

Generalized Positive Linear Operators Based on PED and IPED

Naokant Deo & Minakshi Dhamija

**Iranian Journal of Science and
Technology, Transactions A: Science**

ISSN 1028-6276

Iran J Sci Technol Trans Sci
DOI 10.1007/s40995-017-0477-5



Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Shiraz University. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be self-archived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".



Generalized Positive Linear Operators Based on PED and IPED

Naokant Deo¹ · Minakshi Dhamija²

Received: 18 April 2017 / Accepted: 25 December 2017
© Shiraz University 2018

Abstract

The paper deals with generalized positive linear operators based on Pólya–Eggenberger distribution (PED) as well as inverse Pólya–Eggenberger distribution (IPED). Initially, we give the moments using Stirling numbers of second kind and then establish direct results for proposed operators.

Keywords Generalized operators · Pólya–Eggenberger distribution · Modulus of continuity · Weighted approximation

Mathematics Subject Classification 41A25 · 41A36

1 Introduction

In the year 1968, Stancu Stancu (1968) introduced a new class of positive linear operators based on Pólya–Eggenberger distribution (PED) and associated with a real-valued function on $[0, 1]$ as:

$$B_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{x^{[k, -\alpha]} (1-x)^{[n-k, -\alpha]}}{1^{[n, -\alpha]}} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad (1)$$

where α is a non-negative parameter which may depend only on the natural number n and $t^{[n, h]} = t(t-h)(t-2h) \cdots (t-(n-1)h)$, $t^{[0, h]} = 1$ represents the factorial power of t with increment h .

Later on, Stancu Stancu (1970) introduced a generalized form of the Baskakov operators based on inverse Pólya–Eggenberger distribution (IPED) for a real-valued function bounded on $[0, \infty)$, given by

$$V_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k-1}{k} \frac{1^{[n, -\alpha]} x^{[k, -\alpha]}}{(1+x)^{[n+k, -\alpha]}} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right). \quad (2)$$

Now we consider new positive linear operators $L_n^{(\alpha)}$, for each f , real-valued function bounded on interval I , as:

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = \sum_k w_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad x \in I, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (3)$$

where $\alpha = \alpha(n) \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, p and k are nonnegative integers and for $\lambda = -1, 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) &= \frac{n+p}{n+p+\bar{\lambda}+1k} \binom{n+p+\bar{\lambda}+1k}{k} \\ &\quad \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x+i\alpha) \prod_{i=0}^{n+p+\lambda k-1} (1+\lambda x+i\alpha)}{\prod_{i=0}^{n+p+\bar{\lambda}+1k-1} (1+\bar{\lambda}+1x+i\alpha)} \\ &= \frac{n+p}{n+p+\bar{\lambda}+1k} \binom{n+p+\bar{\lambda}+1k}{k} \\ &\quad \frac{x^{[k, -\alpha]} (1+\lambda x)^{[n+p+\lambda k, -\alpha]}}{(1+\bar{\lambda}+1x)^{[n+p+\bar{\lambda}+1k, -\alpha]}}, \end{aligned}$$

using the notation $\overline{m-r}\alpha = (m-r)\alpha$. Operator (3) is the generalized form of above two operators (1) and (2) and associated with PED and IPED (Eggenberger and Pólya 1923).

Kantorovich form of Stancu operators (1) had been given by Razi (1989) and he studied its convergence properties and degree of approximation. Ispir et al. (2015) also discussed the Kantorovich form of operators (1) and they estimated the rate of convergence for absolutely

✉ Minakshi Dhamija
minakshidhamija11@gmail.com

Naokant Deo
naokantdeo@dce.ac.in; dr_naokant_deo@yahoo.com

¹ Department of Applied Mathematics, Delhi Technological University, Formerly Delhi College of Engineering, Bawana Road, Delhi 110042, India

² Department of Mathematics, Shaheed Rajguru College of Applied Sciences for Women, University of Delhi, Vasundhara Enclave, Delhi 110096, India

continuous functions having a derivative coinciding a.e. with a function of bounded variation. Recently, Miclăuş (2014) established some approximation results for the Stancu operators (1) and its Durrmeyer type integral modification was studied by Gupta and Rassias (2014) and obtained some direct results which include an asymptotic formula, local and global approximation results for these operators in terms of modulus of continuity.

Very recently, Durrmeyer type modification of generalized Baskakov operators (2) associated with IPED was introduced by Dhamija and Deo (2016) and studied the moments with the help of Vandermonde convolution formula and then gave approximation properties of these operators which include uniform convergence and degree of approximation. Deo et al. (2016) also investigated approximation properties of Kantorovich variant of operators (2) and they established uniform convergence, asymptotic formula and degree of approximation. Various Durrmeyer type modifications and then their local approximation along with some other approximation behaviour have been discussed by many authors, e.g. Deo (2012), Jung et al. (2014) and Gupta and Agarwal (2014).

The main object of this paper is to find moments of proposed operators up to order 4, with the help of Stirling numbers of the second kind (see Miclăuş 2012c); however, we will use only moments till order 2 to estimate the rate of convergence of operators (3) via local approximation and rest moments are an open gate for future research to obtain other approximation properties of same operators as well as for their different modifications.

Throughout this paper, we consider interval $I = [0, \infty)$ for $\lambda = 0$ and $I = [0, 1]$ for $\lambda = -1$.

2 Special Cases

It is easy to understand that the special cases of operators (3) are as follows:

(1) For $\lambda = -1$; we have

(i) When $\alpha \neq 0 \neq p$

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+p} \binom{n+p}{k} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x + i\alpha) \prod_{i=0}^{n+p-k-1} (1 - x + i\alpha)}{\prod_{i=0}^{n+p-1} (1 + i\alpha)} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right).$$

This leads to Schurer type Stancu operators.

(ii) When $\alpha \neq 0, p = 0$ we get alternate form of operators (1) as:

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x + i\alpha) \prod_{i=0}^{n-k-1} (1 - x + i\alpha)}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 + i\alpha)} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right).$$

Particular case: when $\alpha = 1/n, p = 0$, we obtain Lupaş and Lupaş (1987) operators as:

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = \frac{2(n!)}{(2n)!} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (nx + i) \prod_{i=0}^{n-k-1} (1 - xn + i) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right).$$

(iii) When $\alpha = 0, p \neq 0$, we have Bernstein–Schurer operators (Schurer 1962) as:

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+p} \binom{n+p}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n+p-k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right).$$

(iv) When $\alpha = 0, p = 0$ we obtain original Bernstein operators (Bernstein 1912) as:

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right).$$

(2) For $\lambda = 0$, we obtain the following operators:

(i) When $\alpha \neq 0 \neq p$, we have Stancu–Schurer operators as:

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+p+k-1}{k} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x + i\alpha) \prod_{i=0}^{n+p-1} (1 + i\alpha)}{\prod_{i=0}^{n+p+k-1} (1 + x + i\alpha)} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right),$$

(ii) When $\alpha \neq 0, p = 0$, we obtain alternate form of operators (2) as:

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k-1}{k} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x + i\alpha) \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 + i\alpha)}{\prod_{i=0}^{n+k-1} (1 + x + i\alpha)} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right),$$

(iii) When $\alpha = 0, p \neq 0$, we get Baskakov–Schurer operators as:

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+p+k-1}{k} \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^{n+p+k}} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right),$$

- (iv) When $\alpha = 0, p = 0$, we obtain classical Baskakov operators (Baskakov 1957) as:

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k-1}{k} \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^{n+k}} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right).$$

3 Preliminary Results

In 1730, Stirling (1730) introduced an important concept of numbers, useful in various branches of mathematics like number theory, calculus of Bernstein polynomials, etc., known as Stirling numbers of first kind and afterwards Stirling numbers of second kind. Let \mathbb{R} be the set of real numbers and \mathbb{N} , a collection of natural numbers with $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $S(j, i)$ denote the Stirling numbers of second kind, then

$$x^j = \sum_{i=1}^j S(j, i)(x)_i,$$

with alternate form

$$x^j = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} S(j, j-i)(x)_{j-i}, \quad (4)$$

such that

$$(x)_i := \prod_{n=0}^{i-1} (x-n)$$

is falling factorial. Also, these numbers have the following properties:

$$S(j, i) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j = i = 0; j = i \text{ or } j > 1, i = 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } j > 0, i = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } j < i \\ iS(j-1, i) + S(j-1, i-1), & \text{if } j, i > 1. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

These Stirling numbers of second kind are very useful in calculating the moments of linear positive operators, especially for higher order moments. Miclăuş (2012a, b) obtained higher order moments for Bernstein type operators using these numbers. In what follows, we shall find the moments of $L_n^{(\alpha)}$ given by (3) with the help of same. Let us recall the monomials $e_j(x) = x^j, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be the test functions.

Lemma 1 For the monomial t^j , where $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t, x \in I$, we have

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(t^j; x) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} S(j, j-i) \phi_{n+p}^{j-i} \frac{x^{[j-i, -\alpha]}}{1^{[j-i+(\lambda+1), -\alpha]}},$$

where

$$\phi_{n+p}^{j-i} = \begin{cases} (n+p)_{(j-i)}, & \lambda = -1 \\ (n+p)^{(j-i)}, & \lambda = 0 \end{cases},$$

$(y)_n := \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (y-i), (y)_0 := 1$ and $(y)^n := \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (y+i), (y)^{(0)} := 1$ are, respectively, the falling factorial and rising factorial with $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof For $\lambda = -1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_n^{(\alpha)}(t^j; x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+p} w_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \frac{k^j}{n^j} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^j} \sum_{k=0}^{n+p} w_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} S(j, j-i)(k)_{j-i} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^j} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \left[S(j, j-i)(n+p)_{j-i} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \sum_{k=j-i}^{n+p} \binom{n+p-j+i}{k-j+i} \frac{x^{[j-i, -\alpha]} (x + (j-i)\alpha)^{[k-j+i, -\alpha]} (1-x)^{[n+p-k-i, -\alpha]}}{1^{[j-i, -\alpha]} (1 + (j-i)\alpha)^{[n+p-j+i, -\alpha]}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^j} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} S(j, j-i)(n+p)_{j-i} \frac{x^{[j-i, -\alpha]} (1 + (j-i)\alpha)^{[n+p-j+i, -\alpha]}}{1^{[j-i, -\alpha]} (1 + (j-i)\alpha)^{[n+p-j+i, -\alpha]}} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^j} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} S(j, j-i)(n+p)_{j-i} \frac{x^{[j-i, -\alpha]}}{1^{[j-i, -\alpha]}} \end{aligned}$$

And for $\lambda = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_n^{(\alpha)}(t^j; x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \frac{k^j}{n^j} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} S(j, j-i)(k)_{j-i} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^j} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \left[S(j, j-i) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+p+k-1}{k} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \frac{x^{[j-i, -\alpha]} (x + (j-i)\alpha)^{[k-j+i, -\alpha]} 1^{[j-i, -\alpha]} (1 + (j-i)\alpha)^{[n+p-j+i, -\alpha]}}{(1+x)^{[n+p+k, -\alpha]}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^j} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \left[S(j, j-i)(n+p)^{(j-i)} \sum_{k=j-i}^{\infty} \binom{n+p+k-1}{n+p+j-i-1} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \frac{x^{[j-i, -\alpha]} (x + (j-i)\alpha)^{[k-j+i, -\alpha]} 1^{[j-i, -\alpha]} (1 + (j-i)\alpha)^{[n+p-j+i, -\alpha]}}{(1+x)^{[n+p+k, -\alpha]}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^j} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} S(j, j-i)(n+p)^{(j-i)} \frac{x^{[j-i, -\alpha]}}{1^{[j-i+1, -\alpha]}} \end{aligned}$$

By combining both cases ($\lambda = -1$ and 0), we get the required result. \square

Lemma 2 For the generalized positive linear operators, (3) holds

$$\begin{aligned} L_n^{(\alpha)}(1; x) &= 1, \quad L_n^{(\alpha)}(t; x) = \left(\frac{n+p}{n} \right) \frac{x}{(1-\bar{\lambda}+1\alpha)}, \\ L_n^{(\alpha)}(t^2; x) &= \left(\frac{n+p}{n^2} \right) \frac{1}{(1-\lambda\alpha)(1-\bar{\lambda}+1\alpha)} \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{(n+p+\lambda+1)x(x+\alpha)}{1-2\bar{\lambda}+1\alpha} + x(1+\lambda x) \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(t^3; x) = \left[\frac{(n+p+2\lambda+1)(n+p+2\lambda+1)(x+\alpha)(x+2\alpha)}{(1-3\lambda+2\alpha)(1-5\lambda+3\alpha)} + \frac{3(n+p+2\lambda+1)(x+\alpha)}{(1-3\lambda+2\alpha)} + 1 \right],$$

and

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(t^4; x) = \frac{(n+p)x}{n^4(1-\lambda+1\alpha)} \times \left[\frac{(n+p+2\lambda+1)(n+p+2\lambda+1)(n+p+3\lambda+1)(x+\alpha)(x+2\alpha)(x+3\alpha)}{(1-3\lambda+2\alpha)(1-5\lambda+3\alpha)(1-7\lambda+4\alpha)} + \frac{6(n+p+2\lambda+1)(n+p+2\lambda+1)(x+\alpha)(x+2\alpha)}{(1-3\lambda+2\alpha)(1-5\lambda+3\alpha)} + \frac{7(n+p+2\lambda+1)(x+\alpha)}{(1-3\lambda+2\alpha)} + 1 \right].$$

Proof From the definition of operators (3), we can obtain the moment for $j = 0$, i. e., $L_n^{(\alpha)}(1; x) = 1$.

Also by the application of Lemma 1 for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and taking into account the relation (5), we can follow the values of remaining moments. \square

Further, to obtain the central moments of generalized positive operators (3), we use the following result:

Lemma 3 (Gonska et al. 2006) *Let V be any linear operators then*

$$V((t-x)^j; x) = V(t^j; x) - \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \binom{j}{i} x^{j-i} V((t-x)^i; x),$$

and in the case when $V(t^j; x) = x^j$, for $j = 0, 1$, then we get

$$V((t-x)^3; x) = V(t^3; x) - x^3 - 3xV((t-x)^2; x),$$

and

$$V((t-x)^4; x) = V(t^4; x) - x^4 - 4xV((t-x)^3; x) + 6x^2V((t-x)^2; x).$$

Lemma 4 *The generalized linear positive operators (3) satisfy*

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(t-x; x) = \frac{(p+n\lambda+1\alpha)x}{n(1-\lambda+1\alpha)}, \quad (6)$$

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}((t-x)^2; x) = \frac{n+p}{n(1-\lambda\alpha)(1-\lambda+1\alpha)} \left[(1-\lambda\alpha)(1-\lambda+1\alpha) \frac{nx^2}{n+p} + \frac{(n+p+\lambda+1)x(x+\alpha)}{n(1-2\lambda+1\alpha)} + \frac{x(1+\lambda x)}{n} - 2(1-\lambda\alpha)x^2 \right], \quad (7)$$

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}((t-x)^3; x) = \frac{(n+p)x(x+\alpha)}{n^2(1-\lambda+1\alpha)} \left[\frac{3(n+p+2\lambda+1)}{n(1-3\lambda+2\alpha)} - \frac{3(n+p+\lambda+1)x}{(1-\lambda\alpha)(1-2(\lambda+1)\alpha)} \right] + \frac{(n+p)x}{n(1-\lambda+1\alpha)} \left[\frac{1}{n^2} - 3x \left(\frac{px+\lambda+1\alpha}{(n+p)} \right) - \frac{3}{(1-\lambda\alpha)} \frac{x(1+\lambda x)}{n} \right] - 2x^3 \left[2 - \frac{3(n+p)}{n(1-\lambda+1\alpha)} \right], \quad (8)$$

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}((t-x)^4; x) = \frac{(n+p)x(x+\alpha)}{n^2(1-\lambda+1\alpha)} \times \left[\frac{(n+p+2\lambda+1)(n+p+2\lambda+1)(n+p+3\lambda+1)(x+2\alpha)(x+3\alpha)}{n^2(1-3\lambda+2\alpha)(1-5\lambda+3\alpha)(1-7\lambda+4\alpha)} + \frac{2(3-2nx)(n+p+2\lambda+1)(n+p+2\lambda+1)(x+2\alpha)}{n^2(1-3\lambda+2\alpha)(1-5\lambda+3\alpha)} + \frac{(7-12nx)(n+p+2\lambda+1)}{n^2(1-3\lambda+2\alpha)} + \frac{6(n+p+\lambda+1)x^2}{(1-\lambda\alpha)(1-2(\lambda+1)\alpha)} \right] + \frac{(n+p)x}{n(1-\lambda+1\alpha)} \left[\frac{1-4nx}{n^3} + 8x^2 \left(\frac{px+\lambda+1\alpha}{(n+p)} \right) \frac{6x^2(1+\lambda x)}{n(1-\lambda\alpha)} \right] + 3x^4 \left[3 - \frac{4(n+p)}{n(1-\lambda+1\alpha)} \right]. \quad (9)$$

Proof The combined use of Lemma 2 and 3 will follow the proof. \square

Lemma 5 *For positive linear operators (3), there holds*

$$|L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x)| \leq \|f\|,$$

where

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \in I} |f(x)|.$$

Proof From operators (3) and the fact that $|L_n^{(\alpha)}(1; x)| = 1$, we have

$$|L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x)| = \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \sup |f(x)| = \|f\|. \quad \square$$

4 Direct Results

Let $C_B(I)$ be the space of all the real-valued continuous and bounded functions f on the interval I , endowed with the norm $\|f\|$.

For $f \in C_B(I)$, the Peetre's K -functional is defined by $K_2(f; \delta) := \inf_{g \in C_B^2(I)} \{\|f - g\| + \delta \|g''\|\}$, $\delta > 0$,

where $C_B^2(I) = \{g \in C_B(I) : g', g'' \in C_B(I)\}$. By DeVore and Lorentz (1993, p.177. Theorem 2.4), there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that

$$K_2(f; \delta) \leq C \omega_2(f; \sqrt{\delta}), \quad (10)$$

where $\omega_2(f; \sqrt{\delta})$ is the second-order modulus of continuity defined by

$$\omega_2(f; \sqrt{\delta}) = \sup_{0 < h < \sqrt{\delta}} \sup_{x \in I} |f(x + 2h) - 2f(x + h) + f(x)|.$$

Also the first-order modulus of smoothness (or simply modulus of continuity) is given by

$$\omega(f; \sqrt{\delta}) = \sup_{0 < h \leq \sqrt{\delta}} \sup_{x \in I} |f(x + h) - f(x)|.$$

Theorem 1 For $f \in C_B(I)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \omega\left(f, \frac{px + nx(\lambda + 1)\alpha}{n(1 - (\lambda + 1)\alpha)}\right) \\ &+ C \omega_2\left(f, \frac{\sqrt{\psi_{n,\lambda}^{(\alpha)}(x)}}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant and

$$\psi_{n,\lambda}^{(\alpha)}(x) = L_n^{(\alpha)}\left((t - x)^2; x\right) + \left\{\frac{px + nx(\lambda + 1)\alpha}{n(1 - (\lambda + 1)\alpha)}\right\}^2.$$

Proof First, we consider auxiliary operators

$$\hat{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) + f(x) - f\left(\frac{n + p}{n} \cdot \frac{x}{1 - (\lambda + 1)\alpha}\right). \quad (11)$$

In view of first and second formula in Lemma 2, we observed that for all $x \in I$, operators $\hat{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x)$ are linear such that

$$\hat{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(1; x) = 1 \text{ and } \hat{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(t; x) = x,$$

i. e., preserve linear functions. Therefore

$$\hat{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(t - x; x) = 0. \quad (12)$$

Let $g \in C_B^2(I)$ and $t, x \in I$ then Taylor's theorem implies

$$g(t) = g(x) + (t - x)g'(x) + \int_x^t (t - u)g''(u)du,$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(g; x) - g(x) &= g'(x)\hat{L}_n^{(\alpha)}((t - x); x) + \hat{L}_n^{(\alpha)}\left(\int_x^t (t - u)g''(u)du; x\right) \\ &= \hat{L}_n^{(\alpha)}\left(\int_x^t (t - u)g''(u)du; x\right) \\ &= L_n^{(\alpha)}\left(\int_x^t (t - u)g''(u)du; x\right) \\ &\quad - \int_x^{\frac{n+p}{n}\left(\frac{x}{1-(\lambda+1)\alpha}\right)} \left(\frac{n+p}{n} \frac{x}{1-(\lambda+1)\alpha} - u\right) g''(u)du \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(g; x) - g(x)| &\leq L_n^{(\alpha)}\left(\left|\int_x^t (t - u)g''(u)du\right|; x\right) \\ &+ \left|\int_x^{\frac{n+p}{n}\left(\frac{x}{1-(\lambda+1)\alpha}\right)} \left(\frac{n+p}{n} \frac{x}{1-(\lambda+1)\alpha} - u\right) g''(u)du\right|. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Since $\left|\int_x^t (t - u)g''(u)du\right| \leq (t - x)^2 \|g''\|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\int_x^{\frac{n+p}{n}\left(\frac{x}{1-(\lambda+1)\alpha}\right)} \left(\frac{n+p}{n} \frac{x}{1-(\lambda+1)\alpha} - u\right) g''(u)du\right| \\ \leq \left\{\frac{n+p}{n} \frac{x}{1-(\lambda+1)\alpha} - x\right\}^2 \|g''\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (13) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(g; x) - g(x)| &\leq \left[L_n^{(\alpha)}\left((t - x)^2; x\right) + \left\{\frac{n+p}{n} \left(\frac{x}{1-(\lambda+1)\alpha}\right) - x\right\}^2\right] \|g''\| \\ &\leq \left[L_n^{(\alpha)}\left((t - x)^2; x\right) + \left\{\frac{px + nx(\lambda + 1)\alpha}{n(1 - (\lambda + 1)\alpha)}\right\}^2\right] \|g''\|. \end{aligned}$$

Take $\psi_{n,\lambda}^{(\alpha)}(x) = \left[L_n^{(\alpha)}\left((t - x)^2; x\right) + \left\{\frac{px + nx(\lambda + 1)\alpha}{n(1 - (\lambda + 1)\alpha)}\right\}^2\right]$.

Therefore

$$|\hat{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(g; x) - g(x)| \leq \psi_{n,\lambda}^{(\alpha)}(x) \|g''\| \quad (14)$$

Again using definition of auxiliary operators and note Lemma 5, we have

$$|\hat{L}_n^{(\alpha)}((f - g); x)| \leq 3\|f - g\|$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq |\hat{L}_n^{(\alpha)}((f - g); x)| + |g(x) - f(x)| \\ &\quad + |\hat{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(g; x) - g(x)| \\ &\quad + \left| f\left(\frac{n+p}{n} \frac{x}{1 - (\lambda + 1)\alpha}\right) - f(x) \right| \\ &\leq 4\|f - g\| + \psi_{n,\lambda}^{(\alpha)}(x)\|g''\| + \\ &\quad \omega\left(f; \frac{px + nx(\lambda + 1)\alpha}{n(1 - (\lambda + 1)\alpha)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking infimum on both the sides over $g \in C_B^2(I)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq 4K_2 \left(f; \frac{\psi_{n,\lambda}^{(\alpha)}(x)}{4} \right) \\ &\quad + \omega\left(f; \frac{px + nx(\lambda + 1)\alpha}{n(1 - (\lambda + 1)\alpha)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq C\omega_2\left(f; \frac{\sqrt{\psi_{n,\lambda}^{(\alpha)}(x)}}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + \omega\left(f; \frac{px + nx(\lambda + 1)\alpha}{n(1 - (\lambda + 1)\alpha)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

We consider the following Lipschitz-type space (see Özarslan and Duman 2010)

$Lip_M^*(\beta) :=$

$$\left\{ f \in C_B(I) : |f(y) - f(x)| \leq M \frac{|y - x|^\beta}{(x + y)^{\beta/2}}; x, y \in (0, \infty) \right\},$$

where M is a positive constant and $0 < \beta \leq 1$.

Theorem 2 For all $x \in I$ and $f \in Lip_M^*(\beta)$, $0 < \beta \in (0, 1]$, we get

$$|L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M \left(\frac{\phi_n^{(\alpha)}(x)}{x} \right)^{\beta/2}, \quad (15)$$

where $\phi_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = L_n^{(\alpha)}((t - x)^2; x)$.

Proof Assume that $\beta = 1$. Then, for $f \in Lip_M^*(1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right| \\ &\leq M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \frac{\left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right|}{\left(\frac{k}{n} + x \right)^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for sum and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{k}{n} + x}} \leq$

$\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$ along with (3) as well as linearity of $L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{x}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \left\{ \left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{x}} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\quad \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n,k}^{(\alpha)} \left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{x}} \left\{ L_n^{(\alpha)}(1; x) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ L_n^{(\alpha)}((t - x)^2; x) \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= M \left\{ \frac{\phi_n^{(\alpha)}(x)}{x} \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the result is true for $\beta = 1$.

Now, we prove the required result for $0 < \beta < 1$.

Consider $f \in Lip_M^*(\beta)$

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right| \leq \\ &M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \frac{\left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right|^\beta}{\left(\frac{k}{n} + x \right)^{\beta/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Holder's inequality for sum with $p = 2/\beta$, $q = 2/(2 - \beta)$ and inequality $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{k}{n} + x}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \frac{M}{x^{\beta/2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \left\{ \left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right)^2 \right\}^{\beta/2} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{x^{\beta/2}} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right)^2 \right\}^{\beta/2} \\ &\quad \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \right\}^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}} \\ &\leq M \left\{ \frac{L_n^{(\alpha)}((t - x)^2; x)}{x} \right\}^{\beta/2} \\ &= M \left\{ \frac{\phi_n^{(\alpha)}(x)}{x} \right\}^{\beta/2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Acknowledgements The authors are extremely thankful to the referee for valuable suggestions that improved the presentation of this paper.

References

- Baskakov VA (1957) An example of a sequence of linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions. Dokl Akad Nauk SSSR 113:249–251 (in Russian)
- Bernstein SN (1912) Démonstration du théorème de Weierstrass fondée sur le calcul de probabilités. Commun Sco Math Charkov 13(2):1–2
- Deo N (2012) Faster rate of convergence on Srivastava–Gupta operators. Appl Math Comput 218(21):10486–10491
- Deo N, Dhamija M, Miclăuş D (2016) Stancu–Kantorovich operators based on inverse Pólya–Eggenberger distribution. Appl Math Comput 273:281–289
- DeVore RA, Lorentz GG (1993) Constructive approximation. Springer, Berlin
- Dhamija M, Deo N (2016) Jain–Durrmeyer operators associated with the inverse Pólya–Eggenberger distribution. Appl Math Comput 286:15–22
- Eggenberger F, Pólya G (1923) Über die Statistik verkehrter Vorgänge. Z Angew Math Mech 1:279–289
- Gonska HH, Pitul P, Raşa I (2006) On differences of positive linear operators. Carpathian J Math 22(1–2):65–78
- Gupta V, Agarwal RP (2014) Rate of convergence in simultaneous approximation. Springer, Switzerland
- Gupta V, Rassias TM (2014) Lupaş–Durrmeyer operators based on Pólya distribution. Banach J Math Anal 8(2):145–155
- Ispir N, Agrawal PN, Kajla A (2015) Rate of convergence of Lupaş–Kantorovich operators based on Pólya distribution. Appl Math Comput 261:323–329
- Jung HS, Deo N, Dhamija M (2014) Pointwise approximation by Bernstein type operators in mobile interval. Appl Math Comput 214(1):683–694
- Lupaş L, Lupaş A (1987) Polynomials of binomial type and approximation operators. Studia Univ Babes Bolyai Mathematica 32(4):61–69
- Miclăuş D (2014) On the monotonicity property for the sequence of Stancu type polynomials. Analele Ştiinţifice Ale Universităţii “Al.I.Cuza” Din Iaşi (S.N.)
- Miclăuş D (2012a) The revision of some results for Bernstein–Stancu type operators. Carpathian J Math 28(2):289–300
- Miclăuş D (2012b) The moments of Bernstein–Stancu operators revisited. Mathematica Tome 54(77)(1):78–83
- Miclăuş D (2012c) On the approximation order and Voronovskajas type theorem for certain linear positive operators. PhD thesis
- Özarslan MA, Duman O (2010) Local approximation behavior of modified SMK operators. Miskolc Math Notes 11(1):87–99
- Razi Q (1989) Approximation of a function by Kantorovich type operators. Mat Vesnic 41:183–192
- Schurer F (1962) Linear positive operators in approximation theory. Math. Inst. Techn. Univ, Delft report
- Stancu DD (1968) Approximation of functions by a new class of linear polynomial operators. Rev Roum Math Pures Appl 13:1173–1194
- Stancu DD (1970) Two classes of positive linear operators. Anal Univ Timişoara Ser Ştin Matem 8:213–220
- Stirling J (1730) Methodus Differentialis, sive Tractatus de Summation et Interpolation Srierum Infinitarium. English edition: trans: Holliday J (1749) The Differential Method: A Treatise of the Summation and Interpolation of Infinite Series