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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This project aims to analyze how risk profiles and different industries impact the capital structure, for this we have analyzed four companies in total.

Two companies the from automobile industry and two companies from the software industry. We want to find whether being in an asset driven industry has an impact on the capital structure vs an industry which is human resource driven for that we have chosen software industries company. At the same time, to analyze whether Risk profiles has an impact on the Capital Structure, for that we have taken up 2 companies in the electric space.

To have comparability in the data, we have selected public companies which are listed in the US stock market with the year-end closing on December 31, 2021. We have collected the data from the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission(SEC) website. For each company we have utilized their Annual Year End Filing (10-K).

We have analyzed top 20 US companies in terms of market capitalization, and then using regression we would be observing whether factors like Gross Margin, Return on Asset and Return on Equity have an effect on the capital structure of the companies. We have taken the data from the company's annual fillings.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| S.NO | TITLE | PAGE NO. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Capital Structure | 1 |
| 1.2 | Short Term Financing | 2 |
| 1.3 | Long Term Financing | 3 |
| 1.4 | Cost of Capital | 5 |
| 2 | Automobile Industry in US | 7 |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 7 |
| 2.2 | Ford Motors Capital Structure Analysis | 9 |
| 2.3 | Tesla Inc. Capital Structure | 17 |
| 3 | Software company in United States | 23 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 23 |
| 3.2 | Cvent Holding Corp Structure Analysis | 25 |
| 3.3 | Adobe Inc. Capital Structure | 32 |
| 4 | Comparative Analysis | 41 |
| 5 | Regression Analysis | 43 |
| 5.1 | Regression Analysis between Capital Structure and Return on Assets | 45 |
| 5.2 | Regression Analysis between Capital Structure and Return on Equity | 46 |
| 5.3 | Regression Analysis between Capital Structure and Gross Margin | 48 |
| 6 | Conclusion | 50 |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. The Capital Structure

The capital structure of an organization is referred to as the amount of Debt or equity required by any company to fund its operations, expenditures, cost and also to finance its assets \& for other investing activities.

Equity comparatively has a higher cost associated with it, long-term method of financing that provides a corporation with more financial flexibility. Debt, on the other hand, is comparatively less costly, as debt have fixed due date, hence this capital source legally binds the corporation to predictable cash outflows and the need to refinance at a later period at an unknown cost.

These corporate money decisions, which are impacted by capital structure policies or targets established by management and the board of directors, result in a firm's debt to equity structure. A firm's capital structure is also influenced by aspects like its maturity and size, which affect the financing choices available to it.

The focus is mainly on the market prices of capital because we're looking at how a firm might reduce its overall cost of capital. As a result, changes in the market prices of these company's securities over a period of phase, especially the price of the stock, have an impact on capital structure.

The expression which expresses the capital structure is the ratio which is debt to equity ratio, which will be calculated for the company's we are analyzing.

There are two types of financing which are covered in this research, that is:

- Short Term Financing
- Long Term Financing


### 1.2. Short Term Financing

Financing with the help of short-term sources which will be there for less than 1 year. The short-term financing helps the company generate the amount of cash for the working of the business and handling the expenses which is usually for a very smaller amount.

## Factors Affecting Short-Term Financing

There are various advantages associated with the short-term financing of the sources, the advantages count in liquidity, higher profitability and lower possible financing costs.

Some disadvantages are also there, such as, higher interest rates risk and reduced amount of capital availability.

## Methods of Short-Term Financing

The methods that are included short term financing are:

- Working capital financing: In this method the current assets get financed with the trading accounts payable and the accrued liabilities.
- Letter of Credit: In this the financing is accommodated by the third- party guarantee team, for example: a bank.
- Line of Credit: In this method of short-term financing the financing is taken care with the help of short-term borrowings with the help of a financial institution.


### 1.3. Long Term Financing

## Factors Affecting Long-Term Financing

Long term financing is just opposite of short-term financing, that is the loan or borrowings is taken for a term of more than 1 year.
The advantages associated with long term financing is lower amount of interest rate risk and the incremented capital availability.

The Disadvantages of the long-term financing is reduced amount of the profitability, decrement in liquidity and the higher cost of financing.

## Methods of Long-Term Financing

The methods incorporated in the long-term financing is mentioned as follows:

## a. Leasing Options:

In this method of financing, the owner of the assets gives another person the right to use the asset in an agreement of using it for a determined time and cost/payments. In here, the lessee of the asset must tell that the lease taken should be a financing lease or an operating lease.
i. Operating Leases: In this method of leasing the balance sheet reflects the right of use (ROU) of any asset or a lease liability, of the lessee. The difference between the ROU of asset and lease liability is that the asset will get amortized and the lease liability would be paid down over the life of the lease taken. In the income statement, the expense of lease is written down every year for the entire lease term.
ii. Finance Lease: A financing lease, like an operational lease, will have both a ROU asset and a lease debt on the lessee's balance sheet. Each lease payment will include a portion of interest and a portion of principal paydown, with interest expenditure appearing on the income statement and the liability reduction appearing on the balance sheet.
Lessees can choose not to recognizing the ROU assets and the lease liabilities with maturities of 12 months or less by making an accounting policy election. This selection must be made by
underlying asset class, and it cannot contain asset purchase options that the lessee is fairly certain to execute.

## b. Debentures and Bonds:

Bonds are a type of debt in which the borrower is obligated to pay an agreedupon coupon payment (typically semiannually) over a set period of time.
i. Debentures: Debentures are unsecured bonds backed by the issuer's full faith and credit.
ii. Subordinated Debentures: In the event of an issuer liquidation, subordinated debentures are unsecured liabilities that rank behind senior fixed-income instruments.
iii. Income Bonds: Income bonds are fixed-income securities that pay interest only if certain income goals are met.
iv. Mortgage Bonds: Long-term loans backed by residential or commercial real estate are known as mortgage bonds.

## c. Equity Financing:

i. Preferred stock: Preferred stock is a hybrid investment that combines debt and equity qualities. Preferred shareholders often get a fixed dividend payment and, in the case of an issuer liquidation, have a higher claim to the issuer's assets than common stockholders. Preferred stockholders typically do not have voting rights.
ii. Common stock: A corporation's basic equity ownership is represented by common stock. Although common stockholders may enjoy capital gains (in addition to periodic dividends) when they hold the issuer's shares, they have a residual claim to the issuer's assets if the company is liquidated.

### 1.4. Cost of Capital

## Cost of Long-Term Debt:

The after-tax cost of debt is the pre-tax cost of debt multiplied by one minus the tax rate.

- After-tax cost of debt= Pretax cost of debt X (1-Tax rate)
- Pretax cost of debt= Face value X coupon rate


## Cost of Equity:

- Cost of Preferred Stock $=$ Preferred stock dividends/ Net proceeds of preferred stock
- Cost of Common Stock $=($ Expected dividend/Current stock price $)+$ constant growth rate in dividends.


## Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)

In addition to the DCF method, the capital asset pricing model can be used to calculate the cost of retained earnings (CAPM).

Re $=$ Risk Free Rate + Beta [ Market Return - Risk Free Rate]

## Weighted average cost of capital (WACC):

The sum of the weighted percentages of each form of capitalization utilized by a company is the weighted average cost of capital. The combination of debt and equity securities (debt to equity ratio) that yields the lowest weighted average cost of capital is the optimal cost of capital.

$$
\mathrm{WACC}=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{V}) * \operatorname{Re}+(\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{V}) * \mathrm{Rp}+(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{V})[\mathrm{R} \quad \mathrm{~d}(1-\mathrm{T})]
$$

Were,
$\mathrm{V}=$ The sum of the market prices of the firm's separate capital structure components: common stock equity (E), preferred stock equity (P), and debt (D).
$\mathrm{R}=$ The required rate of return (also known as the "cost") of the various components.
$\mathrm{T}=$ The corporate tax rate

## Optimal cost of capital:

The relationship between the weighted average cost of capital and the relationship between the elements of an entity's capitalization is depicted in the graph below (the debt-to-equity ratio).


## 2. AUTOMOBILE INDUSTRY IN THE US

### 2.1. Introduction

The size of the domestic market and the use of mass production is the reason why the automotive industry in the United States began in the 1890s and quickly grew to become the world's largest. The US was the first country in the world to have a large market for vehicle production and sales, and it is considered a pioneer in the automotive industry and mass production process.

Throughout the twentieth century, particularly in the second half, global competitors emerged, mostly in European and Asian markets. Since Duryea's founding in 1895, at least 1900 other businesses have sprung up, creating over 3,000 different types of American automobiles. The combined effects of WW I (1917-1918) and the Great Depression (1929-1939) in the US substantially reduced the number of Significant producers. During the successor war all automobile manufacturers shifted their focus to the production of military equipment and armaments.

The remaining minor producers, on the other hand, vanished or merged into larger firms by the end of the decade. The industry was ruled by three main businesses centered in Metro Detroit: General Motors, Ford, and Chrysler. These "Big Three" succeeded, the United States had produced $3 / 4$ of the world's automobiles ( 8.0 million out of 10.6 million.

In the initial time of 1970s, the companies were seriously harmed by the rapidly increasing oil prices and excessive competitiveness. As a result, a few companies had to file for bankruptcy and the federal government bailed them out.

After a few years, Chrysler merged with Fiat to form Fiat Chrysler, which is now part of the international Stellates business Tesla, since its inception in 2009, it has risen to become one of the world's most valuable enterprises., manufacturing almost a $1 / 4$ of the world's totally electric passenger cars.

Before the 1980s, the Big Three (GM, Ford, and Chrysler) and AMC owned the majority of manufacturing plants. As a result of multiple foreign-owned car firms building facilities in the US, their market share has progressively declined. Toyota had 31,000 direct employees in the US in 2012, with a total payroll of $\$ 2.1$ billion,
compared to Ford's 80,000 employees in the US supplying 3,300 dealerships and Chrysler's 71,100 employees supplying 2,328 dealerships.

### 2.2. Ford Motors Capital Structure Analysis

## a. Consolidated Balance Sheet:

| CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET - USD (\$) shares in Millions, \$ in Millions | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } 31, \\ 2021 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } 31, \\ 2020 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets, Current [Abstract] |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 20,540 | \$ 25,243 |
| Marketable securities (Note 9) | 29,053 | 24,718 |
| Financing Receivable, after Allowance for Credit Loss, Current | 32,543 | 42,401 |
| Trade and other receivables, less allowances of \$84 and \$48 | 11,370 | 9,993 |
| Inventories (Note 11) | 12,065 | 10,808 |
| Other Assets, Current | 3,425 | 3,581 |
| Total current assets | 108,996 | 116,744 |
| Assets, Noncurrent [Abstract] |  |  |
| Non-current portion | 51,256 | 55,277 |
| Net investment in operating leases | 26,361 | 27,951 |
| Net property (Note 13) | 37,139 | 37,083 |
| Equity in net assets of affiliated companies (Note 14) | 4,545 | 4,901 |
| Deferred Income Tax Assets, Net | 13,796 | 12,423 |
| Other Assets, Noncurrent | 14,942 | 12,882 |
| Total assets | 257,035 | 267,261 |
| Liabilities, Current [Abstract] |  |  |
| Payables | 22,349 | 22,204 |
| Other liabilities and deferred revenue (Note 16 and Note 25) | 18,686 | 23,645 |
| Total current liabilities | 90,727 | 97,192 |
| Liabilities, Noncurrent [Abstract] |  |  |
| Other liabilities and deferred revenue (Note 16 and Note 25) | 27,705 | 28,379 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,581 | 538 |
| Total liabilities | 208,413 | 236,450 |
| EQUITY |  |  |
| Capital in excess of par value of stock | 22,611 | 22,290 |
| Retained earnings | 35,769 | 18,243 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss) (Note 23) | $(8,339)$ | $(8,294)$ |
| Treasury stock | $(1,563)$ | $(1,590)$ |
| Total equity attributable to Ford Motor Company | 48,519 | 30,690 |
| Equity attributable to noncontrolling interests | 103 | 121 |
| Total equity | 48,622 | 30,811 |
| Total liabilities and equity | 257,035 | 267,261 |
| Common Stock |  |  |
| EQUITY |  |  |
| Common and Class B Stock | \$ 40 | 40 |
| Common stock, par value (in dollars per share) | \$ 0.01 |  |
| Common Stock, shares issued (in shares) | 4,050 |  |
| Common Stock, Shares Authorized (in shares) | 6,000 |  |
| Class B Stock |  |  |
| EQUITY |  |  |
| Common and Class B Stock | \$ 1 | 1 |
| Common stock, par value (in dollars per share) | \$ 0.01 |  |
| Common Stock, shares issued (in shares) | 71 |  |
| Common Stock, Shares Authorized (in shares) | 530 |  |
| Ford Credit |  |  |
| Assets, Current [Abstract] |  |  |


| Financing Receivable, after Allowance for Credit Loss, Current | $\$ 32,543$ | 42,401 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Assets, Noncurrent [Abstract] |  |  |
| Non-current portion | 51,256 | 55,277 |
| Operating Segments \| Ford Credit |  |  |
| Assets, Current [Abstract] | 10,963 | 14,349 |
| Cash and cash equivalents |  |  |
| Assets, Noncurrent [Abstract] | 134,428 | 157,637 |
| Total assets | 46,517 | 49,969 |
| Liabilities, Current [Abstract] |  |  |
| Total debt payable within one year | 71,200 | 87,708 |
| Liabilities, Noncurrent [Abstract] |  |  |
| Long-term Debt and Lease Obligation | 9,577 | 10,894 |
| Operating Segments \| Company excluding Ford Credit |  |  |
| Assets, Current [Abstract] | 3,175 | 1,374 |
| Cash and cash equivalents |  |  |
| Liabilities, Current [Abstract] | $\$ 17,200$ | $\$ 22,633$ |
| Total debt payable within one year |  |  |

## a. Consolidated Income Statement:

| CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENT - USD (\$) shares inMillions, $\$$ in Millions | 12 Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 31, 2021 | Dec. 31, 2020 |
| Revenues |  |  |
| Total revenues (Note 4) | \$ 136,341 | \$ 127,144 |
| Costs and expenses |  |  |
| Cost of sales | 114,651 | 112,752 |
| Selling, administrative, and other expenses | 11,915 | 10,193 |
| Total costs and expenses | 131,818 | 131,552 |
| Operating income/(loss) | 4,523 | $(4,408)$ |
| Interest Expense, Other | 1,803 | 1,649 |
| Other income/(loss), net (Note 5 and Note 22) | 14,733 | 4,899 |
| Equity in net income/(loss) of affiliated companies | 327 | 42 |
| Income/(Loss) before income taxes | 17,780 | $(1,116)$ |
| Provision for/(Benefit from) income taxes (Note 7) | (130) | 160 |
| Net income/(loss) | 17,910 | $(1,276)$ |
| Less: Income/(Loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests | (27) | 3 |
| Net income/(loss) attributable to Ford Motor Company | \$ 17,937 | \$ $(1,279)$ |
| Basic income |  |  |
| Basic income (in dollars per share) | \$ 4.49 | \$ (0.32) |
| Diluted income |  |  |
| Diluted income (in dollars per share) | \$ 4.45 | \$ (0.32) |
| Basic shares (average shares outstanding) | 3,991 | 3,973 |
| Diluted shares | 4,034 | 3,973 |
| Automotive |  |  |
| Revenues |  |  |
| Total revenues (Note 4) | \$ 126,150 | \$ 115,894 |
| Ford Credit |  |  |
| Revenues |  |  |
| Total revenues (Note 4) | 10,073 | 11,203 |
| Costs and expenses |  |  |
| Ford Credit interest, operating, and other expenses | 5,252 | 8,607 |
| Mobility Segment [Member] |  |  |
| Revenues |  |  |
| Total revenues (Note 4) | \$ 118 | \$ 47 |

## b. Short Term Financing - Working Capital Management

To measure the working capital management, we would be considering the few key metrics and then analyzing the impact of the same.

To analyze the efficiency of the working capital management we would be analyzing few key ratios.

## Current Ratio

| Current | Current Asset | $108,996.0$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Ratio | Current Liabilities | $90,727.0$ | 1.2 |

This ratio essentially shows the ability of a company to meets its current liabilities. Normally a ratio closer to 1 is considered as optimal, anything greater than that may be indicative of lost opportunity. Ford ratio is closer to 1 which Is a good sign of working capital management, it indicates that company has sufficient liquidity to meets its current liability.

## Accounts Payable Ratio

| Accounts <br> Receivable <br> Turnover | $\underline{\text { Sales (Net) }}$ | $136,341.0$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Accounts Receivable <br> (net) | $12,681.5$ |  |
|  | $\underline{\text { Ending Accounts Receivable (net) }}$ | $11,370.0$ |  |
| Recin |  |  |  |

These ratios are indicative on how well companies manage its accounts receivable and how efficient it is collecting its Accounts Receivable. Having a 12.8 Accounts Receivable Turnover, means that a company on average gets back its accounts receivable in 30 days. Ford Accounts receivable primarily consists of "contracts with customers for the sale of vehicles, parts, accessories, and services". These receivables are recorded in the books at the transaction amount, and they normally are outstanding for a month. Ford has a practice of evaluating its outstanding debt in every period to accurately calculate the allowance for doubtful debts.

## Inventory Turnover Ratio

| Inventory <br> Turnover | Cost of Goods Sold | $114,651.0$ | 10.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Inventory | $11,436.5$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Days in <br> Inventory | Ending Inventory | $12,065.0$ | 38.4 |
|  | Cost of Goods Sold $/ 365$ | 314.1 |  |

Inventory Turnover ratio describes the efficiency of a company on how fast they are able to churn their inventory, more efficient the company means more faster they would be able to churn their inventory. Ford has an inventory turnover ratio of 10 it means that on average they are able to go through their inventory cycle in 38.4 days.

Ford calculated its inventory by choosing the lower of cost or the net realizable value, it is a prudent approach and normally results in accurate valuation. Further Ford, evaluates its cost of inventory by using FIFO - First in First Out.

Accounts Payable Ratio

| Accounts Payable Turnover | Cost of Goods Sold | 114,651.0 | 5.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Accounts Payable | 22,276.5 |  |
| Days of Payables Outstanding | Ending Accounts Payable | 22,349.0 | 71.1 |
|  | Cost of Goods Sold / 365 | 314.1 |  |

Accounts Payable Ratios tells about how effectively, trade payables are managed by the company. Smaller the turnover ratio better it is for the company, as you get interest free loan. As it is observed, that the payable turnover ratio is 5.1 , which means that on an average it will take 70+ Days for Ford to payback its vendor.

It's a thin line, longer the payback period better it is for the company. But it might come at a cost of ruining the relationship between its vendor.

## c. Long Term Financing

## Leasing

| Particular | Amt/Percent | Amt/Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2021 | 2020 |
| Total Operating Lease Liabilities | 1,393 | 1,314 |
| Total Finance Lease Liabilities | 565 | 414 |

Leasing is also a financing option that is often opted by companies, Ford lease distribution location, offices, dealership land, land, warehouse center, and equipment through the use of agreements with the period of contract going from one year and up to forty years as well. Most of Ford lease agreement has an option to extend the lease. Further Ford also provides an option to its dealers an option to sub leases a place from Ford, where Ford itself is a tenant, this helps in reducing the initial setup cost for small dealers. At the same time Ford reserve to terminate the head lease (original lease) in case the sublease is terminated.

Ford Classifies leases transactions which are similar to purchasing of asset as Finance Lease. The same is reported in Net Property, the remaining leases are classified as operating lease, and are reported as Other assets under non-current assets.

Ford calculates the value of its leases using the discount rate of its borrowing rate, although we can see in the above analysis that leases do not constitute a major portion of its assets. Hence leases does not play a crucial role in long term financing for Ford.

## Debt and Commitments

| Company excluding Ford Credit | 2020 | 2021 | Interest Rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average Contractual |  | Average Effective (a) |  |
|  |  |  | 2020 | 2021 | 2020 | 2021 |
| Debt payable within one year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-term | 613 | 286 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 0.4 |
| Long-term payable within one year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public unsecured debt securities | 180 | 86 |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Department of Energy Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing ("DOE ATVM") Incentive Program | 148 | 953 |  |  |  |  |
| Delayed draw term loan | - | 1,500 |  |  |  |  |
| Other debt | 434 | 348 |  |  |  |  |
| Unamortized (discount)/premium | -1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Total debt payable within one year | 1,374 | 3,175 |  |  |  |  |
| Long-term debt payable after one year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public unsecured debt securities | 18,877 | 13,643 |  |  |  |  |
| Convertible notes | - | 2,300 |  |  |  |  |
| Delayed draw term loan | 1,500 | - |  |  |  |  |
| DOE ATVM Incentive Program | 1,064 | - |  |  |  |  |
| U.K. Export Finance Program | 854 | 843 |  |  |  |  |
| Other debt | 768 | 768 |  |  |  |  |
| Unamortized (discount)/premium | -242 | -188 |  |  |  |  |
| Unamortized issuance costs | -188 | -166 |  |  |  |  |
| Total long-term debt payable after one year | 22,633 | 17,200 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 6.5 | 4.6 |
| Total Company excluding Ford Credit | 24,007 | 20,375 |  |  |  |  |
| Fair value of Company debt excluding Ford Credit (c) | 27,794 | 24,044 |  |  |  |  |

Debt for Ford mainly comprises of public unsecured debt securities, convertible notes, Delayed draw term loan, DOE ATVM Incentive Program and U.K Export Finance Program. Debt is issued by Ford directly by the use of underwriters, and securities dealers. These debts are purchased by both retail investors and institutional investors. Ford also provide Ford Credit facility for its customers, but it is type of indirect debt (asset back financing) hence for our analysis we won't be evaluating the same, as it collateral by customers cars and Ford act more as an intermediary between the customers and the banks.

As shown in the above calculation Debt to Equity ratio is 1.86 this is normally considering a healthy ratio. It ensures that financial leverage is maintained, further it means that for every $\$ 1$ invested by the owners of the company, it is able to raise $\$ 1.86$ from outside. It shows trust in the company and usually it has been observed that debt
is a cheaper source of funds in comparison to Equity hence having more debt than equity is generally consider a good sign.

## d. Cost of Capital

## Cost of Debt

Cost of Debt = Pretax Cost of Debt * ( $1-$ Tax Rate )
Pretax Cost of Debt $=4.6 \%$ (Given in the Debt Schedule)
Tax Rate $=21.9 \%$ (Given in Note 7 of the Ford 10K)
Hence Cost of Debt $=4.6 \% *(1-21.9 \%)$
Cost of Debt $=3.59 \%$

## Cost of Equity

Since there are no preference shares, we would be focusing on equity shares only.
We would be using CAPM - Capital Asset Pricing Model to calculate cost of equity.
Re $=$ Risk Free Rate + Beta [ Market Return - Risk Free Rate $]$
Risk Free Rate $=2.89 \%$ (US 10 Year Treasury Rate - As on 29th April 2022)
Beta $=1.10$ (From Yahoo Finance)
Market Return = 13.03\% (https://www.stock-analysis-on.net/NYSE/Market-RiskPremium)
$\operatorname{Re}=2.89 \%+1.10$ ( $13.03 \%-2.89 \%$ )
$\mathrm{Re}=14.04 \%$

## Weighted Average Cost of Capital

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { WACC } & =(\% \text { of Debt }) * \operatorname{Rd}+(\% \text { of Equity }) * \operatorname{Re} \\
& =(90,696 / 139,318) * 3.59 \%+(48,622 / 139,318) * 14.04 \% \\
& =65.10 \% * 3.59 \%+34.90 \% * 14.04 \% \\
& =7.24 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

*Since we are not taking Ford Credit - liability and Asset, to calculate \% of Debt and Equity we would take Equity/ (Liability + Equity) and Liability/ (Equity + Liability).

### 2.3. Tesla Inc. Capital Structure Analysis

## a. Consolidated Balance Sheet:

| Consolidated Balance Sheets - USD (\$) \$ in Millions |  | Dec. 31, 2021 | Dec. 31, 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current assets |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents |  | \$ 17,576 | \$ 19,384 |
| Short-term marketable securities |  | 131 |  |
| Accounts receivable, net |  | 1,913 | 1,886 |
| Inventory |  | 5,757 | 4,101 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets |  | 1,723 | 1,346 |
| Total current assets |  | 27,100 | 26,717 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net |  | 18,884 | 12,747 |
| Operating lease right-of-use assets |  | 2,016 | 1,558 |
| Digital assets, net |  | 1,260 |  |
| Intangible assets, net |  | 257 | 313 |
| Goodwill |  | 200 | 207 |
| Other non-current assets |  | 2,138 | 1,536 |
| Total assets |  | 62,131 | 52,148 |
| Current liabilities |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable |  | 10,025 | 6,051 |
| Accrued liabilities and other |  | 5,719 | 3,855 |
| Deferred revenue |  | 1,447 | 1,458 |
| Customer deposits |  | 925 | 752 |
| Current portion of debt and finance leases |  | 1,589 | 2,132 |
| Total current liabilities |  | 19,705 | 14,248 |
| Debt and finance leases, net of current portion |  | 5,245 | 9,556 |
| Deferred revenue, net of current portion |  | 2,052 | 1,284 |
| Other long-term liabilities |  | 3,546 | 3,330 |
| Totalliabilities |  | 30,548 | 28,418 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 15) |  |  |  |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interests in |  | 568 | 604 |
| Convertible senior notes (Note 11) |  |  | 51 |
| Stockholders' equity |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock; $\$ 0.001$ par value; 100 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding |  |  |  |
| Common stock; $\$ 0.001$ par value; 2,000 shares authorized; 1,033 and 960 shares issued and |  | 1 | 1 |
| Additional paid-in capital |  | 29,803 | 27,260 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income |  | 54 | 363 |
| Retained earnings (accumulated deficit) |  | 331 | -5,399 |
| Total stockholders' equity |  | 30,189 | 22,225 |
| Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries |  | 826 | 850 |
| Total liabilities and equity |  | 62,131 | 52,148 |
| Operating Lease Vehides [Member] |  |  |  |
| Current assets |  |  |  |
| Operating lease vehicles, net |  | 4,511 | 3,091 |
| Solar Energy Systems [Member] |  |  |  |
| Current assets |  |  |  |
| Solar energy systems, net | [1], [2] | \$5,765 | \$ 5,979 |

## b. Consolidated Income Statement:

| Consolidated Statements of Operations - USD (\$) shares in Millions, S in Millions | 12 Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 31, 2021 | Dec. 31, 2020 |
| Revenues |  |  |
| Total revenues | \$53,823 | \$31,536 |
| Cost of revenues |  |  |
| Total cost of revenues | 40,217 | 24,906 |
| Gross profit | 13,606 | 6,630 |
| Operating expenses |  |  |
| Research and development | 2,593 | 1,491 |
| Selling, general and admi nistrative | 4,517 | 3,145 |
| Restructuring and other | -27 | 0 |
| Total operating expenses | 7,083 | 4,636 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 6,523 | 1,994 |
| Interestincome | 56 | 30 |
| Interest expense | -371 | -748 |
| Otherincome (expense), net | 135 | -122 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 6,343 | 1,154 |
| Provision for income taxes | 699 | 292 |
| Netincome (loss) | 5,644 | 862 |
| Net income attributable to noncontroll ing interests and redeemable noncontrolling interestsin subsidiaries | 125 | 141 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders | 5,519 | 721 |
| Less: Buy-out of noncontrolling interest | -5 | 31 |
| Net income (loss) used in computing net income per share of common stock | \$5,524 | \$690 |
| Net income (loss) per share of common stock attributable to common stockholders |  |  |
| Basic | \$5.60 | \$0.74 |
| Diluted | \$4.90 | \$0.64 |
| Weighted average shares used in computing net income (loss) per share of common stock |  |  |
| Basic | 986 | 933 |
| Diluted | 1,129 | 1,083 |
| Automotive Sales [Member] |  |  |
| Revenues |  |  |
| Revenues | \$44,125 | \$24,604 |
| Automotive Regulatory Credits [Member] |  |  |
| Revenues |  |  |
| Revenues | 1,465 | 1,580 |
| Automotive Leasing [Member] |  |  |
| Revenues |  |  |
| Revenues | 1,642 | 1,052 |
| Automotive Revenues [Member] |  |  |
| Revenues |  |  |
| Revenues | 47,232 | 27,236 |
| Cost of revenues |  |  |
| Cost of revenues | 32,415 | 19,696 |
| Automotive leasing | 978 | 563 |
| Total cost of revenues | 33,393 | 20,259 |
| Energy Generation and Storage [Member] |  |  |
| Revenues |  |  |
| Revenues | 2,789 | 1,994 |
| Cost of revenues |  |  |
| Cost of revenues | 2,918 | 1,976 |
| Services And Other [Member] |  |  |
| Revenues |  |  |
| Revenues | 3,802 | 2,306 |
| Cost of revenues |  |  |
| Cost of revenues | \$3,906 | \$2,671 |

## c. Short Term Financing - Working Capital Management

## Current Ratio

| Current Ratio | Current Asset / | 27,100 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current Liabilities | 19,705 |  |

Accounts Receivable Turnover Ratio

| Accounts |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receivable <br> Turnover | Sales (Net) <br> / | 58,823 |  |
| Average Accounts Receivable <br> (net) | 1564 |  |  |
| Days Sales in <br> Accounts <br> Receivable | Ending Accounts Receivable <br> (net) $/$ | 1913 | 11.8 |
|  | Sales (net) $/ 365$ | 161 |  |

## Inventory Turnover Ratio

| Inventory Turnover Ratio | Cost of Goods Sold / | 40,217 | 6.99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Inventory | 5753 |  |

## Accounts Payable Ratio

|  | Cost of Goods Sold / | 40,217 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Accounts Payable <br> Turnover |  | 5.01 |  |
|  | Average Accounts Payable | 8020 |  |


| Days of Payables <br> Outstanding | Ending Accounts Payable / | 10,025 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Cost of Goods Sold / 365 | 110 | 91 |

In the case of short term financing the current ratio is close to 1 which is considered an ideal current ratio for a company and tesla's current ratio is 1.3 which is considerably good .

For automobile company an inventory turnover ratio of between 5-10 is considered ideal. And as we can see Tesla's Inventory Turnover ratio is 7, hence we can say that it's on the right track.

Accounts payable ratio shows the firm's liquidity and ability to pay its debts, so higher the better, means higher the ratio more frequently and fatly you'll pay off your creditors. In case of Tesla it's 5.09 which needs improvement

Accounts Receivables turnover ratio indicates company's ability to collect debts and their customers quality. In case of Tesla its 37.6 which indicates that the company is very efficient in collecting their debts.

## a. Long Term Financing \& Cost of Capital

Long Term Debt - \$9.56 Billion
Current Debt - \$2.13 Billion

| Debt to Equity <br> Ratio | Debt / | 3112 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Equity | 3102 | 1.003 |

## Cost Of Capital

WACC Calculation

| Components | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Market Value of Equity | $6,76,448$ |
| Market Value of Debt | 10,220 |
| Cost of Debt | $4.1 \%$ |
| Cost of Equity | $13.3 \%$ |
| Corporate Tax Rate | $21.0 \%$ |
| WACC | $\mathbf{1 3 . 2 \%}$ |

## Cost of Equity Calculation

| Treasury Yield | $2.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Expected Return of Market | $8.0 \%$ |
| Beta | 1.93 |
| Cost of Equity | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3 \%}$ |

Market value of debt is sourced from Pg. 81(10K)
Cost of debt is sourced from Pg. 81(10K)
Treasury Yield -
https://www.treasury.gov/resource-center/data-chart-center/interest-
rates/pages/textview.aspx?data=yield
Expected Return of Market -
https://www.investopedia.com/ask/answers/042415/what-average-annual-return-sp-
500.asp

To fund its research and development, Tesla will have to take out loans. Tesla's total liabilities were $\$ 30.5$ billion as of December 31, 2021. The company's debt increased by $7 \%$ between 2020 and 2021. The majority of this debt is due soon, with Tesla's accounts payable totaling more than $\$ 10$ billion by the end of 2020, an increase of more than $40 \%$ over the previous year. Tesla also disclosed $\$ 5.7$ billion in short-term liabilities, $\$ 1400$ Million in delayed revenue, and $\$ 0.925$ million in customer payments for undeliverable items

## Leases

Operating leases:
Operating lease right-of-use assets

Tesla have a multitude of operational and financial leasing arrangements in place for our locations, production and storage facilities, retail and dealer locations, tools, automobile, and solar powered energy installations across the world. Tesla evaluate whether the particular contract contains a lease at the time of beginning, specially evaluating whether the underlying asset is made accessible for use by the lessor, and we record leases in our financial statements. Although Tesla do not include direct sales-type leases and manufacturing equipment classes incorporated in supply lease contracts, Tesla have appropriately chosen to write both of lease and additional component as a single figure, showing the lease obligation.

## 3. SOFTWARE INDUSTRY IN THE UNITED STATES

### 3.1. Introduction

Companies in the software industry develop, maintain, and publish software through a variety of business models, the most common of which are "license/maintenance based" (on-premises) or "Cloud based". The services in software, including as training, documenting, consultancy, and recovery of the data, are also included in the industry. In 1955, Computer Usage Company became the stepping stone for all the other companies to supply software related products and services.

The industry of the software, pick up the pace in 1960s, as soon as computers were mass-produced in large quantities. The demand for software was increasing day by day and was established by universities, government, and corporate customers. A few were given away for free to some special systems.

When DEC brought the minimal cost microcomputer to the market, it opened up the world of computers to a wider variety of platforms such as businesses and colleges all around the world, and it led it towards the path of new, world accepted programming languages and processes. Other manufacturers, such as IBM, quickly followed DEC's lead, resulting in the new innovations.

In the mid-1970s, Personal computer ("PC") were launched and the industry exploded, it brought the working man to new horizons. In the years that followed, it also produced a flourishing sector for games, programs, and utilities. DOS, Microsoft's original operating system, was the most widely used operating system at the time.

One more successful business model for hosted software emerged in the early twentyfirst century, called software-as-a-service, or SaaS.

### 3.2. Cvent Holding Corporation Capital Structure Analysis

## a. Consolidated Balance Sheet:

| CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS - USD (\$) \$ in |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Thousands | Dec. 31, 2021 $\quad$ Dec. 31, 2020

## b. Consolidated Income Statement

| Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss - USD <br> (\$) \$ in Thousands | 12 Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 31, 2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. 31, } \\ 2020 \end{gathered}$ |
| Income Statement [Abstract] |  |  |
| Revenue | \$ 5,18,811 | \$ 4,98,700 |
| Cost of revenue | 1,91,448 | 1,76,250 |
| Gross profit | 3,27,363 | 3,22,450 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |
| Sales and marketing | 1,35,616 | 1,28,388 |
| Research and development | 96,627 | 87,866 |
| General and administrative | 88,206 | 80,564 |
| Intangible asset amortization, exclusive of amounts included in cost of revenue | 51,478 | 53,844 |
| Total operating expenses | 3,71,927 | 3,50,662 |
| Loss from operations | -44,564 | -28,212 |
| Interest expense | -29,073 | -35,557 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discount | -3,606 | -3,798 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | -7,159 |  |
| Loss on divestitures, net |  | -9,634 |
| Other income/(expense), net | 5,367 | 1,333 |
| Loss before income taxes | -79,035 | -75,868 |
| Provision for/(benefit from) income taxes | 7,044 | 7,865 |
| Net loss | -86,079 | -83,733 |
| Other comprehensive income/(loss): |  |  |
| Foreign currency translation gain/(loss) | -2,793 | 1,165 |
| Comprehensive loss | \$ $(88,872)$ | \$ $(82,568)$ |
| Basic and Diluted net loss per common share | \$ (0.20) | \$ (0.20) |
| Basic and Diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding | 42,06,92,510 | 41,61,87,054 |

## c. Short Term - Working Capital Management:

## Current Ratio

| Current Ratio | Current Asset $/$ | $2,85,187$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | Current Liabilities | $3,58,617$ | 0.8 |

This ratio essentially shows the ability of a company to meets its current liabilities. Normally a ratio closer to 1 is considered as optimal, anything greater than that may be indicative of lost opportunity. In here, we can see that the ratio of Cvent is less than 1 and hence it is optimal enough to meet its current liabilities.

## Accounts Receivable Turnover Ratio

| Accounts <br> Receivable <br> Turnover | Sales (Net) <br> Average Accounts Receivable <br> (net) | $5,18,811.0$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | | $4.26,682.0$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

These ratios are indicative on how well companies manage its accounts receivable and how efficient it is collecting its Accounts Receivable. Cvent has a ratio 4.1 which indicates that the company takes 79 days to collect back it's receivables. The ratio is also indicating that the company is converting its receivables into cash 4 times.

## Inventory Turnover Ratio

Inventory Turnover ratio describes the efficiency of a company on how fast they are able to churn their inventory, more efficient the company means more faster they would be able to churn their inventory. Cvent is a software company which does not
have to store its inventory and sell it to check the efficiency. So, we would take that as a positive point as it contributes towards the efficiency. Cvent has no inventory cost.

## Accounts Payable Ratio

| Accounts Payable <br> Turnover | Cost of Goods Sold $/$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Accounts Payable | $1,91,448.0$ | 56.7 |


| Days of Payables <br> Outstanding | Ending Accounts Payable / | 2,675 |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Cost of Goods Sold / 365 |  | 1.9 |

Accounts Payable Ratios talks about how effectively, trade payables are managed by the company. The Ap ratio of Cvent is 56.7 which indicates that the company is paying off its payables on timelier basis and the average time the company takes to pay its credits is 1.9 or approximately 2.

## d. Long Term Financing

## Leasing

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2021 |  | 2020 |  |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Operating lease right-of-use assets | \$ | 28,370 | \$ | 38,922 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Current |  |  |  |  |
| Operating lease liabilities |  | 11,290 |  | 15,910 |
| Long-term |  |  |  |  |
| Operating lease liabilities |  | 30,809 |  | 40,317 |
|  | \$ | 42,099 | \$ | 56,227 |

## Components of Lease Expense

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2021 |  | 2020 |  |
| Operating leases |  |  |  |  |
| Operating lease cost | \$ | 13,361 | \$ | 15,967 |
| Variable lease cost |  | 2,036 |  | 2,781 |
| Short-term lease rent expense |  | 276 |  | 567 |
| Less: Sublease income |  | (668) |  | (1,054) |
| Net rent expense | \$ | 15,005 | \$ | 18,261 |

## Other Information Related to Leases

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted-average remaining lease term - operating leases <br> (in years) | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |  |
| Weighted-average discount rate - operating leases |  | 3.75 | 4.36 |


|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2021 |  | 2020 |  |
| Operating cash flows - operating leases | \$ | 15,120 | \$ | 16,480 |
| Right-of-use assets obtained in exchange for operating lease liabilities | \$ | 1,541 | \$ | 2,500 |

## Summary of Future Minimum Payments

| 2022 |  | 13,470 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 |  | 12,663 |
| 2024 |  | 11,082 |
| 2025 |  | 7,086 |
| 2026 |  | 2,001 |
| Thereafter |  | 503 |
| Total |  | 46,805 |
| Less: present value discount |  | (4,706) |
| Operating lease liabilities | \$ | 42,099 |

The Cvent enters into non-cancellable operating lease agreements for office space with varying expiration periods.

Subleases
Certain of the Company's office premises are subleased under operating leases with varying expiration dates.

## Debt

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2021 |  | 2020 |  |
| First Lien Principal amount | \$ | 265,696 | \$ | 771,648 |
| Revolving Credit Facility Principal Amount |  | - |  | 13,400 |
| Less: original issue discount |  | (438) |  | (1,702) |
| Less: unamortized deferred financing costs |  | (2,956) |  | (11,473) |
| Total principal amount and related unamortized debt issuance costs, net | \$ | 262,302 | \$ | 771,873 |

As of December 31, 2021, the interest rate on outstanding first lien borrowings was 3.8 percent. Because of the short-term nature of interest rates, the carrying value of variable rate debt is close to fair value.

There were no outstanding revolving loans as of December 31, 2021

| Debt Portion | $=$ Total Liability - Cvent Credit <br> $=676623-262,302$ | 414321.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Debt to Equity | Debt | 414321 | 0.2543 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Equity | 1629456 |  |

The above calculations of the table show the debt-to-equity ratio of Cvent is 0.2543 which is very strong and good, and the ratio means that the company has $\$ 0.25$ in debt for each \& every dollar of the shareholder's equity.

## Cost of Capital

## Cost of Debt

Cost of Debt $=$ Pretax Cost of Debt * ( $1-$ Tax Rate $)$
Pretax Cost of Debt $=3.8 \%$ (Under the debt schedule)
Tax Rate $=8.9 \%$ (Given under note 10 of company's 10K Document)
Cost of Debt $=3.8 \%$ * (1-8.9\%)
Cost of Debt $=3.46 \%$

## Cost of Equity

Since there are no preference shares, we would be focusing on equity shares only.
We would be using CAPM - Capital Asset Pricing Model to calculate cost of equity.
Re $=$ Risk Free Rate + Beta [ Market Return - Risk Free Rate]
Risk Free Rate $=2.89 \%$ (US 10 Year Treasury Rate - As on $29^{\text {th }}$ April 2022)
Beta $=0.80$ (Barrons.com)
Market Return $=13.03 \%$ (https://www.stock-analysis-on.net/NYSE/Market-RiskPremium)
$\operatorname{Re}=2.89 \%+0.80$ [13.30\%-2.89\%]
$\operatorname{Re}=11.22 \%$
Weighted Average Cost of Capital
WACC $=(\%$ of Debt $) * \operatorname{Rd}+(\%$ of Equity $) * \operatorname{Re}$
$=(414327 / 2043777) * 3.46 \%+(1629456 / 2043777) * 11.22 \%$
$=20.27 \% * 3.46 \%+79.72 \% * 11.22 \%$
$=70.13 \%+8.94 \%$
$=9.6 \%$

### 3.3. Adobe Inc. Capital Structure Analysis

## a. Consolidated Balance Sheet:

| Consolidated Balance Sheets - USD (\$) \$ in Millions | Dec. 31, 2021 | Dec. 31, 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current assets: |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 3,844 | \$ 4,478 |
| Short-term investments | 1,954 | 1,514 |
| Trade receivables, net of allowances for doubtful accounts of \$16 and \$21 | 1,878 | 1,398 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 993 | 756 |
| Total current assets | 8,669 | 8,146 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment, Net | 1,673 | 1,517 |
| Operating Lease, Right-of-Use Asset, net | 443 | 487 |
| Goodwill | 12,668 | 10,742 |
| Other intangibles, net | 1,820 | 1,359 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,085 | 1,370 |
| Other assets | 883 | 663 |
| Total assets | 27,241 | 24,284 |
| Current liabilities: |  |  |
| Trade payables | 312 | 306 |
| Accrued expenses | 1,736 | 1,422 |
| Deferred revenue | 4,733 | 3,629 |
| Income taxes payable | 54 | 63 |
| Operating Lease, Liability, Current | 97 | 92 |
| Total current liabilities | 6,932 | 5,512 |
| Long-term liabilities: |  |  |
| Debt | 4,123 | 4,117 |
| Deferred revenue | 145 | 130 |
| Income taxes payable | 534 | 529 |
| Deferred income taxes | 5 | 10 |
| Operating Lease, Liability, Noncurrent | 453 | 499 |
| Other liabilities | 252 | 223 |
| Total liabilities | 12,444 | 11,020 |
| Commitments and contingencies |  |  |
| Stockholders' equity: |  |  |
| Preferred stock, \$0.0001 par value; 2 shares authorized; none issued | 0 | 0 |
| Common stock, $\$ 0.0001$ par value; 900 shares authorized; 601 shares issued; 475 and 479 shares outstanding, respectively | 0 | 0 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 8,428 | 7,357 |
| Retained earnings | 23,905 | 19,611 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | -137 | -158 |
| Treasury stock, at cost (126 and 122 shares, respectively) | -17,399 | -13,546 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 14,797 | 13,264 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 27,241 | \$ 24,284 |

## b. Consolidated Income Statement:

| CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENT - USD (\$) shares in Millions, \$ in Millions | 12 Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 31, 2021 | Dec. 31, 2020 |
| Revenue: |  |  |
| Subscription | \$ 14,573 | \$ 11,626 |
| Product | 555 | 507 |
| Services and other | 657 | 735 |
| Total revenue | 15,785 | 12,868 |
| Cost of revenue: |  |  |
| Subscription | 1,374 | 1,108 |
| Product | 41 | 36 |
| Services and other | 450 | 578 |
| Total cost of revenue | 1,865 | 1,722 |
| Gross profit | 13,920 | 11,146 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |
| Research and development | 2,540 | 2,188 |
| Sales and marketing | 4,321 | 3,591 |
| General and administrative | 1,085 | 968 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 172 | 162 |
| Total operating expenses | 8,118 | 6,909 |
| Operating income | 5,802 | 4,237 |
| Non-operating income (expense): |  |  |
| Interest expense | -113 | -116 |
| Investment gains (losses), net | 16 | 13 |
| Other income (expense), net | 0 | 42 |
| Total non-operating income (expense), net | -97 | -61 |
| Income before income taxes | 5,705 | 4,176 |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | 883 | -1,084 |
| Net income | \$ 4,822 | \$ 5,260 |
| Basic net income per share | \$ 10.10 | \$ 10.94 |
| Shares used to compute basic net income per share | 477.3 | 480.9 |
| Diluted net income per share | \$ 10.02 | \$ 10.83 |
| Shares used to compute diluted net income per share | 481 | 485.5 |

## c. Short Term Financing - Working Capital Management

To measure the working capital management of Adobe Inc, we would be considering the few key metrics and then analysing the impact of the same.

To analyse the efficiency of the working capital management we would be analysing certain key ratios.

## Current Ratio

The current ratio is a liquidity and capability proportion which is utilized to gauge a company's capacity to pay its transient liabilities, which are expected in one year or less.

| Current Ratio | Current Asset / |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $8,669.0$ |  |
|  | Current Liabilities | $6,932.0$ |  |

Current ratio of under 1 demonstrates inadequacy of assets in the short race to meet liabilities and an exceptionally high current proportion shows unfortunate administration of assets and working capital on piece of the administration, since those overabundance assets could be contributed somewhere else to acquire a better yield or to finance activities.

The current ratio of Adobe Inc Current is 1.3, which indicates that the firm has sufficient short-term liquidity to meet its liabilities in a span of a year and defaults to repay its liabilities can be avoided. It ensures that current assets have been managed optimally.

## Accounts Receivable Ratio

The receivable turnover ratio helps understand a company's ability to collect receivables, or the money owed by its customers. The ratio demonstrates how well a company manages and utilizes the credit it extends to customers, as well as how quickly that debt is collected.

| Accounts Receivable Turnover | Sales (Net)Average Accounts Receivable (net) | 15,785.0 | 9.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1,638.0 |  |
| Days Sales in Accounts Receivable | Ending Accounts Receivable (net) / | 1,638.0 | 37.9 |
|  | Sales (net) / 365 | 43.2 |  |

Receivable turnover ratio of Adobe Inc for the year 2021 is 9.6. It means that the company collects all of its receivables in 38 days, on average. Therefore, it displays efficiency on part of management while extending credit.

Inventory Turnover Ratio

| Inventory Turnover | Cost of Goods Sold / |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1,865.0$ |  |
|  | Average Inventory | 2.1 |  |


| Days in Inventory | Ending Inventory / | 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 194.3 |
|  | Cost of Goods Sold / 365 | 5.1 |  |

The number of times inventory is sold or used in a certain time period, usually a year, is depicted by the inventory turnover ratio. It's used to see if a business has enough inventory in relation to its sales volume.

An optimal inventory turnover ratio roughly ranges between 5 and 10 for most industries. However, for software companies like Adobe, where the real
inventory is very less and the most valuable asset is its human resource, due to which the company has a low inventory turnover ratio of 2.1.

## Accounts Payable Ratio

The accounts payable turnover ratio is a simple metric of liquidity that measures how regularly a business pays its vendors. The number of times a company's accounts payable are paid off in an year is signified as account payable turnover.

| Accounts Payable Turnover | Average Accounts Payable | 1,865.0 | 6.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 309.0 |  |
| Days of Payables Outstanding | Ending Accounts Payable / | 312.0 | 61.1 |
|  | Cost of Goods Sold / 365 | 5.1 |  |

In case of Adobe Inc, payable turnover ratio for the year 2021 is 6.0. It means that the company with an accounts payable turnover ratio of 6.0 pays all of its payables in 61 days, on average.

## Cash Conversion Cycle

The Cash Conversion Cycle (CCC) is a benchmark that determines how long it takes for a company to convert its inventory into cash. The formula of cash conversion cycle dictates the number of days it takes for a company to turn its resources into cash.

| Cash Conversion Cycle | Days Sales in AR + Days in <br> Inventory - Days of Payables <br> Outstanding | 171.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

In case of Adobe, the ratio indicates that the company is able to complete its inventory to sales process in 171 days roughly. In our opinion it is a moderate CCC ratio however, a lower CCC ratio is more desirable.

## Long Term Financing

## Leasing

The company leases majority of its facilities and data centres under operating leases that are non-cancellable and expire at different times between now and 2031.

They also have a land lease that is set to end in 2091.
There are no material variable payment provisions or any material restrictive covenants in Adobe's lease agreements.

Operating lease expense for both 2020 and 2021 was $\$ 119$ million
For the upcoming years, the estimated present value of the company's lease liability is as follows:

As of December 31, 2021, the maturities of lease liabilities under operating leases were as follows:

| Particulars | Operating Leases in million \$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | 108 |
| 2023 | 87 |
| 2024 | 68 |
| 2025 | 65 |
| 2026 | 60 |
| Thereafter | 216 |
| Total lease liabilities | $\mathbf{6 0 4}$ |
| Less: Imputed interest | 54 |
| Present value of lease liabilities | $\mathbf{5 5 0}$ |

## Debt

For Adobe Inc. debt majorly incudes senior notes. A senior note is a type of bond that generally pays a lower interest rate than junior bonds because such notes take priority over other debts if the company declares bankruptcy or is pushed into liquidation. Hence such notes pose a smaller risk for the investor. However, all the company's senior notes are unsecured and not leveraged by an asset.

| The carrying value of borrowings as of December 31, 2021 and December 31, 2020 were as follows: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (dollars in millions) | Issuance Date | Due Date | Effective Interest Rate |  | 2021 |  | 2020 |
| 1.70\% 2023 Notes | Feb-20 | Feb-23 | 1.92\% | \$ | 500 | \$ | 500 |
| 1.90\% 2025 Notes | Feb-20 | Feb-25 | 2.07\% |  | 500 |  | 500 |
| 3.25\% 2025 Notes | Jan-15 | Feb-25 | 3.67\% |  | 1,000 |  | 1,000 |
| 2.15\% 2027 Notes | Feb-20 | Feb-27 | 2.26\% |  | 850 |  | 850 |
| 2.30\% 2030 Notes | Feb-20 | Feb-30 | 2.69\% |  | 1,300 |  | 1,300 |
| Total debt outstanding, at par |  |  |  | \$ | 4,150 | \$ | 4,150 |
| Unamortized discount and debt issuance costs |  |  |  |  | -27 |  | -33 |
| Carrying value of long-term debt |  |  |  | \$ | 4,123 | \$ | 4,117 |

In Feb 2020, the company issued $\$ 500$ million worth senior notes that are due to be redeemed by 2023. Another set of $\$ 500$ million senior notes were issued in Feb 2020 that are due Feb 2025. Furthermore, in the month of Feb 2020 itself, $\$ 850$ million worth notes were issued that are due 2027 and lastly $\$ 1.3$ billion was raise by the same means and these notes would be due in 2030. Overall, the company raise $\$ 3.150$ billion in the year 2020 all by floating senior notes. These collected funds are to be used for general corporate purposes and to repay debt instruments that are due to be redeemed in 2020.

| Debt to Equity | Debt 4,123 <br> Equity 14797 | 0.278637562 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

The debt equity ratio is a measure of how a company's assets are financed by debt and how much is financed by equity. The ideal ratio is $2: 1$, which is also known as risk, gearing, or leverage. For Adobe Inc Debt equity ratio 0.28 , which indicates that the company does not rely on borrowed capital to finance its major operating activities.

The lower debt equity ratio of the company in turn improves the credit worthiness of the company and further strengthens its liquidity position.

## d. Cost of Capital

## Cost of Debt:

Cost of Debt = Pre-tax Cost of Debt * (1-Tax Rate)
Pre-tax Cost of Debt $=4.25 \%$
Tax Rate $=15 \%$ (As per company notes shared in 10 K filling)
Hence Cost of Debt $=4.25 \%$ * (1-0.15)
Cost of Debt $=3.612 \%$

## Cost of Equity:

Since there are no preference shares, we would be focusing on equity shares only.

We would be using CAPM - Capital Asset Pricing Model to calculate cost of equity.

Re $=$ Risk Free Rate + Beta [ Market Return - Risk Free Rate]
Risk Free Rate $=2.89 \%$ (US 10 Year Treasury Rate - As on 29th April 2022)

Beta $=1.07$ (From Yahoo Finance)
Market Return $=13.03 \%$ (https://www.stock-analysis-on.net/NYSE/Market-Risk-Premium)
$\operatorname{Re}=2.89 \%+1.07(13.03 \%-2.89 \%)$
$\operatorname{Re}=13.74 \%$

## Weighted Average Cost of Capital:

WACC $=(\%$ of Debt $) * \operatorname{Rd}+(\%$ of Equity $) * \operatorname{Re}$

| Particulars | \$ million / Percentages |
| :--- | ---: |
| Debt | $\$$ |
| Equity | $\$, 123$ |
| Debt + Equity | $\$$ |
| Debt Percentage | 14,797 |
| Equity Percentage | 18,920 |
| Cost of Debt | $21.79 \%$ |
| Cost of Equity | $78.21 \%$ |
| WACC | $3.61 \%$ |

## 4. COMPARITIVE ANALYSIS

## Automobile Industry (Asset Heavy)

Ford's current ratio is approaching one, which is a good sign of working capital management since it suggests the company has adequate cash to meet its current commitments. Tesla's current ratio is close to 1 , which is regarded as an ideal current ratio for a company. Ford has a 12.8 rating. The bulk of Ford Accounts Receivable is made up of contracts with consumers for the sale of cars, parts, accessories, and services. The transaction value of these receivables is documented in the records, and they normally carry a month's worth of interest. Every period, Ford assesses its outstanding debt to set the required reserve for doubtful debts. It's 37.6 for Tesla, showing that the company is pretty strong at it. Ford has a 10 inventory turnover ratio, which implies they can move through their inventory cycle in 38.4 days on average. Ford valued their inventory by using the lower of cost or net realizable value; this is a cautious technique that usually yields accurate findings. Ford also uses FIFO - First in, First Out - to calculate its inventory costs. An inventory turnover ratio of 5-10 is considered optimum for a car firm. And, as can be seen, Tesla's Inventory Turnover Ratio is 7, indicating that it is on pace.
WACC implies the risk associated with company's operations. Tesla has a higher WACC $(13.2 \%)$ as compared to Ford's(7.24\%).This is possible because there is more anticipation around TESLA due to its entrance into new avenues of Electric Vehicle market.

## SERVICE INDUSTRY (Asset Light)

The current ratio of Adobe Inc Current is 1.3, suggesting that the firm has enough short-term liquidity to meet its obligations within a year and that debt repayment defaults can be avoided. It ensures that existing assets are utilised to their utmost capacity. Cvent's current ratio is less than one, suggesting that its current liabilities are covered. The receivable turnover ratio for Adobe Inc in 2021 is 9.6. It means that the company collects all of its receivables in an average of 38 days. As a consequence, while granting loans, it exhibits management efficiency. Cvent's ratio is 4.1, suggesting that it takes 79 days for the company to recover its receivables.
The recommended inventory turnover ratio for most industries is between 5 and 10 . Software companies, on the other hand, have a low inventory turnover ratio of 2.1
because their genuine inventory is modest and their most valuable asset is their human resource. Cvent is a software startup that doesn't need to keep or sell goods to figure out how efficient it is. As a result, we think this is a benefit since it increases efficiency. There are no stocking charges with Cvent. Adobe Inc's payable turnover ratio for the year 2021 is 6.0 . It means that a company with a 6.0 accounts payable turnover ratio pays all of its bills in 61 days on average. Cvent, on the other hand, has a 56.7 ratio, showing that the company is paying off its payables more quickly, and the average time it takes to pay its credits is 1.9 weeks.

## 5. REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING <br> CAPITAL STRUCTURE

We would be analyzing top 20 US companies in terms of market capitalization, and then using regression we would be observing whether factors like Gross Margin, Return on Asset and Return on Equity have an effect on the capital structure of the companies.

We have taken the following data from the company's annual fillings, the following figures are in millions.

| Company Name | Total Asset | Debt | Equity | Net Sales | Gross Profit | Net Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apple | 351,002 | 287,912 | 63,090 | 365,817 | 152,836 | 94,680 |
| Microsoft | 333,779 | 191,791 | 141,988 | 168,088 | 115,856 | 61,271 |
| Amazon | 420,549 | 282,304 | 138,245 | 469,822 | 66,315 | 33,364 |
| Alphabet Inc | 359,268 | 107,633 | 251,635 | 257,637 | 146,698 | 76,033 |
| Facebook | 165,987 | 41,108 | 124,879 | 117,929 | 95,280 | 39,370 |
| Tesla | 62,131 | 31,116 | 31,015 | 53,823 | 13,606 | 5,519 |
| Berkshire Hathway | 958,784 | 443,854 | 514,930 | 354,636 | 111,686 | 89,795 |
| Visa | 82,896 | 45,307 | 37,589 | 24,105 | 19,135 | 12,311 |
| Johnson \& Johnson | 182,018 | 107,995 | 74,023 | 93,775 | 63,920 | 20,878 |
| Walmart | 244,860 | 152,969 | 91,891 | 572,754 | 143,754 | 13,673 |
| Maste rcard | 37,669 | 30,257 | 7,412 | 18,884 | 14,395 | 8,687 |
| Unite dHealth Group | 212,206 | 135,727 | 76,479 | 285,273 | 67,328 | 17,285 |
| The W alt Disney Company | 203,609 | 110,598 | 93,011 | 67,418 | 22,287 | 1,995 |
| Procter \& Gamble | 119,307 | 72,653 | 46,654 | 76,118 | 39,010 | 14,306 |
| Nvidia | 44,187 | 17,575 | 26,612 | 26,914 | 17,475 | 9,752 |
| Paypal Holdings | 75,803 | 54,076 | 21,727 | 25,371 | 13,996 | 4,169 |
| Intel | 168,406 | 73,015 | 95,391 | 79,024 | 43,815 | 19,868 |
| Comcast | 275,905 | 177,896 | 98,009 | 116,385 | 77,935 | 14,159 |
| Verizon Communications | 366,596,000 | 283,396,000 | 83,200,000 | 133,613,000 | 77,312,000 | 22,065,000 |
| Exxon Mobil | 338,923,000 | 163,240,000 | 175,683,000 | 276,692,000 | 64,886,000 | 23,040,000 |

## Ratios Calculated:

| Debt to Equity | Gross Margin | Return on Asset | Return on Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Debt to Equity | Gross Profit/Net Sales | Net Income/Asset | Net Income/Equity |
| 4.563512443 | $42 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $150 \%$ |
| 1.350754993 | $69 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| 2.042055771 | $14 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| 0.427734616 | $57 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| 0.329182649 | $81 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| 1.003256489 | $25 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| 0.861969588 | $31 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| 1.205326026 | $79 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| 1.458938438 | $68 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| 1.664678804 | $25 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| 4.082164058 | $76 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $117 \%$ |
| 1.774696322 | $24 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| 1.189085162 | $33 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| 1.557272688 | $51 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| 0.660416354 | $65 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| 2.488884798 | $55 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| 0.765428604 | $55 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| 1.815098613 | $67 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| 3.406201923 | $58 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| 0.929173568 | $23 \%$ | $73 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

### 5.1. Regression Analysis between Capital Structure and Return

 on AssetsWe performed a regression analysis between Debt to Equity and Return on Asset, in our analysis we found out that there exists very low correlation between the two factors. As such it further shows that only $2 \%$ of the variation in Debt to Equity is explained by the Return on Asset.


SUMMARY OUTPUT

| Regression Statistics |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Multiple R | 0.1472194 |
| R Square | 0.0216735 |
| Adjusted R Square | -0.032678 |
| Standard Error | 1.1767154 |
| Observations | 20 |

ANOVA

|  | $d f$ | SS | MS | $F$ | Significance $F$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Regression | 1 | 0.552155806 | 0.552155806 | 0.39876657 | 0.535664805 |  |
| Residual | 18 | 24.92386587 | 1.384659215 |  |  |  |
| Total | 19 | 25.47602167 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coefficients Standard Error |  |  |  |  |  | $t$ Stat |
| P-value | Lower 95\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 1.3958977 | 0.519542295 | 2.686783566 | 0.015068467 | 0.304379842 |  |
| Return on Asset | 2.2650195 | 3.586844675 | 0.631479667 | 0.535664805 | -5.270661551 |  |


| Regression Statistics | Interpretation | Ant | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multiple R | Multiple R is the correlation between actual and predicted values of the dependent variable. It tells how fit the regression equation is to the data. It is also called the correlation coefficient. | 0.147219391 | There is low correlation between the two factors. |
| R Square | It re presents the proportion of the variance for a dependent variable that's explained by an independent variable. It tells the reliability of found regression. It tells us how many observations are part of our line of regression or how close they are to the reares sion line | 0.021673549 | It shows that only $2 \%$ of the variation in Debt to Equity is explained by the Return on Asset. |
| Adjusted R Square | This is contextual based and is useful in comparison, to analyze whether including an additional inde pendent variable helps in the correlation or even the additional Independent variable is correlated or not. | -0.03267792 | Negligible, it means that adding the particular variable will have no or netlabel effect on the factor. |
| Standard Error | It tells about how far a data point can go from the regression line. This like a +- range for the regression line. As seen in the bellow diagram, for X 1 the range is smaller but for X 2 the range is bigger. | 1.176715435 | Debt ranges from 4 to 4.5 hence having a standard deviation of 1.17 is slightly on the higher side. |
| Observations | Number of Observation | 20 |  |

### 5.2. Regression Analysis between Capital Structure and Gross Margin

We performed a regression analysis between Debt to Equity and Gross Margin, in our analysis we found out that there exist no correlation between the two factors. At the same time the standard deviation is comparatively high 1.18 for a range of 0.4 to 4.5 .


## SUMMARY OUTPUT

| Regression Statistics |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Multiple R | 0.02047 |
| R Square | 0.000419 |
| Adjusted R Square | -0.05511 |
| Standard Error | 1.189429 |
| Observations | 20 |

ANOVA

|  | $d f$ | SS | MS | $F$ | Significance $F$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression | 1 | 0.010674562 | 0.010674562 | 0.007545238 | 0.931739156 |
| Residual | 18 | 25.46534711 | 1.414741506 |  |  |
| Total | 19 | 25.47602167 |  |  |  |


|  | Coefficient. Standard Error | t Stat | $P$-value | Lower 95\% |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercept | 1.622711 | 0.698256624 | 2.323946285 | 0.032034507 | 0.155728156 |
| Gross Margin | 0.11217 | 1.29133907 | 0.08686333 | 0.931739156 | -2.600832702 |


| Regression Statistics | Interpretation | Amt | Result |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Multiple R | Multiple R is the correlation between actual and <br> predicted values of the dependent variable. It tells <br> how fit the regression equation is to the data. It is <br> also called the correlation coefficient. | 0.020469594 | No Correlation |
| R Square | It represents the proportion of the variance for a <br> dependent variable that's explained by an <br> independent variable. It tells the reliability of found <br> regression. It tells us how many observations are <br> part of our line of regression or how close they are <br> to the regression line. | 0.000419004 | There is no to negligible <br> the variation in Debt to <br> Equity which is explained <br> by Gross Margin. |
| Adjusted R Square | This is contextual based and is useful in <br> comparison, to analyze whether including an <br> additional independent variable helps in the <br> correlation or even the additional Independent <br> variable is correlated or not. | -0.055113273 | Very low, it means there <br> is no effect of this variable <br> in the model. |
| Standard Error | It tells about how far a data point can go from the <br> regression line. This like a +- range for the |  |  |
| regression line. |  |  |  |

### 5.3. Regression Analysis between Capital Structure and Return on Equity

During the analysis we found a direct correlation between return on equity and debt for equity, it is moderately high correlation with the Multiple R Score of 0.73 . This analysis also goes with normal convention, as the company increases its Net Income its ROE increases.

With higher ROE, the shareholders want optimum utilization of their resources, hence they go towards cheaper capital options, as seen in our previous analysis debt tends to be a cheaper source of capital.

With debt there is an obligation to pay irrespective of profits in a particular period, hence companies prefer to go for debt after considering their financial leverage. To maintain optimal financial leverage, companies need to increase their net income. Once they are able to increase their net income then only, they can safely go for options like debt. This is consistent with our findings as well. Where there is an increase in debt to equity ratio with an increase in return on equity.


| SUMMARY OUTPUT |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Regression Statistics |  |
| Multiple R | 0.736315 |
| R Square | 0.54216 |
| Adjusted R Squarı | 0.516725 |
| Standard Error | 0.804982 |
| Observations | 20 |

ANOVA

|  | $d f$ | SS | MS | $F$ | Significance $F$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Regression | 1 | 13.81209081 | 13.81209081 | 21.31508131 | 0.000214179 |
| Residual | 18 | 11.66393086 | 0.647996159 |  |  |
| Total | 19 | 25.47602167 |  |  |  |


| Coefficients Standard Error |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t$ Stat | $p$-value | Lower 95\% |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.846147 | 0.254805661 | 3.320754796 | 0.003802959 | 0.310820291 |
| Return on Equity | 2.401202 | 0.520098045 | 4.616825891 | 0.000214179 | 1.308516675 |


| Regression Statistics | Interpretation | Ant | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multiple R | Multiple R is the correlation between actual and predicted values of the dependent variable. It tells how fit the regression equation is to the data. It is also called the correlation coefficient. | 0.736315441 | There is moderate to high correlation between Debt to Equity and Return on Equity |
| R Square | It represents the proportion of the variance for a dependent variable that's explained by an inde pendent variable. It tells the reliability of found regression. It tells us how many observations are part of our line of regression or how close they are to the regression line. | 0.542160428 | It means that $54.21 \%$ of the variation in Debt to Equity is explained by the changes in Return on Equity |
| Adjusted R Square | This is contextual based and is useful in comparison, to analyze whether including an additional independent variable helps in the correlation or even the additional Independent variable is correlated or not. | $\left.0.516724896\right\|^{\text {a }}$ | It is relatively on the higher side, it means that it has a significant contribution in evaluating the model determining Debt to Equity. |
| Standard Error | It tells about how far a data point can go from the regression line. This like a +- range for the regression line. | 0.804982086 | Standard error is slightly on the higher side, it defines how further points are from the regression line. |
| Observations | Number of Observation | 20 |  |

## 6. CONCLUSION

In our findings we have observed that asset heavy industries like ford tend to have a more conservative approach towards their capital structure and overall working capital management. We have observed that these asset heavy legacy companies tend to follow conventional wisdom when it comes to managing their capital structure. On the other hand, Asset light companies like software companies or service sector companies tend not follow conventional wisdom because in many cases it is not relevant for them. For most of the software/service companies working capital management is completely different from traditional legacy companies, mainly because of their less inventory, less accounts receivable and lack of accounts payable. Since these companies sell their software and services mostly over cloud they rarely have any inventory, while on the other hand asset heavy companies have to focus a lot of their energy on managing inventory and related stuff. Further software companies normally don't have any accounts receivable, because their products have low per unit value and are sold directly to the customer which pays the company instantly on the other hand automotive industry often give their products on leases or have an option to pay back in 30 days because of which accounts receivable management becomes a huge task.

Over long term financing we have observed, that asset heavy companies tend to rely more on debt, with a ratio closer to 4 is to 1 , for every part of equity there are 4 parts of debt. On the other hand software companies tend to focus more on raising equity and financing their needs through equity financing only. Software and Service companies debt to equity ratio comes around on 0.27 debt part for every 1 part of equity. Because of which their weighted average cost of capital increase. But this is somewhat necessary for software companies as they are not in a position to have more debt because of their irregularity in business, hence these companies don't prefer to have a fix obligation in the form of interest.

During our regression analysis we have found a close link between capital structure and return on equity. We have seen as the return on equity increases, which is mainly because of increase in Net Income, then companies tend to focus more on moving toward cheaper source of financing, because they can manage the financial leverage and have some amount of certainty over their ability to pay for fixed interest charges.

