR 1: <br> ASGYSFTSYYM | $24-34$ | CDR1: <br> SCKSSQSLLDSD <br> GKTYLNWL | $22-34$ |
| CDR 2: <br> EINPYNGGAS | $50-59$ | CDR2: <br> IYLVSKLDSGV | $53-63$ |
| CDR 3: <br> ARSIYGHSVLDY <br> WG | $97-110$ | CDR3: <br> YCWQGTHFPLTF <br> G | $92-104$ |

### 4.6 Molecular Dynamics of the senovaccine and anti-uPAR antibody complex

Coarse graining-NMA (Normal Mode Analysis) simulation performed on iMODS online server revealed that our senovaccine and anti-uPAR antibody complex is stable and minimally deformable. However, there were certain amino acid residues of the senovaccine (K235, N277, E325, S330, G337, N338, Q342, N347) that showed a high degree of deformability. These residues are represented as peaks in the deformability graph and are termed as "hinges". It can be inferred that since these AA residues are not involved in the protein-protein interaction (refer PDBSum plots), they show a higher propensity to distort when the equilibrium of the complex is disturbed. The B-factor per residue reflects the average RMSDof atoms. The peaks for the deformability plot overlap with the peaks of the B -factor per residue plot, therefore implying that the high deformability regions of the complex have a greater B-factor value and thermal mobility. The Eigenvalue of the complex was computed to be at $4.071394 * 10^{-06}$, indicating that a higher force and energy may be required to perturb the complex. Low eigenvalue favors
easier deformation. The covariance map and elastic network suggests that the pair of residues that experience correlated motions have stiffer spring interactions. Together, all these results confirm that our novel senovaccine and the anti-uPAR antibody form a stable complex (Figure 4.7).


Figure 4.7: Results from the NMA molecular dynamics simulation conducted on iMODs. A.Deformability plot: The peaks reflect the locations of the residues with high deformability values. B. B factor per residue plot: Root mean square deviation. C.Eigenvalues Plot: Describes relative modal stiffness. D.Variance: Describes relative contribution of modes to equilibrium motion. E.Covariance map: Describes relative motion of the residues. Red: correlated motion, White: uncorrelated motion, Blue: Anti-correlated motion. F. Elastic network: Linking matrix that describes the pair of atoms that are connected by springs. Stiffer springs are represented in darker gray color.

### 4.7 Codon adaptation and in silico cloning

The gene infinity server performed back translation of the multi-epitope senovaccine construct to the most likely DNA sequence. GeneScript tool was used to assess its expression potential based on properties of Codon Adaption Index (CAI) and GC content. The actual CAI value of the sequence coincided with the ideal value of 1 , and the GC content was calculated to be $65.87 \%$ (ideal range $30 \%-70 \%$ ). The gene infinity output was analyzed in NEBcutter and restriction sites BamHI and NdeI were included in the DNA sequence in accordance with the multiple cloning site of the selected expression vector $\mathrm{pET} 28 \mathrm{a}(+)$. In silico clone was prepared on the SnapGene 6.2.2. software, as shown in Figure 4.8.


Figure 4.8: In silico cloning map of the B cell multiepitope senovaccine sequence inserted into the $\mathrm{pET} 28 \mathrm{a}(+)$ vector. The red highlighted area shows the placement of the insert using restriction enzymes BamHI and NdeI.

## CHAPTER 5: <br> DISCUSSION

The life expectancy continues to outpace health span with each passing year, resulting in an increased proportion of aging individuals suffering from debilitating ailments that depreciate their quality of life. Cellular senescence, which is one of the key players in expedited aging, requires highly specific interventions to potentially delay or reverse the hallmarks of aging and restore vitality. A therapeutic approach deployed is the use of senolytic agents that selectively eliminate SCs and diminish their pathogonomic effects within the body. Despite the success of senolytics in preclinical trials and in vitro studies, questions regarding their toxicity and off-target effects remain unanswered. There are concerns that need further investigation regarding the pragmatism of this therapy, including (1)its translation to clinical trials, (2) safety of the therapy in aged individuals and (3) effectiveness in its ability to resolve variable types and stages of age-related pathologies. To sustain the senolytic response without magnifying the toxicity, prophylactics like senovaccines can be used to generate an adaptive immune response against SCs. Cellular markers that are expressed on the surface of SCs can be used as potential immunogens for fabricating senovaccines.

Unlike traditional vaccinology approaches that begin with identification, isolation and purification of an antigen, followed by its sequencing, computational vaccine designing pipelines skip all of these tedious and time intensive stages.

Immunoinformatics prediction tools accelerate the process of antigen identification and epitope prediction by cross referencing the properties of a potential vaccine candidate with the large repertoire of experimentally validated immunogens. They allow for the prompt discovery of novel, structurally and functionally uncharacterized immunogenic epitopes from a protein which can be further developed into efficacious prophylactics such as peptide subunit vaccines or DNA/RNA vaccines.

In our study, we adopted an immunoinformatics pipeline to discover potentially immunogenic epitopes of senoantigens uPAR and GPNMB and construct a multi-epitope vaccine that selectively eliminates SCs and diminish their pathogenic, inflammatory, or protumorigenic impacts within the body.

Immune-mediated clearance is extremely specific and is generally supported by two arms of the immune system, B cells, and T cells. Hence, two kinds of vaccines may be created through an informatics approach, a B-cell epitope vaccine, which would elicit a humoral response in the body, and a T-cell epitope vaccine, which elicits a cytotoxic immune response. SCs are essentially aging self-cells. Since peripheral tolerance is more robust and initiates "anergy" within self-reactive T-cell clones, SCs can easily escape T cell-mediated immune responses by expressing self-antigens and immunosuppressive molecules. To overcome the aforementioned challenge we identified unique senoepitopes that are independent of T-cell activation and can directly stimulate the self-reactive B cells. While standard vaccines aim at producing a humoral as well as cytotoxic response, herein, the senovaccine construct created, solely aims at inducing antibody production.

Since surface interaction is a vital component of an immune response, this research study used the extracellular domains of the uPAR and GPNMB antigens for epitope retrieval. Through our immunoinformatics pipeline, we identified nine epitope sequences from the senoantigens $u P A R$ and GPNMB, that showed excellent antigenicity, surface accessibility, hydrophilicity, non-toxicity, and non-allergenicity. Out of these nine, five epitopes with antigenicities $>1.0$ were used for fabricating the novel B-cell multiepitope vaccine. The final vaccine construct consisted of repetitive units of the five highly antigenic B-cell epitopes to trigger B-cell receptor clustering. These epitopes were joined together by GPGPG and linked to the adjuvant beta-defensin using (EAAAK) ${ }_{2}$ linkers. Beta-defensin is a charged antimicrobial peptide that is known for its immunopotentiator activity, wherein it can efficiently stimulate B-cells, macrophages, and dendritic cells [61]. We believe that this built-in adjuvant would supplement the interaction of the conjugated senoepitopes with the B cell clones and trigger a potent humoral response.

EAAAK and GPGPG linkers are used due to their well-regarded stability, which provides functional flexibility to the tertiary structure of the protein [62].

The results of our study suggest that the proposed senovaccine candidate has a high antigenicity of 0.8402 and has a greater propensity of binding and forming stable complexes with the Fab region of the anti-uPAR antibody ATN-658. The weighted lowest energy score of $-337.0 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ indicates a productive protein-protein interaction, which can primarily be linked to the intermolecular interactions between the residues of the senoepitopes and the antibody CDRs. Additionally, the optimal physicochemical properties of the vaccine construct, such as its molecular weight of 34 kDa , relative thermal stability, abundance of non-polar and polar amino acid residues along with its hydrophilic nature favor its overall stability in the antibody complex.

The aforementioned data supports our vaccine construct as a promising immunogen that can promote selective immune clearance of accumulating senescent cells via antibody effector functions like opsonization, and complement fixation while also potentially evoking a lasting B cell memory pool. Furthermore, the ideal physicochemical properties offer a production advantage wherein our vaccine candidate can be easily purified and used harmoniously as an active agent in a vaccine concoction that consists of water as a main ingredient, a built-in adjuvant beta-defensin, and preservatives.

However, as this senovaccine will be targeting self cells extreme caution must be taken as potential dangers like autoimmunity and hypersensitivity reactions can arise in the long term. Keeping that in mind, the dosage should be kept as low as possible.

This in-silico research acts as a proof of concept for devising future senovaccines that can impede chronic disease manifestation like cancer, Alzheimers, arthritis etc. and potentially extend the health span of an aging individual. The next step would be to determine and validate the efficacy and safety of this conceptualized $B$ cell multiepitope senovaccine through in vitro and in vivo studies.

The vaccine construct can be further optimized to improve its stability by addition of different linkers like AYY. It may also be packaged with other carrier immunogens/adjuvants like keyhole limpet hemocyanin, aluminum, freunds complex or be conjugated with immunopotentiators like CpG /(Macrophage activating lipopeptide-2 (MALP-2) and delivered via suitable lipid vesicles or nanoparticles.

## CHAPTER 6:

CONCLUSION

Aging is a biological process wherein there is gradual decline and impairment of phenotype and physiological functions due to accumulation of deleterious factors. This study presents a novel, one-of-a-kind B-cell multi-epitope senovaccine that has been derived from the senescent cell surface antigens uPAR and GPNMB. The effectiveness and safety of the vaccine were confirmed computationally by testing its antigenicity, allergenicity, toxicity, solubility, and stability. The vaccine model showed stable productive interaction with the Fab region of an anti-uPAR antibody, attesting to its ability to generate an effective humoral response within in vivo models. The results of our in silico experiment serve as proof of concept for using uPAR-GPNMB based B cell epitope senovaccine, that not only resolves the vices of senolytics but may also be used as a prophylactic that may potentially tackle age-related pathologies and enhance the quality of life of the aging population. With sufficient in vitro and in vivo research, this vaccine may prove to be a revolutionary prophylactic in reversing aging and addressing various age-associated pathologies.

## APPENDIX 1

## Linear B-cell epitope prediction tools

1. BepiPred 2.0 (sequential B-cell epitope prediction, threshold : 0.500) Operation used: This server uses a Random Forest algorithm to derive epitope sequence stretches from a protein using its crystal structures.
2. Chou and Fasman (beta-turn prediction, threshold: 1.048)

Operation used: Conceptually derives from the turn scale model for predicting the location of antigenic sites in a protein, this method uses the secondary structure of the input sequence and their beta-turns to predict potential antigenic sites.
3. Emini (surface accessibility, threshold: 1.000)

Operation used: This surface accessibility scale is a formula-based prediction technique.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{\text { Formula used: } \operatorname{Sn}(n+4+i)(0.37)-6\} \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{r}
\text { Sn=surface probability }(\mathrm{SB}) \\
\mathrm{dn}=\text { fractional } \mathrm{SB} \\
\mathrm{i}=(1 \rightarrow 6)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Karplus and Schulz (flexibility, threshold : 1.003)

Operation used: This technique uses certain known protein and their x-ray structures and B-factors to determine the mobility of a section in the protein.
5. Parker (hydrophilicity, threshold: 2.314)

Operation used: This method is based on the retention time of a protein/peptide during HPLC.
6. Kolaskar and Tongaonkar (antigenicity, threshold : 1.033)

Operation used: This tool derives knowledge from experimentally known data and predictable physicochemical proteins of the A.A. residues in a protein. Accuracy rate is $75 \%$.

## APPENDIX 2

Results from the epitope prediction tool for the uPAR antigen


Figure A 2.1: Graphs obtained from IEDB B-cell epitope prediction tools for uPAR antigen
A. Bepipred 2.0 epitope prediction (threshold : 0.500); Peptide range: (80-280) amino acids.
B. Chou and Fasman beta-turn prediction (threshold value: 1.048); Peptide range: (30-75 ; (8-26), (42-122), (132-223) amino acids.
C. Karplus \& Schulz Flexibility (Threshold value : 1.003)Peptide range: (9-216) amino acids
D. Kolaskar \& Tongakar antigenicity scale. (threshold value : 1.033); Peptide range: (11-226) amino acids.
E. Emini surface accessibility prediction (threshold value : 1.000); Peptide range: (5-10), (30-221) amino acids.
F. Parker Hydrophilicity prediction (threshold value : 2.314); Peptide range: ((4-91),(100-223)) amino acids.

## APPENDIX 3

## Results from the epitope prediction tool for the GPNMB antigen



Figure A 3.1 Graphs obtained from IEDB B-cell epitope prediction tools for GPNMB antigen
A. Bepipred 2.0 epitope prediction (threshold : 0.500); Peptide range: (20-70; 100-170; 320-370) amino acids
B. Chou and Fasman beta-turn prediction (threshold value: 1.048); Peptide range: (30-75; 110-170; 320-370) amino acids
C. Karplus \& Schulz Flexibility (Threshold value : 1.003)Peptide range: (30-90; 110-160; 320-370) amino acids
D. Kolaskar \& Tongakar antigenicity scale. (threshold value : 1.033); Peptide range: (80-110; 170-240;380-480) amino acids
E. Emini surface accessibility prediction (threshold value : 1.000); Peptide range: (30-90; 110-160;320-380) amino acids
F. Parker Hydrophilicity prediction (threshold value : 2.314); Peptide range: (20-100 ; 240-260; 320-400) amino acids.

## APPENDIX 4 <br> Predicted epitopes for the uPAR antigen

Table A 4.1 : Predicted linear B-cell epitope peptides using BepiPred 2.0 prediction tool
Threshold value : 0.500

| Bepipred $2.0(0.500)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Starting | Ending residue | Peptide |
| 15 | 67 | EECALGQDLCRTTIVRLWEEGEELELVEKSCTHSEKTNRTLSYRTGLKITSLT |
| 74 | 90 | DLCNQGNSGRAVTYSRS |
| 100 | 124 | SSDMSCERGRHQSLQCRSPEEQCLD |
| 128 | 165 | HWIQEGEEGRPKDDRHLRGCGYLPGCPGSNGFHNNDTF |
| 173 | 210 | TTKCNEGPILELENLPQNGRQCYSCKGNSTHGCSSEET |
| 213 | 221 | IDCRGPMNQ |

Table A 4.2 : Predicted B-cell epitopes using Parker, Emini, and Kolaskar \& Tongaokar prediction tools.

Parker Hydrophilicity : threshold value 2.314
Emini surface accessibility : threshold value 1.000
Kolaskar Antigencity Scale : threshold value 1.033

| Parker (2.314) |  |  |  | Emini (1.000) |  |  |  | Kolaskar and tongaonkar (1.033) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Starting | Ending residue | Peptide | Score | Starting | Ending residue | Peptide | Score | Starting | Ending residue | Peptide | Score |
| 4 | 10 | MQCKTNG | 3.829 | 5 | 10 | QCKTNG | 1.015 | 11 | 17 | DCRVEEC | 1.093 |
| 5 | 11 | QCKTNGD | 5.857 | 30 | 35 | RLWEEG | 1.2 | 12 | 18 | CRVEECA | 1.121 |
| 6 | 12 | CKTNGDC | 5.2 | 31 | 36 | LWEEGE | 1.061 | 13 | 19 | RVEECAL | 1.098 |
| 7 | 13 | KTNGDCR | 5.6 | 32 | 37 | WEEGEE | 2.228 | 14 | 20 | VEECALG | 1.098 |
| 8 | 14 | TNGDCRV | 4.257 | 33 | 38 | EEGEEL | 1.748 | 15 | 21 | EECALGQ | 1.045 |
| 9 | 15 | NGDCRVE | 4.629 | 34 | 39 | EGEELE | 1.748 | 16 | 22 | ECALGQD | 1.047 |
| 10 | 16 | GDCRVEE | 4.743 | 39 | 44 | ELVEKS | 1.171 | 17 | 23 | CALGQDL | 1.104 |
| 11 | 17 | DCRVEEC | 4.129 | 42 | 47 | EKSCTH | 1.163 | 18 | 24 | ALGQDLC | 1.104 |
| 12 | 18 | CRVEECA | 3 | 45 | 50 | CTHSEK | 1.163 | 19 | 25 | LGQDLCR | 1.077 |
| 15 | 21 | EECALGQ | 3.086 | 46 | 51 | THSEKT | 3.131 | 22 | 28 | DLCRTTI | 1.053 |
| 16 | 22 | ECALGQD | 3.4 | 47 | 52 | HSEKTN | 3.489 | 23 | 29 | LCRTTIV | 1.127 |
| 20 | 26 | GQDLCRT | 3.329 | 48 | 53 | SEKTNR | 5.022 | 24 | 30 | CRTTIVR | 1.073 |
| 21 | 27 | QDLCRTT | 3.257 | 49 | 54 | EKTNRT | 5.409 | 25 | 31 | RTTIVRL | 1.05 |
| 31 | 37 | LWEEGEE | 2.529 | 50 | 55 | KTNRTL | 2.576 | 26 | 32 | TTIVRLW | 1.053 |
| 32 | 38 | WEEGEEL | 2.529 | 51 | 56 | TNRTLS | 1.726 | 27 | 33 | TIVRLWE | 1.044 |


| Table A 4.2 (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | 39 | EEGEELE | 5.071 | 52 | 57 | NRTLSY | 1.874 | 28 | 34 | IVRLWEE | 1.036 |
| 34 | 40 | EGEELEL | 2.643 | 53 | 58 | RTLSYR | 2.282 | 35 | 41 | GEELELV | 1.044 |
| 39 | 45 | ELVEKSC | 2.329 | 54 | 59 | TLSYRT | 1.682 | 36 | 42 | EELELVE | 1.041 |
| 41 | 47 | VEKSCTH | 3.571 | 55 | 60 | LSYRTG | 1.153 | 37 | 43 | ELELVEK | 1.052 |
| 42 | 48 | EKSCTHS | 5.029 | 56 | 61 | SYRTGL | 1.153 | 38 | 44 | LELVEKS | 1.075 |
| 43 | 49 | KSCTHSE | 5.029 | 57 | 62 | YRTGLK | 1.721 | 39 | 45 | ELVEKSC | 1.098 |
| 44 | 50 | SCTHSEK | 5.029 | 77 | 82 | NQGNSG | 1.399 | 40 | 46 | LVEKSCT | 1.107 |
| 45 | 51 | CTHSEKT | 4.843 | 78 | 83 | QGNSGR | 1.704 | 41 | 47 | VEKSCTH | 1.086 |
| 46 | 52 | THSEKTN | 5.643 | 83 | 88 | RAVTYS | 1.059 | 55 | 61 | LSYRTGL | 1.047 |
| 47 | 53 | HSEKTNR | 5.5 | 104 | 109 | SCERGR | 1.124 | 60 | 66 | GLKITSL | 1.054 |
| 48 | 54 | SEKTNRT | 5.943 | 105 | 110 | CERGRH | 1.142 | 61 | 67 | LKITSLT | 1.059 |
| 49 | 55 | EKTNRTL | 3.7 | 106 | 111 | ERGRHQ | 3.688 | 63 | 69 | ITSLTEV | 1.067 |
| 50 | 56 | KTNRTLS | 3.514 | 107 | 112 | RGRHQS | 2.854 | 64 | 70 | TSLTEVV | 1.1 |
| 51 | 57 | TNRTLSY | 2.429 | 108 | 113 | GRHQSL | 1.202 | 65 | 71 | SLTEVVC | 1.171 |
| 56 | 62 | SYRTGLK | 2.314 | 109 | 114 | RHQSLQ | 2.103 | 66 | 72 | LTEVVCG | 1.152 |
| 74 | 80 | DLCNQGN | 3.986 | 114 | 119 | QCRSPE | 1.553 | 67 | 73 | TEVVCGL | 1.152 |
| 75 | 81 | LCNQGNS | 3.486 | 115 | 120 | CRSPEE | 1.553 | 68 | 74 | EVVCGLD | 1.146 |
| 76 | 82 | CNQGNSG | 5.614 | 116 | 121 | RSPEEQ | 5.018 | 69 | 75 | VVCGLDL | 1.203 |
| 77 | 83 | NQGNSGR | 6.014 | 117 | 122 | SPEEQC | 1.373 | 70 | 76 | VCGLDLC | 1.207 |
| 78 | 84 | QGNSGRA | 5.314 | 127 | 132 | THWIQE | 1.033 | 71 | 77 | CGLDLCN | 1.12 |
| 79 | 85 | GNSGRAV | 3.929 | 130 | 135 | IQEGEE | 1.485 | 72 | 78 | GLDLCNQ | 1.063 |
| 80 | 86 | NSGRAVT | 3.857 | 131 | 136 | QEGEEG | 2.097 | 73 | 79 | LDLCNQG | 1.063 |
| 81 | 87 | SGRAVTY | 2.586 | 132 | 137 | EGEEGR | 2.372 | 81 | 87 | SGRAVTY | 1.039 |
| 82 | 88 | GRAVTYS | 2.586 | 133 | 138 | GEEGRP | 2.118 | 94 | 100 | ECISCGS | 1.104 |
| 83 | 89 | RAVTYSR | 2.371 | 134 | 139 | EEGRPK | 4.279 | 95 | 101 | CISCGSS | 1.127 |
| 84 | 90 | AVTYSRS | 2.7 | 135 | 140 | EGRPKD | 4.127 | 96 | 102 | ISCGSSD | 1.049 |
| 85 | 91 | VTYSRSR | 3 | 136 | 141 | GRPKDD | 3.979 | 109 | 115 | RHQSLQC | 1.097 |
|  |  |  |  | 137 | 142 | RPKDDR | 7.876 | 110 | 116 | HQSLQCR | 1.097 |
| 100 | 106 | SSDMSCE | 4.929 | 138 | 143 | PKDDRH | 5.471 | 111 | 117 | QSLQCRS | 1.084 |
| 101 | 107 | SDMSCER | 4.6 | 139 | 144 | KDDRHL | 2.918 | 112 | 118 | SLQCRSP | 1.091 |
| 102 | 108 | DMSCERG | 4.486 | 140 | 145 | DDRHLR | 2.858 | 113 | 119 | LQCRSPE | 1.068 |
| 103 | 109 | MSCERGR | 3.657 | 141 | 146 | DRHLRG | 1.694 | 117 | 123 | SPEEQCL | 1.065 |
| 104 | 110 | SCERGRH | 4.557 | 157 | 162 | NGFHNN | 1.154 | 118 | 124 | PEEQCLD | 1.044 |
| 105 | 111 | CERGRHQ | 4.486 | 158 | 163 | GFHNND | 1.199 | 119 | 125 | EEQCLDV | 1.09 |
| 106 | 112 | ERGRHQS | 5.214 | 159 | 164 | FHNNDT | 1.748 | 120 | 126 | EQCLDVV | 1.166 |
| 107 | 113 | RGRHQSL | 2.786 | 160 | 165 | HNNDTF | 1.748 | 121 | 127 | QCLDVVT | 1.174 |
| 108 | 114 | GRHQSLQ | 3.043 | 161 | 166 | NNDTFH | 1.748 | 122 | 128 | CLDVVTH | 1.187 |
| 109 | 115 | RHQSLQC | 2.429 | 172 | 177 | NTTKCN | 1.375 | 123 | 129 | LDVVTHW | 1.113 |


| Table A 4.2 (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 110 | 116 | HQSLQCR | 2.429 | 173 | 178 | TTKCNE | 1.48 | 124 | 130 | DVVTHWI | 1.099 |
| 111 | 117 | QSLQCRS | 3.057 | 174 | 179 | TKCNEG | 1.015 | 125 | 131 | VVTHWIQ | 1.12 |
| 112 | 118 | SLQCRSP | 2.5 | 175 | 180 | KCNEGP | 1.088 | 126 | 132 | VTHWIQE | 1.044 |
| 113 | 119 | LQCRSPE | 2.686 | 183 | 188 | ELENLP | 1.207 | 141 | 147 | DRHLRGC | 1.036 |
| 114 | 120 | QCRSPEE | 5.114 | 184 | 189 | LENLPQ | 1.207 | 142 | 148 | RHLRGCG | 1.037 |
| 115 | 121 | CRSPEEQ | 5.114 | 185 | 190 | ENLPQN | 2.355 | 143 | 149 | HLRGCGY | 1.078 |
| 116 | 122 | RSPEEQC | 5.114 | 186 | 191 | NLPQNG | 1.345 | 144 | 150 | LRGCGYL | 1.099 |
| 117 | 123 | SPEEQCL | 3.2 | 187 | 192 | LPQNGR | 1.639 | 145 | 151 | RGCGYLP | 1.073 |
| 118 | 124 | PEEQCLD | 3.7 | 188 | 193 | PQNGRQ | 3.441 | 146 | 152 | GCGYLPG | 1.073 |
| 119 | 125 | EEQCLDV | 2.871 | 189 | 194 | QNGRQC | 1.193 | 147 | 153 | CGYLPGC | 1.15 |
| 129 | 135 | WIQEGEE | 2.443 | 190 | 195 | NGRQCY | 1.079 | 148 | 154 | GYLPGCP | 1.1 |
| 130 | 136 | IQEGEEG | 4.686 | 198 | 203 | KGNSTH | 1.994 | 149 | 155 | YLPGCPG | 1.1 |
| 131 | 137 | QEGEEGR | 6.429 | 206 | 211 | SSEETF | 1.602 | 150 | 156 | LPGCPGS | 1.079 |
| 132 | 138 | EGEEGRP | 5.871 | 216 | 221 | RGPMN <br> Q | 1.966 | 163 | 169 | DTFHFLK | 1.035 |
| 133 | 139 | GEEGRPK | 5.571 |  |  |  |  | 164 | 170 | TFHFLKC | 1.113 |
| 134 | 140 | EEGRPKD | 6.186 |  |  |  |  | 165 | 171 | FHFLKCC | 1.184 |
| 135 | 141 | EGRPKDD | 6.5 |  |  |  |  | 166 | 172 | HFLKCCN | 1.139 |
| 136 | 142 | GRPKDDR | 5.986 |  |  |  |  | 167 | 173 | FLKCCNT | 1.111 |
| 137 | 143 | RPKDDRH | 5.471 |  |  |  |  | 168 | 174 | LKCCNTT | 1.085 |
| 138 | 144 | PKDDRHL | 3.557 |  |  |  |  | 169 | 175 | KCCNTTK | 1.04 |
| 139 | 145 | KDDRHLR | 3.857 |  |  |  |  | 170 | 176 | CCNTTKC | 1.109 |
| 140 | 146 | DDRHLRG | 3.857 |  |  |  |  | 176 | 182 | CNEGPIL | 1.054 |
| 141 | 147 | DRHLRGC | 2.629 |  |  |  |  | 178 | 184 | EGPILEL | 1.042 |
| 151 | 157 | PGCPGSN | 4.357 |  |  |  |  | 179 | 185 | GPILELE | 1.042 |
| 152 | 158 | GCPGSNG | 4.871 |  |  |  |  | 181 | 187 | ILELENL | 1.054 |
| 153 | 159 | CPGSNGF | 2.743 |  |  |  |  | 182 | 188 | LELENLP | 1.042 |
| 154 | 160 | PGSNGFH | 2.843 |  |  |  |  | 191 | 197 | GRQCYSC | 1.108 |
| 155 | 161 | GSNGFHN | 3.543 |  |  |  |  | 192 | 198 | RQCYSCK | 1.116 |
| 156 | 162 | SNGFHNN | 3.729 |  |  |  |  | 193 | 199 | QCYSCKG | 1.117 |
| 157 | 163 | NGFHNND | 4.229 |  |  |  |  | 194 | 200 | CYSCKGN | 1.082 |
| 158 | 164 | GFHNNDT | 3.971 |  |  |  |  | 201 | 207 | STHGCSS | 1.048 |
| 160 | 166 | HNNDTFH | 3.457 |  |  |  |  | 209 | 215 | ETFLIDC | 1.076 |
| 168 | 174 | LKCCNTT | 2.386 |  |  |  |  | 210 | 216 | TFLIDCR | 1.079 |
| 169 | 175 | KCCNTTK | 4.514 |  |  |  |  | 211 | 217 | FLIDCRG | 1.074 |
| 170 | 176 | CCNTTKC | 3.9 |  |  |  |  | 212 | 218 | LIDCRGP | 1.07 |
| 171 | 177 | CNTTKCN | 4.7 |  |  |  |  | 218 | 224 | PMNQCLV | 1.104 |
| 172 | 178 | NTTKCNE | 5.614 |  |  |  |  | 219 | 225 | MNQCLVA | 1.104 |



Table A 4.3 : Predicted B-cell epitopes using Karpluz \& Schulz flexibility and Chou \& Fasman beta turns

Karpluz \& Schulz flexibility : threshold value 1.003 Chou \& Fasman beta turns : 1.048

| Karplus \& Schulz (1.003) |  |  |  | Chou \& Fasman (1.048) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Starting | Ending residue | Peptide | Score | Starting | Ending residue | Peptide | Score |
| 9 | 15 | NGDCRVE | 1.013 | 8 | 14 | TNGDCRV | 1.169 |
| 17 | 23 | CALGQDL | 1.022 | 9 | 15 | NGDCRVE | 1.137 |
| 18 | 24 | ALGQDLC | 1.042 | 20 | 26 | GQDLCRT | 1.099 |
| 19 | 25 | LGQDLCR | 1.035 | 42 | 48 | EKSCTHS | 1.101 |
| 20 | 26 | GQDLCRT | 1.015 | 43 | 49 | KSCTHSE | 1.101 |
| 30 | 36 | RLWEEGE | 1.024 | 44 | 50 | SCTHSEK | 1.101 |
| 31 | 37 | LWEEGEE | 1.058 | 46 | 52 | THSEKTN | 1.087 |
| 32 | 38 | WEEGEEL | 1.072 | 47 | 53 | HSEKTNR | 1.086 |
| 33 | 39 | EEGEELE | 1.063 | 48 | 54 | SEKTNRT | 1.087 |
| 34 | 40 | EGEELEL | 1.033 | 50 | 56 | KTNRTLS | 1.066 |
| 39 | 45 | ELVEKSC | 1.007 | 51 | 57 | TNRTLSY | 1.084 |
| 40 | 46 | LVEKSCT | 1.018 | 52 | 58 | NRTLSYR | 1.083 |
| 41 | 47 | VEKSCTH | 1.013 | 54 | 60 | TLSYRTG | 1.084 |
| 44 | 50 | SCTHSEK | 1.008 | 56 | 62 | SYRTGLK | 1.091 |
| 45 | 51 | CTHSEKT | 1.032 | 71 | 77 | CGLDLCN | 1.163 |
| 46 | 52 | THSEKTN | 1.06 | 72 | 78 | GLDLCNQ | 1.133 |
| 47 | 53 | HSEKTNR | 1.076 | 73 | 79 | LDLCNQG | 1.133 |
| 48 | 54 | SEKTNRT | 1.08 | 74 | 80 | DLCNQGN | 1.271 |
| 49 | 55 | EKTNRTL | 1.072 | 75 | 81 | LCNQGNS | 1.267 |
| 50 | 56 | KTNRTLS | 1.047 | 76 | 82 | CNQGNSG | 1.406 |
| 51 | 57 | TNRTLSY | 1.021 | 77 | 83 | NQGNSGR | 1.371 |
| 55 | 61 | LSYRTGL | 1.005 | 78 | 84 | QGNSGRA | 1.243 |
| 56 | 62 | SYRTGLK | 1.021 | 79 | 85 | GNSGRAV | 1.174 |
| 57 | 63 | YRTGLKI | 1.026 | 80 | 86 | NSGRAVT | 1.089 |
| 58 | 64 | RTGLKIT | 1.025 | 85 | 91 | VTYSRSR | 1.051 |
| 59 | 65 | TGLKITS | 1.028 | 94 | 100 | ECISCGS | 1.144 |
| 60 | 66 | GLKITSL | 1.023 | 95 | 101 | CISCGSS | 1.243 |
| 61 | 67 | LKITSLT | 1.026 | 96 | 102 | ISCGSSD | 1.281 |
| 62 | 68 | KITSLTE | 1.028 | 97 | 103 | SCGSSDM | 1.3 |
| 63 | 69 | ITSLTEV | 1.017 | 98 | 104 | CGSSDMS | 1.3 |
| 64 | 70 | TSLTEVV | 1.008 | 99 | 105 | GSSDMSC | 1.3 |
| 74 | 80 | DLCNQGN | 1.026 | 100 | 106 | SSDMSCE | 1.183 |
| 75 | 81 | LCNQGNS | 1.077 | 101 | 107 | SDMSCER | 1.114 |
| 76 | 82 | CNQGNSG | 1.111 | 102 | 108 | DMSCERG | 1.133 |
| 77 | 83 | NQGNSGR | 1.128 | 103 | 109 | MSCERGR | 1.06 |
| 78 | 84 | QGNSGRA | 1.119 | 104 | 110 | SCERGRH | 1.11 |


| Table A 4.3 (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 85 | GNSGRAV | 1.085 | 106 | 112 | ERGRHQS | 1.08 |
| 80 | 86 | NSGRAVT | 1.039 | 107 | 113 | RGRHQSL | 1.059 |
| 85 | 91 | VTYSRSR | 1.022 | 108 | 114 | GRHQSLQ | 1.063 |
| 96 | 102 | ISCGSSD | 1.045 | 111 | 117 | QSLQCRS | 1.079 |
| 97 | 103 | SCGSSDM | 1.08 | 112 | 118 | SLQCRSP | 1.156 |
| 98 | 104 | CGSSDMS | 1.082 | 113 | 119 | LQCRSPE | 1.057 |
| 99 | 105 | GSSDMSC | 1.056 | 114 | 120 | QCRSPEE | 1.079 |
| 100 | 106 | SSDMSCE | 1.018 | 115 | 121 | CRSPEEQ | 1.079 |
| 103 | 109 | MSCERGR | 1.015 | 116 | 122 | RSPEEQC | 1.079 |
| 104 | 110 | SCERGRH | 1.035 | 132 | 138 | EGEEGRP | 1.116 |
| 105 | 111 | CERGRHQ | 1.049 | 133 | 139 | GEEGRPK | 1.154 |
| 106 | 112 | ERGRHQS | 1.044 | 134 | 140 | EEGRPKD | 1.14 |
| 107 | 113 | RGRHQSL | 1.03 | 135 | 141 | EGRPKDD | 1.243 |
| 108 | 114 | GRHQSLQ | 1.014 | 136 | 142 | GRPKDDR | 1.273 |
| 113 | 119 | LQCRSPE | 1.027 | 137 | 143 | RPKDDRH | 1.186 |
| 114 | 120 | QCRSPEE | 1.063 | 138 | 144 | PKDDRHL | 1.134 |
| 115 | 121 | CRSPEEQ | 1.078 | 139 | 145 | KDDRHLR | 1.053 |
| 116 | 122 | RSPEEQC | 1.07 | 140 | 146 | DDRHLRG | 1.131 |
| 117 | 123 | SPEEQCL | 1.046 | 141 | 147 | DRHLRGC | 1.093 |
| 118 | 124 | PEEQCLD | 1.008 | 142 | 148 | RHLRGCG | 1.107 |
| 129 | 135 | WIQEGEE | 1.047 | 143 | 149 | HLRGCGY | 1.134 |
| 130 | 136 | IQEGEEG | 1.088 | 144 | 150 | LRGCGYL | 1.083 |
| 131 | 137 | QEGEEGR | 1.101 | 145 | 151 | RGCGYLP | 1.216 |
| 132 | 138 | EGEEGRP | 1.1 | 146 | 152 | GCGYLPG | 1.303 |
| 133 | 139 | GEEGRPK | 1.094 | 147 | 153 | CGYLPGC | 1.25 |
| 134 | 140 | EEGRPKD | 1.078 | 148 | 154 | GYLPGCP | 1.297 |
| 135 | 141 | EGRPKDD | 1.068 | 149 | 155 | YLPGCPG | 1.297 |
| 136 | 142 | GRPKDDR | 1.059 | 150 | 156 | LPGCPGS | 1.339 |
| 137 | 143 | RPKDDRH |  | 151 | 157 | PGCPGSN | 1.477 |
| 138 | 144 | PKDDRHL | 1.026 | 152 | 158 | GCPGSNG | 1.483 |
| 139 | 145 | KDDRHLR | 1.011 | 153 | 159 | CPGSNGF | 1.346 |
| 148 | 154 | GYLPGCP | 1.009 | 154 | 160 | PGSNGFH | 1.311 |
| 149 | 155 | YLPGCPG | 1.029 | 155 | 161 | GSNGFHN | 1.317 |
| 150 | 156 | LPGCPGS | 1.05 | 156 | 162 | SNGFHNN | 1.317 |
| 151 | 157 | PGCPGSN | 1.079 | 157 | 163 | NGFHNND | 1.321 |
| 152 | 158 | GCPGSNG | 1.098 | 158 | 164 | GFHNNDT | 1.236 |
| 153 | 159 | CPGSNGF | 1.099 | 159 | 165 | FHNNDTF | 1.099 |
| 154 | 160 | PGSNGFH | 1.078 | 160 | 166 | HNNDTFH | 1.149 |


| Table A 4.3 (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 155 | 161 | GSNGFHN | 1.04 | 161 | 167 | NNDTFHF | 1.099 |
| 156 | 162 | SNGFHNN | 1.01 | 168 | 174 | LKCCNTT | 1.066 |
| 158 | 164 | GFHNNDT | 1.013 | 169 | 175 | KCCNTTK | 1.126 |
| 159 | 165 | FHNNDTF | 1.026 | 170 | 176 | CCNTTKC | 1.151 |
| 160 | 166 | HNNDTFH | 1.02 | 171 | 177 | CNTTKCN | 1.204 |
| 170 | 176 | CCNTTKC | 1.018 | 172 | 178 | NTTKCNE | 1.14 |
| 171 | 177 | CNTTKCN | 1.036 | 173 | 179 | TTKCNEG | 1.14 |
| 172 | 178 | NTTKCNE | 1.043 | 174 | 180 | TKCNEGP | 1.22 |
| 173 | 179 | TTKCNEG | 1.041 | 175 | 181 | KCNEGPI | 1.15 |
| 174 | 180 | TKCNEGP | 1.05 | 176 | 182 | CNEGPIL | 1.09 |
| 175 | 181 | KCNEGPI | 1.055 | 184 | 190 | LENLPQN | 1.077 |
| 176 | 182 | CNEGPIL | 1.051 | 185 | 191 | ENLPQNG | 1.216 |
| 177 | 183 | NEGPILE | 1.031 | 186 | 192 | NLPQNGR | 1.246 |
| 183 | 189 | ELENLPQ | 1.015 | 187 | 193 | LPQNGRQ | 1.163 |
| 184 | 190 | LENLPQN | 1.039 | 188 | 194 | PQNGRQC | 1.249 |
| 185 | 191 | ENLPQNG | 1.073 | 189 | 195 | QNGRQCY | 1.194 |
| 186 | 192 | NLPQNGR | 1.095 | 190 | 196 | NGRQCYS | 1.259 |
| 187 | 193 | LPQNGRQ | 1.102 | 191 | 197 | GRQCYSC | 1.206 |
| 188 | 194 | PQNGRQC | 1.086 | 192 | 198 | RQCYSCK | 1.127 |
| 189 | 195 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QNGRQC } \\ & \mathrm{Y} \end{aligned}$ | 1.047 | 193 | 199 | QCYSCKG | 1.214 |
| 195 | 201 | YSCKGNS | 1.051 | 194 | 200 | CYSCKGN | 1.297 |
| 196 | 202 | SCKGNST | 1.087 | 195 | 201 | YSCKGNS | 1.331 |
| 197 | 203 | CKGNSTH | 1.101 | 196 | 202 | SCKGNST | 1.306 |
| 198 | 204 | KGNSTHG | 1.09 | 197 | 203 | CKGNSTH | 1.237 |
| 199 | 205 | GNSTHGC | 1.052 | 198 | 204 | KGNSTHG | 1.29 |
| 200 | 206 | NSTHGCS | 1.017 | 199 | 205 | GNSTHGC | 1.316 |
| 202 | 208 | THGCSSE | 1.012 | 200 | 206 | NSTHGCS | 1.297 |
| 203 | 209 | HGCSSEE | 1.043 | 201 | 207 | STHGCSS | 1.279 |
| 204 | 210 | GCSSEET | 1.074 | 202 | 208 | THGCSSE | 1.18 |
| 205 | 211 | CSSEETF | 1.077 | 203 | 209 | HGCSSEE | 1.149 |
| 206 | 212 | SSEETFL | 1.059 | 204 | 210 | GCSSEET | 1.15 |
| 207 | 213 | SEETFLI | 1.02 | 212 | 218 | LIDCRGP | 1.106 |
| 213 | 219 | IDCRGPM | 1.018 | 213 | 219 | IDCRGPM | 1.107 |
| 214 | 220 | DCRGPM <br> N | 1.041 | 214 | 220 | DCRGPMN | 1.263 |
| 215 | 221 | CRGPMN Q | 1.041 | 215 | 221 | CRGPMNQ | 1.194 |
| 216 | 222 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RGPMNQ } \\ & \text { C } \end{aligned}$ | 1.019 | 216 | 222 | RGPMNQC | 1.194 |


|  |  |  |  | 217 | 223 | GPMNQCL | 1.143 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## APPENDIX 5

## Predicted epitopes for the GPNMB antigen

Table A 5.1 : Predicted linear B-cell epitope peptides using BepiPred 2.0 prediction tool
Threshold value : 0.500
Bepipred 2.0 (0.500)(3 peaks selected)

| Starting | Ending residue | Peptide | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | 75 | VLGNERPSAYMREHNQLNGWSSDENDWNEKLYPVWKRGDMRWKNSWKG | 48 |
| 117 | 157 | EKNCRNEAGLSADPYVYNWTAWSEDSDGENGTGQSHHNVFP | 41 |
| 323 | 372 | CPPPPPPPRPSKPTPSLATTLKSYDSNTPGPAGDNPLELSRIPDENCQIN | 50 |
| 400 | 408 | MPVPWPESS | 9 |
| 184 | 204 | FQKLGRCSVRVSVNTANVTLG | 21 |
| 216 | 224 | HGRAYVPIA | 9 |
| 216 | 224 | HGRAYVPIA | 9 |

Table A 5.2: Predicted B-cell epitopes using Parker and Emini prediction tools.
Parker Hydrophilicity : threshold value 2.314
Emini surface accessibility : threshold value 1.000

| Parker (2.314) | Emini (1.000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Starting | Ending residue | Peptide | Score | Starting | Ending residue | Peptide | Score |
| 18 | 24 | PLDAAKR | 2.429 | 19 | 24 | LDAAKR | 1.253 |
| 20 | 26 | DAAKRFH | 2.429 | 20 | 25 | DAAKRF | 1.316 |
| 21 | 27 | AAKRFHD | 2.429 | 21 | 26 | AAKRFH | 1.072 |
| 26 | 32 | HDVLGNE | 2.814 | 22 | 27 | AKRFHD | 1.772 |
| 27 | 33 | DVLGNER | 3.114 | 23 | 28 | KRFHDV | 1.302 |
| 29 | 35 | LGNERPS | 3.443 | 29 | 34 | LGNERP | 1.567 |
| 30 | 36 | GNERPSA | 5.057 | 30 | 35 | GNERPS | 2.546 |
| 31 | 37 | NERPSAY | 3.971 | 31 | 36 | NERPSA | 2.599 |
| 32 | 38 | ERPSAYM | 2.371 | 32 | 37 | ERPSAY | 2.532 |
| 34 | 40 | PSAYMRE | 2.371 | 33 | 38 | RPSAYM | 1.447 |
| 35 | 41 | SAYMREH | 2.371 | 34 | 39 | PSAYMR | 1.447 |
| 36 | 42 | AYMREHN | 2.443 | 35 | 40 | SAYMRE | 1.62 |
| 37 | 43 | YMREHNQ | 3 | 36 | 41 | AYMREH | 1.645 |
| 39 | 45 | REHNQLN | 3.557 | 37 | 42 | YMREHN | 2.619 |
| 40 | 46 | EHNQLNG | 3.771 | 38 | 43 | MREHNQ | 2.895 |
| 44 | 50 | LNGWSSD | 2.357 | 39 | 44 | REHNQL | 2.412 |
| 45 | 51 | NGWSSDE | 4.786 | 40 | 45 | EHNQLN | 1.981 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A 5.2 (continued)

| 46 | 52 | GWSSDEN | 4.786 | 41 | 46 | HNQLNG | 1.132 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | 53 | WSSDEND | 5.4 | 45 | 50 | NGWSSD | 1.142 |
| 48 | 54 | SSDENDW | 5.4 | 46 | 51 | GWSSDE | 1.23 |
| 49 | 55 | SDENDWN | 5.471 | 47 | 52 | WSSDEN | 1.999 |
| 50 | 56 | DENDWNE | 5.657 | 48 | 53 | SSDEND | 3.174 |
| 51 | 57 | ENDWNEK | 5.043 | 49 | 54 | SDENDW | 2.491 |
| 52 | 58 | NDWNEKL | 2.614 | 50 | 55 | DENDWN | 2.989 |
| 65 | 71 | GDMRWKN | 2.629 | 51 | 56 | ENDWNE | 3.099 |
| 66 | 72 | DMRWKNS | 2.743 | 52 | 57 | NDWNEK | 3.579 |
| 70 | 76 | KNSWKGG | 3.757 | 53 | 58 | DWNEKL | 1.835 |
| 71 | 77 | NSWKGGR | 3.543 | 54 | 59 | WNEKLY | 1.722 |
| 74 | 80 | KGGRVQA | 3.671 | 55 | 60 | NEKLYP | 2.533 |
| 75 | 81 | GGRVQAV | 2.329 | 56 | 61 | EKLYPV | 1.169 |
| 79 | 85 | QAVLTSD | 2.414 | 59 | 64 | YPVWKR | 1.685 |
| 80 | 86 | AVLTSDS | 2.486 | 60 | 65 | PVWKRG | 1.065 |
| 81 | 87 | VLTSDSP | 2.486 | 61 | 66 | VWKRGD | 1.15 |
| 82 | 88 | LTSDSPA | 3.314 | 62 | 67 | WKRGDM | 1.533 |
| 83 | 89 | TSDSPAL | 3.314 | 63 | 68 | KRGDMR | 2.855 |
| 102 | 108 | FPRCQKE | 2.571 | 64 | 69 | RGDMRW | 1.501 |
| 103 | 109 | PRCQKED | 5.314 | 65 | 70 | GDMRWK | 1.533 |
| 104 | 110 | RCQKEDA | 5.314 | 66 | 71 | DMRWKN | 2.491 |
| 105 | 111 | CQKEDAN | 5.714 | 67 | 72 | MRWKNS | 1.999 |
| 106 | 112 | QKEDANG | 6.329 | 68 | 73 | RWKNSW | 2.124 |
| 107 | 113 | KEDANGN | 6.471 | 69 | 74 | WKNSWK | 2.169 |
| 108 | 114 | EDANGNI | 4.514 | 70 | 75 | KNSWKG | 2.041 |
| 109 | 115 | DANGNIV | 2.871 | 71 | 76 | NSWKGG | 1.01 |
| 115 | 121 | VYEKNCR | 2.929 | 72 | 77 | SWKGGR | 1.23 |
| 116 | 122 | YEKNCRN | 4.457 | 74 | 79 | KGGRVQ | 1.122 |
| 117 | 123 | EKNCRNE | 5.843 | 82 | 87 | LTSDSP | 1.256 |
| 118 | 124 | KNCRNEA | 5.029 | 83 | 88 | TSDSPA | 1.539 |
| 119 | 125 | NCRNEAG | 5.029 | 102 | 107 | FPRCQK | 1.108 |
| 120 | 126 | CRNEAGL | 2.714 | 103 | 108 | PRCQKE | 2.216 |
| 121 | 127 | RNEAGLS | 3.443 | 104 | 109 | RCQKED | 2.393 |
| 122 | 128 | NEAGLSA | 3.143 | 105 | 110 | CQKEDA | 1.234 |
| 123 | 129 | EAGLSAD | 3.571 | 106 | 111 | QKEDAN | 3.703 |
| 124 | 130 | AGLSADP | 2.757 | 107 | 112 | KEDANG | 2.116 |
| 136 | 142 | TAWSEDS | 4.014 | 108 | 113 | EDANGN | 1.702 |
| 137 | 143 | AWSEDSD | 4.7 | 113 | 118 | NIVYEK | 1.033 |

Table A 5.2 (continued)

| 138 | 144 | WSEDSDG | 5.214 | 114 | 119 | IVYEKN | 1.033 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 139 | 145 | SEDSDGE | 7.757 | 116 | 121 | YEKNCR | 2.085 |
| 140 | 146 | EDSDGEN | 7.829 | 117 | 122 | EKNCRN | 2.14 |
| 141 | 147 | DSDGENG | 7.529 | 118 | 123 | KNCRNE | 2.14 |
| 142 | 148 | SDGENGT | 6.843 | 119 | 124 | NCRNEA | 1.081 |
| 143 | 149 | DGENGTG | 6.729 | 121 | 126 | RNEAGL | 1.023 |
| 144 | 150 | GENGTGQ | 6.157 | 126 | 131 | LSADPY | 1.028 |
| 145 | 151 | ENGTGQS | 6.271 | 128 | 133 | ADPYVY | 1.082 |
| 146 | 152 | NGTGQSH | 5.457 | 129 | 134 | DPYVYN | 1.722 |
| 147 | 153 | GTGQSHH | 4.757 | 130 | 135 | PYVYNW | 1.084 |
| 148 | 154 | TGQSHHN | 4.943 | 131 | 136 | YVYNWT | 1.012 |
| 149 | 155 | GQSHHNV | 3.671 | 136 | 141 | TAWSED | 1.352 |
| 154 | 160 | NVFPDGK | 2.514 | 137 | 142 | AWSEDS | 1.256 |
| 157 | 163 | PDGKPFP | 2.643 | 138 | 143 | WSEDSD | 2.075 |
| 158 | 164 | DGKPFPH | 2.643 | 139 | 144 | SEDSDG | 1.953 |
| 185 | 191 | QKLGRCS | 2.9 | 140 | 145 | EDSDGE | 2.524 |
| 193 | 199 | RVSVNTA | 2.514 | 141 | 146 | DSDGEN | 2.344 |
| 194 | 200 | VSVNTAN | 2.914 | 142 | 147 | SDGENG | 1.389 |
| 195 | 201 | SVNTANV | 2.914 | 143 | 148 | DGENGT | 1.496 |
| 196 | 202 | VNTANVT | 2.729 | 145 | 150 | ENGTGQ | 1.551 |
| 213 | 219 | YRRHGRA | 2.943 | 146 | 151 | NGTGQS | 1.2 |
| 214 | 220 | RRHGRAY | 2.943 | 147 | 152 | GTGQSH | 1.016 |
| 241 | 247 | TMFQKND | 2.929 | 148 | 153 | TGQSHH | 1.397 |
| 242 | 248 | MFQKNDR | 2.786 | 149 | 154 | GQSHHN | 1.556 |
| 243 | 249 | FQKNDRN | 4.386 | 150 | 155 | QSHHNV | 1.167 |
| 244 | 250 | QKNDRNS | 6.629 | 156 | 161 | FPDGKP | 1.557 |
| 245 | 251 | KNDRNSS | 6.7 | 157 | 162 | PDGKPF | 1.557 |
| 246 | 252 | NDRNSSD | 7.314 | 158 | 163 | DGKPFP | 1.557 |
| 247 | 253 | DRNSSDE | 7.429 | 159 | 164 | GKPFPH | 1.269 |
| 248 | 254 | RNSSDET | 6.743 | 160 | 165 | KPFPHH | 1.745 |
| 249 | 255 | NSSDETF | 4.829 | 161 | 166 | PFPHHP | 1.349 |
| 250 | 256 | SSDETFL | 2.514 | 163 | 168 | PHHPGW | 1.048 |
| 251 | 257 | SDETFLK | 2.4 | 164 | 169 | HHPGWR | 1.328 |
| 252 | 258 | DETFLKD | 2.9 | 165 | 170 | HPGWRR | 1.911 |
| 284 | 290 | SFGDNTG | 4.414 | 166 | 171 | PGWRRW | 1.477 |
| 293 | 299 | VSTNHTV | 2.657 | 167 | 172 | GWRRWN | 1.536 |
| 294 | 300 | STNHTVN | 4.186 | 168 | 173 | WRRWNF | 1.344 |
| 295 | 301 | TNHTVNH | 3.557 | 181 | 186 | GQYFQK | 1.833 |

Table A 5.2 (continued)

| 296 | 302 | NHTVNHT | 3.557 | 182 | 187 | QYFQKL | 1.527 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 316 | 322 | KAAAPGP | 3.129 | 184 | 189 | FQKLGR | 1.091 |
| 317 | 323 | AAAPGPC | 2.514 | 210 | 215 | VTVYRR | 1.088 |
| 318 | 324 | AAPGPCP | 2.514 | 211 | 216 | TVYRRH | 1.994 |
| 319 | 325 | APGPCPP | 2.514 | 212 | 217 | VYRRHG | 1.367 |
| 320 | 326 | PGPCPPP | 2.514 | 213 | 218 | YRRHGR | 3.608 |
| 321 | 327 | GPCPPPP | 2.514 | 214 | 219 | RRHGRA | 2.326 |
| 325 | 331 | PPPPPPR | 2.4 | 215 | 220 | RHGRAY | 1.861 |
| 326 | 332 | PPPPPRP | 2.4 | 225 | 230 | QVKDVY | 1.136 |
| 327 | 333 | PPPPRPS | 3.029 | 241 | 246 | TMFQKN | 1.568 |
| 328 | 334 | PPPRPSK | 3.543 | 242 | 247 | MFQKND | 1.814 |
| 329 | 335 | PPRPSKP | 3.543 | 243 | 248 | FQKNDR | 3.59 |
| 330 | 336 | PRPSKPT | 3.986 | 244 | 249 | QKNDRN | 6.667 |
| 331 | 337 | RPSKPTP | 3.986 | 245 | 250 | KNDRNS | 5.159 |
| 332 | 338 | PSKPTPS | 4.314 | 246 | 251 | NDRNSS | 3.457 |
| 333 | 339 | SKPTPSL | 2.7 | 247 | 252 | DRNSSD | 3.59 |
| 336 | 342 | TPSLATT | 2.443 | 248 | 253 | RNSSDE | 3.723 |
| 341 | 347 | TTLKSYD | 3.071 | 249 | 254 | NSSDET | 2.743 |
| 342 | 348 | TLKSYDS | 3.257 | 250 | 255 | SSDETF | 1.477 |
| 343 | 349 | LKSYDSN | 3.514 | 252 | 257 | DETFLK | 1.356 |
| 344 | 350 | KSYDSNT | 5.571 | 253 | 258 | ETFLKD | 1.356 |
| 345 | 351 | SYDSNTP | 5.057 | 267 | 272 | IHDPSH | 1.022 |
| 346 | 352 | YDSNTPG | 4.943 | 268 | 273 | HDPSHF | 1.263 |
| 347 | 353 | DSNTPGP | 5.514 | 275 | 280 | NYSTIN | 1.25 |
| 348 | 354 | SNTPGPA | 4.386 | 276 | 281 | YSTINY | 1.218 |
| 349 | 355 | NTPGPAG | 4.271 | 277 | 282 | STINYK | 1.555 |
| 350 | 356 | TPGPAGD | 4.7 | 278 | 283 | TINYKW | 1.22 |
| 351 | 357 | PGPAGDN | 4.957 | 279 | 284 | INYKWS | 1.133 |
| 352 | 358 | GPAGDNP | 4.957 | 280 | 285 | NYKWSF | 1.399 |
| 353 | 359 | PAGDNPL | 2.829 | 284 | 289 | SFGDNT | 1.013 |
| 354 | 360 | AGDNPLE | 3.643 | 293 | 298 | VSTNHT | 1.032 |
| 362 | 368 | SRIPDEN | 4.229 | 294 | 299 | STNHTV | 1.032 |
| 363 | 369 | RIPDENC | 3.5 | 295 | 300 | TNHTVN | 1.238 |
| 364 | 370 | IPDENCQ | 3.757 | 296 | 301 | NHTVNH | 1.167 |
| 365 | 371 | PDENCQI | 3.757 | 297 | 302 | HTVNHT | 1.048 |
| 366 | 372 | DENCQIN | 4.457 | 298 | 303 | TVNHTY | 1.206 |
| 367 | 373 | ENCQINR | 3.629 | 322 | 327 | PCPPPP | 1.078 |
| 413 | 419 | VVTCQGS | 2.486 | 323 | 328 | CPPPPP | 1.078 |

## Table A 5.2 (continued)

| (able 5.2 (continud) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 415 | 421 | TCQGSIP | 2.7 | 324 | 329 | PPPPPP | 3.111 |
| 416 | 422 | CQGSIPT | 2.7 | 325 | 330 | PPPPPP | 3.111 |
| 417 | 423 | QGSIPTE | 3.614 | 326 | 331 | PPPPPR | 3.94 |
| 428 | 434 | ISDPTCE | 3.571 | 327 | 332 | PPPPRP | 3.94 |
| 429 | 435 | SDPTCEI | 3.571 | 328 | 333 | PPPRPS | 3.415 |
| 430 | 436 | DPTCEIT | 3.386 | 329 | 334 | PPRPSK | 4.416 |
| 431 | 437 | PTCEITQ | 2.814 | 330 | 335 | PRPSKP | 4.416 |
| 432 | 438 | TCEITQN | 3.514 | 331 | 336 | RPSKPT | 4.122 |
| 433 | 439 | CEITQNT | 3.514 | 332 | 337 | PSKPTP | 3.254 |
| 434 | 440 | EITQNTV | 2.786 | 333 | 338 | SKPTPS | 2.82 |
| 436 | 442 | TQNTVCS | 3.943 | 334 | 339 | KPTPSL | 1.736 |
| 437 | 443 | QNTVCSP | 3.5 | 340 | 345 | ATTLKS | 1.058 |
| 439 | 445 | TVCSPVD | 2.543 | 341 | 346 | TTLKSY | 1.641 |
| 441 | 447 | CSPVDVD | 3.229 | 342 | 347 | TLKSYD | 1.899 |
| 442 | 448 | SPVDVDE | 4.143 | 343 | 348 | LKSYDS | 1.764 |
| 443 | 449 | PVDVDEM | 2.614 | 344 | 349 | KSYDSN | 3.439 |
| 444 | 450 | VDVDEMC | 2.514 | 345 | 350 | SYDSNT | 2.482 |
| 455 | 461 | RRTFNGS | 3.371 | 346 | 351 | YDSNTP | 2.864 |
| 456 | 462 | RTFNGSG | 3.586 | 347 | 352 | DSNTPG | 1.809 |
| 457 | 463 | TFNGSGT | 3.729 | 348 | 353 | SNTPGP | 1.675 |
| 458 | 464 | FNGSGTY | 2.714 | 349 | 354 | NTPGPA | 1.262 |
| 459 | 465 | NGSGTYC | 4.229 | 353 | 358 | PAGDNP | 1.461 |
| 460 | 466 | GSGTYCV | 2.7 | 355 | 360 | GDNPLE | 1.336 |
| 461 | 467 | SGTYCVN | 2.886 | 356 | 361 | DNPLEL | 1.113 |
| 467 | 473 | NLTLGDD | 2.786 | 358 | 363 | PLELSR | 1.088 |
| 468 | 474 | LTLGDDT | 2.529 | 362 | 367 | SRIPDE | 1.872 |
| 469 | 475 | TLGDDTS | 4.771 | 363 | 368 | RIPDEN | 2.247 |
| 470 | 476 | LGDDTSL | 2.714 | 365 | 370 | PDENCQ | 1.519 |
| 471 | 477 | GDDTSLA | 4.329 | 370 | 375 | QINRYG | 1.349 |
| 483 | 489 | ISVPDRD | 3.014 | 371 | 376 | INRYGH | 1.06 |
| 484 | 490 | SVPDRDP | 4.457 | 372 | 377 | NRYGHF | 1.31 |
| 485 | 491 | VPDRDPA | 3.829 | 373 | 378 | RYGHFQ | 1.41 |
| 486 | 492 | PDRDPAS | 5.286 | 401 | 406 | PVPWPE | 1.137 |
| 487 | 493 | DRDPASP | 5.286 | 403 | 408 | PWPESS | 1.779 |
| 488 | 494 | RDPASPL | 2.543 | 429 | 434 | SDPTCE | 1.055 |
| 489 | 495 | DPASPLR | 2.543 | 434 | 439 | EITQNT | 1.603 |
|  |  |  |  | 452 | 457 | LTVRRT | 1.113 |
|  |  |  |  | 453 | 458 | TVRRTF | 1.169 |

Table A 5.2 (continued)


Table A 5.3 : Predicted B-cell epitopes using Karpluz \& Schulz flexibility and Chou \& Fasman beta turns

Karpluz \& Schulz flexibility : threshold value 1.003
Chou \& Fasman beta turns : threshold value 1.048
Kolaskar and Tongaokar antigencity scale : threshold value 1.033

| Karplus \& Schulz (1.003) |  |  |  | Chou \& Fasman (1.048) |  |  |  | Kolaskar and tongaonkar (1.033) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Starting | Ending residue | Peptide | Score | Starting | Ending residue | Peptide | Score | Starting | Ending residue | Peptide | Score |
| 27 | 33 | DVLGNER | 1.026 | 26 | 32 | HDVLGNE | 1.051 | 1 | 7 | MECLYYF | 1.107 |
| 28 | 34 | VLGNERP | 1.054 | 27 | 33 | DVLGNER | 1.051 | 2 | 8 | ECLYYFL | 1.168 |
| 29 | 35 | LGNERPS | 1.065 | 28 | 34 | VLGNERP | 1.06 | 3 | 9 | CLYYFLG | 1.171 |
| 30 | 36 | GNERPSA | 1.057 | 29 | 35 | LGNERPS | 1.193 | 4 | 10 | LYYFLGF | 1.125 |
| 31 | 37 | NERPSAY | 1.032 | 30 | 36 | GNERPSA | 1.203 | 5 | 11 | YYFLGFL | 1.125 |
| 39 | 45 | REHNQLN | 1.006 | 31 | 37 | NERPSAY | 1.143 | 6 | 12 | YFLGFLL | 1.138 |
| 40 | 46 | EHNQLNG | 1.005 | 40 | 46 | EHNQLNG | 1.134 | 7 | 13 | FLGFLLL | 1.151 |
| 43 | 49 | QLNGWSS | 1.012 | 41 | 47 | HNQLNGW | 1.166 | 8 | 14 | LGFLLLA | 1.147 |
| 44 | 50 | LNGWSSD | 1.02 | 42 | 48 | NQLNGWS | 1.234 | 9 | 15 | GFLLLAA | 1.12 |
| 45 | 51 | NGWSSDE | 1.045 | 43 | 49 | QLNGWSS | 1.216 | 10 | 16 | FLLLAAR | 1.12 |
| 46 | 52 | GWSSDEN | 1.07 | 44 | 50 | LNGWSSD | 1.284 | 11 | 17 | LLLAARL | 1.143 |
| 47 | 53 | WSSDEND | 1.079 | 45 | 51 | NGWSSDE | 1.306 | 12 | 18 | LLAARLP | 1.116 |


| Table A 5.3 (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | 54 | SSDENDW | 1.082 | 46 | 52 | GWSSDEN | 1.306 | 13 | 19 | LAARLPL | 1.116 |
| 49 | 55 | SDENDWN | 1.069 | 47 | 53 | WSSDEND | 1.291 | 14 | 20 | AARLPLD | 1.062 |
| 50 | 56 | DENDWNE | 1.051 | 48 | 54 | SSDENDW | 1.291 | 15 | 21 | ARLPLDA | 1.062 |
| 51 | 57 | ENDWNEK | 1.036 | 49 | 55 | SDENDWN | 1.31 | 16 | 22 | RLPLDAA | 1.062 |
| 52 | 58 | NDWNEKL | 1.031 | 50 | 56 | DENDWNE | 1.211 | 17 | 23 | LPLDAAK | 1.07 |
| 53 | 59 | DWNEKLY | 1.027 | 51 | 57 | ENDWNEK | 1.147 | 22 | 28 | AKRFHDV | 1.045 |
| 54 | 60 | WNEKLYP | 1.014 | 52 | 58 | NDWNEKL | 1.126 | 23 | 29 | KRFHDVL | 1.071 |
| 60 | 66 | PVWKRGD | 1.022 | 53 | 59 | DWNEKLY | 1.066 | 24 | 30 | RFHDVLG | 1.063 |
| 61 | 67 | VWKRGDM | 1.044 | 54 | 60 | WNEKLYP | 1.074 | 25 | 31 | FHDVLGN | 1.049 |
| 62 | 68 | WKRGDMR | 1.052 | 59 | 65 | YPVWKRG | 1.091 | 55 | 61 | NEKLYPV | 1.059 |
| 63 | 69 | KRGDMRW | 1.032 | 60 | 66 | PVWKRGD | 1.137 | 56 | 62 | EKLYPVW | 1.076 |
| 67 | 73 | MRWKNSW | 1.014 | 62 | 68 | WKRGDMR | 1.07 | 57 | 63 | KLYPVWK | 1.087 |
| 68 | 74 | RWKNSWK | 1.034 | 63 | 69 | KRGDMRW | 1.07 | 58 | 64 | LYPVWKR | 1.079 |
| 69 | 75 | WKNSWKG | 1.045 | 64 | 70 | RGDMRWK | 1.07 | 75 | 81 | GGRVQAV | 1.067 |
| 70 | 76 | KNSWKGG | 1.052 | 65 | 71 | GDMRWKN | 1.157 | 76 | 82 | GRVQAVL | 1.12 |
| 71 | 77 | NSWKGGR | 1.066 | 66 | 72 | DMRWKNS | 1.139 | 77 | 83 | RVQAVLT | 1.125 |
| 72 | 78 | SWKGGRV | 1.073 | 67 | 73 | MRWKNSW | 1.067 | 78 | 84 | VQAVLTS | 1.145 |
| 73 | 79 | WKGGRVQ | 1.064 | 68 | 74 | RWKNSWK | 1.126 | 79 | 85 | QAVLTSD | 1.071 |
| 74 | 80 | KGGRVQA | 1.035 | 69 | 75 | WKNSWKG | 1.213 | 80 | 86 | AVLTSDS | 1.071 |
| 80 | 86 | AVLTSDS | 1.038 | 70 | 76 | KNSWKGG | 1.299 | 81 | 87 | VLTSDSP | 1.071 |
| 81 | 87 | VLTSDSP | 1.074 | 71 | 77 | NSWKGGR | 1.29 | 84 | 90 | SDSPALV | 1.093 |
| 82 | 88 | LTSDSPA | 1.083 | 72 | 78 | SWKGGRV | 1.139 | 85 | 91 | DSPALVG | 1.073 |
| 83 | 89 | TSDSPAL | 1.077 | 73 | 79 | WKGGRVQ | 1.074 | 86 | 92 | SPALVGS | 1.094 |
| 84 | 90 | SDSPALV | 1.043 | 81 | 87 | VLTSDSP | 1.127 | 87 | 93 | PALVGSN | 1.06 |
| 85 | 91 | DSPALVG | 1.004 | 82 | 88 | LTSDSPA | 1.15 | 88 | 94 | ALVGSNI | 1.073 |
| 88 | 94 | ALVGSNI | 1.016 | 83 | 89 | TSDSPAL | 1.15 | 89 | 95 | LVGSNIT | 1.051 |
| 89 | 95 | LVGSNIT | 1.033 | 84 | 90 | SDSPALV | 1.084 | 92 | 98 | SNITFAV | 1.055 |
| 90 | 96 | VGSNITF | 1.021 | 85 | 91 | DSPALVG | 1.103 | 94 | 100 | ITFAVNL | 1.089 |
| 101 | 107 | IFPRCQK | 1.006 | 86 | 92 | SPALVGS | 1.099 | 95 | 101 | TFAVNLI | 1.089 |
| 102 | 108 | FPRCQKE | 1.023 | 87 | 93 | PALVGSN | 1.117 | 96 | 102 | FAVNLIF | 1.115 |
| 103 | 109 | PRCQKED | 1.043 | 103 | 109 | PRCQKED | 1.121 | 97 | 103 | AVNLIFP | 1.111 |
| 104 | 110 | RCQKEDA | 1.057 | 105 | 111 | CQKEDAN | 1.086 | 98 | 104 | VNLIFPR | 1.084 |
| 105 | 111 | CQKEDAN | 1.064 | 106 | 112 | QKEDANG | 1.139 | 99 | 105 | NLIFPRC | 1.088 |
| 106 | 112 | QKEDANG | 1.071 | 107 | 113 | KEDANGN | 1.221 | 100 | 106 | LIFPRCQ | 1.122 |
| 107 | 113 | KEDANGN | 1.064 | 108 | 114 | EDANGNI | 1.144 | 101 | 107 | IFPRCQK | 1.077 |
| 108 | 114 | EDANGNI | 1.047 | 109 | 115 | DANGNIV | 1.11 | 102 | 108 | FPRCQKE | 1.034 |
| 109 | 115 | DANGNIV | 1.029 | 110 | 116 | ANGNIVY | 1.064 | 114 | 120 | IVYEKNC | 1.095 |
| 115 | 121 | VYEKNCR | 1.014 | 111 | 117 | NGNIVYE | 1.076 | 115 | 121 | VYEKNCR | 1.055 |


| Table A 5.3 (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116 | 122 | YEKNCRN | 1.022 | 116 | 122 | YEKNCRN | 1.164 | 125 | 131 | GLSADPY | 1.042 |
| 117 | 123 | EKNCRNE | 1.027 | 117 | 123 | EKNCRNE | 1.107 | 126 | 132 | LSADPYV | 1.114 |
| 118 | 124 | KNCRNEA | 1.035 | 118 | 124 | KNCRNEA | 1.096 | 127 | 133 | SADPYVY | 1.102 |
| 119 | 125 | NCRNEAG | 1.037 | 119 | 125 | NCRNEAG | 1.174 | 128 | 134 | ADPYVYN | 1.068 |
| 120 | 126 | CRNEAGL | 1.028 | 121 | 127 | RNEAGLS | 1.07 | 129 | 135 | DPYVYNW | 1.043 |
| 121 | 127 | RNEAGLS | 1.01 | 124 | 130 | AGLSADP | 1.126 | 130 | 136 | PYVYNWT | 1.05 |
| 125 | 131 | GLSADPY | 1.01 | 125 | 131 | GLSADPY | 1.194 | 131 | 137 | YVYNWTA | 1.05 |
| 126 | 132 | LSADPYV | 1.02 | 127 | 133 | SADPYVY | 1.121 | 149 | 155 | GQSHHNV | 1.039 |
| 127 | 133 | SADPYVY | 1.01 | 128 | 134 | ADPYVYN | 1.14 | 150 | 156 | QSHHNVF | 1.07 |
| 136 | 142 | TAWSEDS | 1.018 | 129 | 135 | DPYVYNW | 1.183 | 151 | 157 | SHHNVFP | 1.077 |
| 137 | 143 | AWSEDSD | 1.048 | 130 | 136 | PYVYNWT | 1.111 | 152 | 158 | HHNVFPD | 1.056 |
| 138 | 144 | WSEDSDG | 1.071 | 133 | 139 | YNWTAWS | 1.096 | 155 | 161 | VFPDGKP | 1.039 |
| 139 | 145 | SEDSDGE | 1.093 | 136 | 142 | TAWSEDS | 1.091 | 159 | 165 | GKPFPHH | 1.033 |
| 140 | 146 | EDSDGEN | 1.096 | 137 | 143 | AWSEDSD | 1.163 | 160 | 166 | KPFPHHP | 1.06 |
| 141 | 147 | DSDGENG | 1.106 | 138 | 144 | WSEDSDG | 1.291 | 161 | 167 | PFPHHPG | 1.052 |
| 142 | 148 | SDGENGT | 1.109 | 139 | 145 | SEDSDGE | 1.26 | 170 | 176 | RWNFIYV | 1.047 |
| 143 | 149 | DGENGTG | 1.111 | 140 | 146 | EDSDGEN | 1.279 | 171 | 177 | WNFIYVF | 1.078 |
| 144 | 150 | GENGTGQ | 1.12 | 141 | 147 | DSDGENG | 1.396 | 172 | 178 | NFIYVFH | 1.108 |
| 145 | 151 | ENGTGQS | 1.116 | 142 | 148 | SDGENGT | 1.324 | 173 | 179 | FIYVFHT | 1.127 |
| 146 | 152 | NGTGQSH | 1.108 | 143 | 149 | DGENGTG | 1.343 | 174 | 180 | IYVFHTL | 1.15 |
| 147 | 153 | GTGQSHH | 1.086 | 144 | 150 | GENGTGQ | 1.274 | 175 | 181 | YVFHTLG | 1.11 |
| 148 | 154 | TGQSHHN | 1.046 | 145 | 151 | ENGTGQS | 1.256 | 176 | 182 | VFHTLGQ | 1.09 |
| 149 | 155 | GQSHHNV | 1.004 | 146 | 152 | NGTGQSH | 1.286 | 177 | 183 | FHTLGQY | 1.058 |
| 154 | 160 | NVFPDGK | 1.021 | 147 | 153 | GTGQSHH | 1.199 | 178 | 184 | HTLGQYF | 1.058 |
| 155 | 161 | VFPDGKP | 1.052 | 148 | 154 | TGQSHHN | 1.199 | 179 | 185 | TLGQYFQ | 1.045 |
| 156 | 162 | FPDGKPF | 1.071 | 149 | 155 | GQSHHNV | 1.133 | 180 | 186 | LGQYFQK | 1.048 |
| 157 | 163 | PDGKPFP | 1.062 | 151 | 157 | SHHNVFP | 1.073 | 181 | 187 | GQYFQKL | 1.048 |
| 158 | 164 | DGKPFPH | 1.034 | 152 | 158 | HHNVFPD | 1.077 | 182 | 188 | QYFQKLG | 1.048 |
| 164 | 170 | HHPGWRR | 1.009 | 153 | 159 | HNVFPDG | 1.164 | 184 | 190 | FQKLGRC | 1.064 |
| 183 | 189 | YFQKLGR | 1.011 | 154 | 160 | NVFPDGK | 1.173 | 185 | 191 | QKLGRCS | 1.052 |
| 184 | 190 | FQKLGRC | 1.014 | 155 | 161 | VFPDGKP | 1.167 | 186 | 192 | KLGRCSV | 1.105 |
| 185 | 191 | QKLGRCS | 1.012 | 156 | 162 | FPDGKPF | 1.181 | 187 | 193 | LGRCSVR | 1.097 |
| 195 | 201 | SVNTANV | 1.005 | 157 | 163 | PDGKPFP | 1.313 | 188 | 194 | GRCSVRV | 1.116 |
| 201 | 207 | VTLGPQL | 1.006 | 158 | 164 | DGKPFPH | 1.231 | 189 | 195 | RCSVRVS | 1.135 |
| 202 | 208 | TLGPQLM | 1.005 | 159 | 165 | GKPFPHH | 1.159 | 190 | 196 | CSVRVSV | 1.208 |
| 212 | 218 | VYRRHGR | 1.003 | 160 | 166 | KPFPHHP | 1.153 | 191 | 197 | SVRVSVN | 1.117 |
| 213 | 219 | YRRHGRA | 1.008 | 161 | 167 | PFPHHPG | 1.231 | 192 | 198 | VRVSVNT | 1.103 |
| 231 | 237 | VVTDQIP | 1.004 | 162 | 168 | FPHHPGW | 1.151 | 193 | 199 | RVSVNTA | 1.057 |


| Table A 5.3 (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 241 | 247 | TMFQKND | 1.003 | 163 | 169 | PHHPGWR | 1.201 | 194 | 200 | VSVNTAN | 1.043 |
| 242 | 248 | MFQKNDR | 1.037 | 164 | 170 | HHPGWRR | 1.12 | 195 | 201 | SVNTANV | 1.043 |
| 243 | 249 | FQKNDRN | 1.063 | 165 | 171 | HPGWRRW | 1.121 | 199 | 205 | ANVTLGP | 1.046 |
| 244 | 250 | QKNDRNS | 1.076 | 166 | 172 | PGWRRWN | 1.209 | 200 | 206 | NVTLGPQ | 1.039 |
| 245 | 251 | KNDRNSS | 1.09 | 167 | 173 | GWRRWNF | 1.077 | 201 | 207 | VTLGPQL | 1.106 |
| 246 | 252 | NDRNSSD | 1.103 | 185 | 191 | QKLGRCS | 1.101 | 204 | 210 | GPQLMEV | 1.038 |
| 247 | 253 | DRNSSDE | 1.113 | 199 | 205 | ANVTLGP | 1.05 | 205 | 211 | PQLMEVT | 1.043 |
| 248 | 254 | RNSSDET | 1.112 | 200 | 206 | NVTLGPQ | 1.096 | 206 | 212 | QLMEVTV | 1.088 |
| 249 | 255 | NSSDETF | 1.087 | 243 | 249 | FQKNDRN | 1.16 | 207 | 213 | LMEVTVY | 1.109 |
| 250 | 256 | SSDETFL | 1.057 | 244 | 250 | QKNDRNS | 1.279 | 208 | 214 | MEVTVYR | 1.055 |
| 251 | 257 | SDETFLK | 1.029 | 245 | 251 | KNDRNSS | 1.343 | 209 | 215 | EVTVYRR | 1.062 |
| 252 | 258 | DETFLKD | 1.01 | 246 | 252 | NDRNSSD | 1.407 | 210 | 216 | VTVYRRH | 1.098 |
| 253 | 259 | ETFLKDL | 1.01 | 247 | 253 | DRNSSDE | 1.29 | 215 | 221 | RHGRAYV | 1.048 |
| 254 | 260 | TFLKDLP | 1.014 | 248 | 254 | RNSSDET | 1.219 | 216 | 222 | HGRAYVP | 1.075 |
| 255 | 261 | FLKDLPI | 1.006 | 249 | 255 | NSSDETF | 1.169 | 217 | 223 | GRAYVPI | 1.082 |
| 266 | 272 | LIHDPSH | 1.006 | 266 | 272 | LIHDPSH | 1.053 | 218 | 224 | RAYVPIA | 1.109 |
| 267 | 273 | IHDPSHF | 1.014 | 267 | 273 | IHDPSHF | 1.054 | 219 | 225 | AYVPIAQ | 1.129 |
| 268 | 274 | HDPSHFL | 1.008 | 268 | 274 | HDPSHFL | 1.071 | 220 | 226 | YVPIAQV | 1.175 |
| 283 | 289 | WSFGDNT | 1.008 | 269 | 275 | DPSHFLN | 1.159 | 221 | 227 | VPIAQVK | 1.142 |
| 284 | 290 | SFGDNTG | 1.036 | 270 | 276 | PSHFLNY | 1.113 | 222 | 228 | PIAQVKD | 1.068 |
| 285 | 291 | FGDNTGL | 1.053 | 271 | 277 | SHFLNYS | 1.1 | 223 | 229 | IAQVKDV | 1.113 |
| 286 | 292 | GDNTGLF | 1.045 | 274 | 280 | LNYSTIN | 1.101 | 224 | 230 | AQVKDVY | 1.115 |
| 287 | 293 | DNTGLFV | 1.018 | 275 | 281 | NYSTINY | 1.18 | 225 | 231 | QVKDVYV | 1.16 |
| 292 | 298 | FVSTNHT | 1.008 | 276 | 282 | YSTINYK | 1.101 | 226 | 232 | VKDVYVV | 1.213 |
| 293 | 299 | VSTNHTV | 1.004 | 277 | 283 | STINYKW | 1.076 | 227 | 233 | KDVYVVT | 1.145 |
| 303 | 309 | YVLNGTF | 1.008 | 278 | 284 | TINYKWS | 1.076 | 228 | 234 | DVYVVTD | 1.136 |
| 304 | 310 | VLNGTFS | 1.022 | 280 | 286 | NYKWSFG | 1.18 | 229 | 235 | VYVVTDQ | 1.157 |
| 305 | 311 | LNGTFSL | 1.013 | 281 | 287 | YKWSFGD | 1.166 | 230 | 236 | YVVTDQI | 1.124 |
| 317 | 323 | AAAPGPC | 1.029 | 282 | 288 | KWSFGDN | 1.226 | 231 | 237 | VVTDQIP | 1.11 |
| 318 | 324 | AAPGPCP | 1.054 | 283 | 289 | WSFGDNT | 1.219 | 232 | 238 | VTDQIPV | 1.11 |
| 319 | 325 | APGPCPP | 1.062 | 284 | 290 | SFGDNTG | 1.304 | 233 | 239 | TDQIPVF | 1.069 |
| 320 | 326 | PGPCPPP | 1.055 | 285 | 291 | FGDNTGL | 1.184 | 234 | 240 | DQIPVFV | 1.136 |
| 321 | 327 | GPCPPPP | 1.054 | 286 | 292 | GDNTGLF | 1.184 | 235 | 241 | QIPVFVT | 1.142 |
| 322 | 328 | PCPPPPP | 1.051 | 294 | 300 | STNHTVN | 1.131 | 236 | 242 | IPVFVTM | 1.115 |
| 323 | 329 | CPPPPPP | 1.053 | 295 | 301 | TNHTVNH | 1.063 | 237 | 243 | PVFVTMF | 1.107 |
| 324 | 330 | PPPPPPP | 1.057 | 296 | 302 | NHTVNHT | 1.063 | 238 | 244 | VFVTMFQ | 1.1 |
| 325 | 331 | PPPPPPR | 1.054 | 306 | 312 | NGTFSLN | 1.18 | 239 | 245 | FVTMFQK | 1.035 |
| 326 | 332 | PPPPPRP | 1.053 | 316 | 322 | KAAAPGP | 1.084 | 254 | 260 | TFLKDLP | 1.051 |


| Table A 5.3 (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 327 | 333 | PPPPRPS | 1.059 | 317 | 323 | AAAPGPC | 1.11 | 255 | 261 | FLKDLPI | 1.086 |
| 328 | 334 | PPPRPSK | 1.067 | 318 | 324 | AAPGPCP | 1.233 | 256 | 262 | LKDLPIM | 1.048 |
| 329 | 335 | PPRPSKP | 1.078 | 319 | 325 | APGPCPP | 1.356 | 259 | 265 | LPIMFDV | 1.09 |
| 330 | 336 | PRPSKPT | 1.09 | 320 | 326 | PGPCPPP | 1.479 | 260 | 266 | PIMFDVL | 1.09 |
| 331 | 337 | RPSKPTP | 1.087 | 321 | 327 | GPCPPPP | 1.479 | 261 | 267 | IMFDVLI | 1.103 |
| 332 | 338 | PSKPTPS | 1.079 | 322 | 328 | PCPPPPP | 1.473 | 262 | 268 | MFDVLIH | 1.096 |
| 333 | 339 | SKPTPSL | 1.065 | 323 | 329 | CPPPPPP | 1.473 | 263 | 269 | FDVLIHD | 1.102 |
| 334 | 340 | KPTPSLA | 1.041 | 324 | 330 | PPPPPPP | 1.52 | 264 | 270 | DVLIHDP | 1.098 |
| 335 | 341 | PTPSLAT | 1.024 | 325 | 331 | PPPPPPR | 1.439 | 265 | 271 | VLIHDPS | 1.119 |
| 336 | 342 | TPSLATT | 1.01 | 326 | 332 | PPPPPRP | 1.439 | 266 | 272 | LIHDPSH | 1.079 |
| 338 | 344 | SLATTLK | 1.011 | 327 | 333 | PPPPRPS | 1.426 | 267 | 273 | IHDPSHF | 1.056 |
| 339 | 345 | LATTLKS | 1.02 | 328 | 334 | PPPRPSK | 1.353 | 268 | 274 | HDPSHFL | 1.07 |
| 340 | 346 | ATTLKSY | 1.023 | 329 | 335 | PPRPSKP | 1.353 | 270 | 276 | PSHFLNY | 1.066 |
| 341 | 347 | TTLKSYD | 1.034 | 330 | 336 | PRPSKPT | 1.273 | 271 | 277 | SHFLNYS | 1.058 |
| 342 | 348 | TLKSYDS | 1.041 | 331 | 337 | RPSKPTP | 1.273 | 272 | 278 | HFLNYST | 1.043 |
| 343 | 349 | LKSYDSN | 1.053 | 332 | 338 | PSKPTPS | 1.341 | 273 | 279 | FLNYSTI | 1.05 |
| 344 | 350 | KSYDSNT | 1.077 | 333 | 339 | SKPTPSL | 1.209 | 288 | 294 | NTGLFVS | 1.042 |
| 345 | 351 | SYDSNTP | 1.092 | 334 | 340 | KPTPSLA | 1.099 | 289 | 295 | TGLFVST | 1.061 |
| 346 | 352 | YDSNTPG | 1.099 | 335 | 341 | PTPSLAT | 1.091 | 290 | 296 | GLFVSTN | 1.042 |
| 347 | 353 | DSNTPGP | 1.1 | 341 | 347 | TTLKSYD | 1.079 | 291 | 297 | LFVSTNH | 1.075 |
| 348 | 354 | SNTPGPA | 1.092 | 342 | 348 | TLKSYDS | 1.146 | 293 | 299 | VSTNHTV | 1.068 |
| 349 | 355 | NTPGPAG | 1.086 | 343 | 349 | LKSYDSN | 1.231 | 297 | 303 | HTVNHTY | 1.05 |
| 350 | 356 | TPGPAGD | 1.074 | 344 | 350 | KSYDSNT | 1.284 | 298 | 304 | TVNHTYV | 1.089 |
| 351 | 357 | PGPAGDN | 1.067 | 345 | 351 | SYDSNTP | 1.357 | 299 | 305 | VNHTYVL | 1.138 |
| 352 | 358 | GPAGDNP | 1.064 | 346 | 352 | YDSNTPG | 1.376 | 300 | 306 | NHTYVLN | 1.051 |
| 353 | 359 | PAGDNPL | 1.057 | 347 | 353 | DSNTPGP | 1.43 | 301 | 307 | HTYVLNG | 1.065 |
| 354 | 360 | AGDNPLE | 1.051 | 348 | 354 | SNTPGPA | 1.316 | 302 | 308 | TYVLNGT | 1.037 |
| 355 | 361 | GDNPLEL | 1.033 | 349 | 355 | NTPGPAG | 1.334 | 303 | 309 | YVLNGTF | 1.063 |
| 356 | 362 | DNPLELS | 1.011 | 350 | 356 | TPGPAGD | 1.32 | 304 | 310 | VLNGTFS | 1.042 |
| 359 | 365 | LELSRIP | 1.006 | 351 | 357 | PGPAGDN | 1.406 | 309 | 315 | FSLNLTV | 1.096 |
| 360 | 366 | ELSRIPD | 1.021 | 352 | 358 | GPAGDNP | 1.406 | 310 | 316 | SLNLTVK | 1.073 |
| 361 | 367 | LSRIPDE | 1.036 | 353 | 359 | PAGDNPL | 1.267 | 311 | 317 | LNLTVKA | 1.08 |
| 362 | 368 | SRIPDEN | 1.046 | 354 | 360 | AGDNPLE | 1.156 | 312 | 318 | NLTVKAA | 1.054 |
| 363 | 369 | RIPDENC | 1.042 | 355 | 361 | GDNPLEL | 1.146 | 313 | 319 | LTVKAAA | 1.095 |
| 364 | 370 | IPDENCQ | 1.029 | 356 | 362 | DNPLELS | 1.127 | 314 | 320 | TVKAAAP | 1.068 |
| 365 | 371 | PDENCQI | 1.007 | 357 | 363 | NPLELSR | 1.054 | 315 | 321 | VKAAAPG | 1.063 |
| 402 | 408 | VPWPESS | 1.039 | 362 | 368 | SRIPDEN | 1.161 | 317 | 323 | AAAPGPC | 1.087 |
| 403 | 409 | PWPESSL | 1.061 | 363 | 369 | RIPDENC | 1.127 | 318 | 324 | AAPGPCP | 1.087 |


| Table A 5.3 (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 404 | 410 | WPESSLI | 1.063 | 364 | 370 | IPDENCQ | 1.131 | 319 | 325 | APGPCPP | 1.087 |
| 405 | 411 | PESSLID | 1.036 | 365 | 371 | PDENCQI | 1.131 | 320 | 326 | PGPCPPP | 1.087 |
| 414 | 420 | VTCQGSI | 1.019 | 366 | 372 | DENCQIN | 1.137 | 321 | 327 | GPCPPPP | 1.087 |
| 415 | 421 | TCQGSIP | 1.046 | 367 | 373 | ENCQINR | 1.064 | 322 | 328 | PCPPPPP | 1.114 |
| 416 | 422 | CQGSIPT | 1.055 | 368 | 374 | NCQINRY | 1.121 | 323 | 329 | CPPPPPP | 1.114 |
| 417 | 423 | QGSIPTE | 1.056 | 369 | 375 | CQINRYG | 1.121 | 324 | 330 | PPPPPPP | 1.064 |
| 418 | 424 | GSIPTEV | 1.05 | 370 | 376 | QINRYGH | 1.087 | 325 | 331 | PPPPPPR | 1.037 |
| 419 | 425 | SIPTEVC | 1.027 | 372 | 378 | NRYGHFQ | 1.106 | 326 | 332 | PPPPPRP | 1.037 |
| 427 | 433 | IISDPTC | 1.011 | 400 | 406 | MPVPWPE | 1.051 | 333 | 339 | SKPTPSL | 1.034 |
| 428 | 434 | ISDPTCE | 1.02 | 401 | 407 | PVPWPES | 1.17 | 334 | 340 | KPTPSLA | 1.042 |
| 429 | 435 | SDPTCEI | 1.014 | 402 | 408 | VPWPESS | 1.157 | 335 | 341 | PTPSLAT | 1.039 |
| 431 | 437 | PTCEITQ | 1.003 | 403 | 409 | PWPESSL | 1.17 | 337 | 343 | PSLATTL | 1.065 |
| 432 | 438 | TCEITQN | 1.024 | 405 | 411 | PESSLID | 1.091 | 338 | 344 | SLATTLK | 1.046 |
| 433 | 439 | CEITQNT | 1.051 | 415 | 421 | TCQGSIP | 1.159 | 339 | 345 | LATTLKS | 1.046 |
| 434 | 440 | EITQNTV | 1.071 | 416 | 422 | CQGSIPT | 1.159 | 340 | 346 | ATTLKSY | 1.034 |
| 435 | 441 | ITQNTVC | 1.059 | 417 | 423 | QGSIPTE | 1.094 | 358 | 364 | PLELSRI | 1.065 |
| 436 | 442 | TQNTVCS | 1.033 | 425 | 431 | CTIISDP | 1.071 | 359 | 365 | LELSRIP | 1.065 |
| 437 | 443 | QNTVCSP | 1.005 | 427 | 433 | IISDPTC | 1.071 | 369 | 375 | CQINRYG | 1.038 |
| 442 | 448 | SPVDVDE | 1.003 | 428 | 434 | ISDPTCE | 1.11 | 376 | 382 | HFQATIT | 1.035 |
| 453 | 459 | TVRRTFN | 1.01 | 429 | 435 | SDPTCEI | 1.11 | 377 | 383 | FQATITI | 1.042 |
| 454 | 460 | VRRTFNG | 1.019 | 436 | 442 | TQNTVCS | 1.083 | 378 | 384 | QATITIV | 1.083 |
| 455 | 461 | RRTFNGS | 1.028 | 437 | 443 | QNTVCSP | 1.163 | 379 | 385 | ATITIVE | 1.06 |
| 456 | 462 | RTFNGSG | 1.054 | 438 | 444 | NTVCSPV | 1.094 | 380 | 386 | TITIVEG | 1.033 |
| 457 | 463 | TFNGSGT | 1.084 | 439 | 445 | TVCSPVD | 1.08 | 381 | 387 | ITIVEGI | 1.068 |
| 458 | 464 | FNGSGTY | 1.094 | 441 | 447 | CSPVDVD | 1.151 | 382 | 388 | TIVEGIL | 1.082 |
| 459 | 465 | NGSGTYC | 1.081 | 442 | 448 | SPVDVDE | 1.087 | 383 | 389 | IVEGILE | 1.073 |
| 460 | 466 | GSGTYCV | 1.042 | 455 | 461 | RRTFNGS | 1.144 | 384 | 390 | VEGILEV | 1.106 |
| 468 | 474 | LTLGDDT | 1.009 | 456 | 462 | RTFNGSG | 1.231 | 386 | 392 | GILEVNI | 1.063 |
| 469 | 475 | TLGDDTS | 1.026 | 457 | 463 | TFNGSGT | 1.233 | 387 | 393 | ILEVNII | 1.102 |
| 470 | 476 | LGDDTSL | 1.035 | 458 | 464 | FNGSGTY | 1.259 | 388 | 394 | LEVNIIQ | 1.083 |
| 471 | 477 | GDDTSLA | 1.029 | 459 | 465 | NGSGTYC | 1.343 | 392 | 398 | IIQMTDV | 1.043 |
| 472 | 478 | DDTSLAL | 1.009 | 460 | 466 | GSGTYCV | 1.191 | 393 | 399 | IQMTDVL | 1.057 |
| 476 | 482 | LALTSTL | 1.025 | 461 | 467 | SGTYCVN | 1.191 | 396 | 402 | TDVLMPV | 1.097 |
| 477 | 483 | ALTSTLI | 1.038 | 462 | 468 | GTYCVNL | 1.071 | 397 | 403 | DVLMPVP | 1.119 |
| 478 | 484 | LTSTLIS | 1.023 | 467 | 473 | NLTLGDD | 1.169 | 398 | 404 | VLMPVPW | 1.123 |
| 483 | 489 | ISVPDRD | 1.014 | 468 | 474 | LTLGDDT | 1.083 | 399 | 405 | LMPVPWP | 1.078 |
| 484 | 490 | SVPDRDP | 1.034 | 469 | 475 | TLGDDTS | 1.203 | 401 | 407 | PVPWPES | 1.047 |
| 485 | 491 | VPDRDPA | 1.045 | 470 | 476 | LGDDTSL | 1.15 | 402 | 408 | VPWPESS | 1.04 |

Table A 5.3 (continued)

| 486 | 492 | PDRDPAS | 1.049 | 471 | 477 | GDDTSLA | 1.16 | 404 | 410 | WPESSLI | 1.033 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 487 | 493 | DRDPASP | 1.053 | 483 | 489 | ISVPDRD | 1.113 | 406 | 412 | ESSLIDF | 1.033 |  |  |
| 488 | 494 | RDPASPL | 1.05 | 484 | 490 | SVPDRDP | 1.263 | 407 | 413 | SSLIDFV | 1.109 |  |  |
| 489 | 495 | DPASPLR | 1.038 | 485 | 491 | VPDRDPA | 1.153 | 408 | 414 | SLIDFVV | 1.162 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## APPENDIX 6

## Results of Galaxy Refine

| Model | GDT-HA | RMSD | MolProbity | Clash <br> score | Poor <br> rotamers | Rama <br> favored |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 1.0000 | 0.000 | 2.989 | 4.4 | 12.6 | 56.5 |
| MODEL 1 | 0.8869 | 0.571 | 2.054 | 8.6 | 0.8 | 88.4 |
| MODEL 2 | 0.8818 | 0.599 | 1.977 | 7.2 | 0.4 | 88.7 |


| Model | GDT-HA | RMSD | MolProbity | Clash <br> score | Poor <br> rotamers | Rama <br> favored |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 1.0000 | 0.000 | 2.989 | 4.4 | 12.6 | 56.5 |
| MODEL 1 | 0.8869 | 0.571 | 2.054 | 8.6 | 0.8 | 88.4 |
| MODEL 2 | 0.8818 | 0.599 | 1.977 | 7.2 | 0.4 | 88.7 |

Initial : refers to the I-TASSER predicted tertiary structure (used as input file on Galaxy refine)
MODEL 2 : refers to the refined model with the most suitable scores. This model was selected for further analysis and simulation studies.

Figure A 6.1: Results from GalaxyRefine for refinement of the tertiary structure of the senovaccine construct.
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