

## Simultaneous approximation on generalized Bernstein–Durrmeyer operators

Naokant Deo · Neha Bhardwaj · Suresh P. Singh

Received: 15 February 2011 / Accepted: 29 July 2011 / Published online: 16 August 2011  
© African Mathematical Union and Springer-Verlag 2011

**Abstract** In the present paper, we study some theorems on approximation of the  $r$ -th derivative of a given function  $f$  by corresponding  $r$ -th derivative of the generalized Bernstein operator.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000)** 41A28 · 41A36

**Keywords** Bernstein operator · Simultaneous approximation

### 1 Introduction

Very recently Deo et al. [4] introduced modified Bernstein operator  $B_n$  defined as:

$$(B_n f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$p_{n,k}(x) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \binom{n}{k} x^k \left(\frac{n}{n+1} - x\right)^{n-k} \quad \text{and} \quad x \in \left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right].$$

---

N. Deo (✉) · N. Bhardwaj  
Department of Applied Mathematics, Delhi Technological University  
(Formerly Delhi College of Engineering), Bawana Road,  
Delhi 110042, India  
e-mail: dr\_naokant\_deo@yahoo.com

N. Bhardwaj  
e-mail: neha\_bhr@yahoo.co.in

S. P. Singh  
Department of Mathematics, G. G. University, Bilaspur,  
CG 495009, India  
e-mail: drspsingh1@rediffmail.com

In this context Deo [1] has studied direct as well as converse results for the Beta operators and in [2,3] Deo has given Voronovskaya type results for exponential operators.

To approximate Lebesgue integrable functions on the interval  $[0, 1]$ , Durrmeyer [6] first proposed integrated Bernstein polynomial. Later Derriennic [5], Gupta [7], Gupta and Srivastava [8] and Heilmann [9] studied so called Bernstein–Durrmeyer operators in detail and established many interesting properties of these operators. We study the following Durrmeyer variant of the operator (1.1) as:

$$(M_n f)(x) = n \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\frac{n}{n+1}} p_{n,k}(t) f(t) dt, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $p_{n,k}(x)$  is defined in (1.1) above. In the operators (1.2), the interval of the definition of integrability of function has been contracted from class  $[0, 1]$  to  $[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}]$ .

In this paper we prove some theorems on the approximation of  $r$ -th derivative of a function  $f$  by the corresponding operators  $(M_n^{(r)})$ .

## 2 Auxiliary results

In this section, we shall mention some definitions and certain lemmas to prove our main theorems.

**Lemma 2.1** *If  $f$  is differentiable  $r$  times on  $[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}]$ , then we get*

$$(M_n^{(r)} f)(x) = \frac{(n+1)^{2(r+1)}}{n^{2r+1}} \frac{(n!)^2}{(n-r)!(n+r)!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-r} p_{n-r,k}(x) \int_0^{\frac{n}{n+1}} p_{n+r,k+r}(t) f^{(r)}(t) dt. \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof* We have by Leibnitz's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} (M_n^{(r)} f)(x) &= \frac{(n+1)^{n+2}}{n^{n+1}} \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{k=0}^{n-r+i} \binom{r}{i} \frac{(-1)^{r-i} n! x^{k-i}}{(k-i)!(n-k-r+i)!} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n+1} - x\right)^{n-k-r+i} \int_0^{\frac{n}{n+1}} p_{n,k}(t) f(t) dt \\ &= \frac{(n+1)^{r+2}}{n^{r+1}} \sum_{k=i}^{n-r+i} \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} \frac{(-1)^{r-i} n!}{(n-r)!} p_{n-r,k-i}(x) \int_0^{\frac{n}{n+1}} p_{n,k}(t) f(t) dt \\ &= \frac{(n+1)^{r+2}}{n^{r+1}} \frac{n!}{(n-r)!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-r} (-1)^r p_{n-r,k}(x) \int_0^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} (-1)^i p_{n,k+i}(t) f(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Again using Leibnitz's theorem

$$\frac{d^r}{dt^r} p_{n+r,k+r}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} (-1)^i \frac{(n+r)!}{n!} \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^r p_{n,k+i}(t)$$

$$\left(M_n^{(r)} f\right)(x) = \frac{(n+1)^{2(r+1)}}{n^{2r+1}} \frac{(n!)^2}{(n-r)!(n+r)!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-r} p_{n-r,k}(x) \int_0^{\frac{n}{n+1}} (-1)^r p_{n+r,k+r}^{(r)}(t) f(t) dt.$$

Further integrating by parts  $r$  times, we get the required result.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $r, m \in N^0$  (the set of non-negative integers),  $n \in N$  and  $x \in [0, \infty)$ . Let the  $m$ -th order moments are defined by if

$$\mu_{n,m}(x) = (n+r+1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-r} p_{n-r,k}(x) \int_0^{\frac{n}{n+1}} p_{n+r,k+r}(t)(t-x)^m dt,$$

then we get

$$\mu_{n,0}(x) = 1, \quad \mu_{n,1}(x) = \frac{(1+r)\{n-2x(n+1)\}}{(n+1)(n+r+2)}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\mu_{n,2}(x) = \frac{(r+1)(r+2)\{n-2x(n+1)\}^2}{(n+1)^2(n+r+2)(n+r+3)} + \frac{2x\{n-x(n+1)\}}{(n+r+2)(n+r+3)} \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$(m+n+r+2)\mu_{n,m+1}(x) = (1+m+r) \left(\frac{n}{n+1} - 2x\right) \mu_{n,m}(x)$$

$$+ 2mx \left(\frac{n}{n+1} - x\right) \mu_{n,m-1}(x) + x \left(\frac{n}{n+1} - x\right) \mu'_{n,m}(x). \quad (2.4)$$

Consequently,

- (i)  $\mu_{n,m}(x)$  is a polynomial in  $x$  of degree  $\leq m$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu_{n,m}(x) = O(n^{-[\frac{m+1}{2}]})$ , where  $[\alpha]$  denotes the integral part of  $\alpha$ .

*Proof* The values of  $\mu_{n,0}$  and  $\mu_{n,1}$  can easily follows from the definition. We prove the recurrence relation as follows:

$$\mu'_{n,m}(x) = (n+r+1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-r} p'_{n-r,k}(x) \int_0^{\frac{n}{n+1}} p_{n+r,k+r}(t)(t-x)^m dt - m\mu_{n,m-1}(x)$$

Using the following relation

$$x \left(\frac{n}{n+1} - x\right) p'_{n,k}(x) = n \left(\frac{k}{n+1} - x\right) p_{n,k}(x), \quad (2.5)$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& x \left( \frac{n}{n+1} - x \right) \{ \mu'_{n,m}(x) + m \mu_{n,m-1}(x) \} \\
&= (n+r+1) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-r} p_{n-r,k}(x) \int_0^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \left\{ \frac{nk}{n+1} - (n-r)x \right\} p_{n+r,k+r}(t)(t-x)^m dt \\
&= (n+r+1) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-r} p_{n-r,k}(x) \int_0^{\frac{n}{n+1}} t \left( \frac{n}{n+1} - t \right) p'_{n+r,k+r}(t)(t-x)^m dt \\
&\quad - r \left( \frac{n}{n+1} - 2x \right) \mu_{n,m}(x) + (n+r) \mu_{n,m+1}(x) \\
&= (n+r+1) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-r} p_{n-r,k}(x) \int_0^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \left[ -(t-x)^{m+2} + \left( \frac{n}{n+1} - 2x \right) (t-x)^{m+1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + x \left( \frac{n}{n+1} - x \right) (t-x)^m \right] p'_{n+r,k+r}(t) - r \left( \frac{n}{n+1} - 2x \right) \mu_{n,m}(x) + (n+r) \mu_{n,m+1}(x) \\
&= (m+2) \mu_{n,m+1}(x) - \left( \frac{n}{n+1} - 2x \right) (m+1) \mu_{n,m}(x) - mx \left( \frac{n}{n+1} - x \right) \mu_{n,m-1}(x) \\
&\quad - r \left( \frac{n}{n+1} - 2x \right) \mu_{n,m}(x) + (n+r) \mu_{n,m+1}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the recurrence relation. The values of  $\mu_{n,1}(x)$  and  $\mu_{n,2}(x)$  can be easily obtained from the above recurrence relation.  $\square$

### 3 Main results

In this section we shall prove the following main results.

**Theorem 3.1** *If  $f^{(r)}$  is a bounded and integrable in  $[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}]$  and admits  $(r+2)$ -th derivative at a point  $x \in [0, 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}]$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left[ \frac{n^{2r} (n-r)! (n+r+1)!}{(n+1)^{2r+1} (n!)^2} \left( M_n^{(r)} f \right) (x) - f^{(r)}(x) \right] \\
&= (1-2x)(1+r)f^{(r+1)}(x) + x(1-x)f^{(r+2)}(x). \tag{3.1}
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof* By Taylor's formula, we have

$$f^{(r)}(t) = f^{(r)}(x) + (t-x)f^{(r+1)}(x) + \frac{(t-x)^2}{2}f^{(r+2)}(x) + \frac{(t-x)^2}{2}\zeta(t-x), \tag{3.2}$$

where  $\zeta(u) \rightarrow 0$  as  $u \rightarrow 0$  and  $\zeta$  is a bounded and integrable function on  $[-x, 1-x]$ .

Now, using (3.2) and by Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{n^{2r}(n-r)!(n+r+1)!}{(n+1)^{2r+1}(n!)^2} \left( M_n^{(r)} f \right)(x) - f^{(r)}(x) \\ &= \left\{ \frac{(1+r)\{n-2x(n+1)\}}{(n+1)(n+r+2)} \right\} f^{(r+1)}(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{(r+1)(r+2)\{n-2x(n+1)\}^2}{(n+1)^2(n+r+2)(n+r+3)} + \frac{2x\{n-x(n+1)\}}{(n+r+2)(n+r+3)} \right\} f^{(r+2)}(x) + R_{n,r}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_{n,r}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-r} p_{n-r,k}(x) \int_0^{\frac{n}{n+1}} p_{n+r,k+r}(t)(t-x)^2 \zeta(t-x) dt.$$

Now we have to show that  $nR_{n,r} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $K = \sup_{u \in [-x, 1-x]} |\zeta(u)|$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|\zeta(u)| < \varepsilon$  when  $|u| \leq \delta$ . So for all  $t \in [0, 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}]$ , we have  $|\zeta(t-x)| < \varepsilon + K \frac{(t-x)^2}{\delta^2}$ . Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} |nR_{n,r}(x)| &< \frac{n\varepsilon}{2} M_n^{(r)}(t-x)^2(x) + \frac{Kn}{2\delta^2} M_n^{(r)}(t-x)^4(x) \\ &= \frac{n\varepsilon}{2} \left\{ \frac{(r+1)(r+2)\{n-2x(n+1)\}^2}{(n+1)^2(n+r+2)(n+r+3)} + \frac{2x\{n-x(n+1)\}}{(n+r+2)(n+r+3)} \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{K}{2\delta^2} O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, this implies that  $|nR_{n,r}(x)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get the required result from (3.2). This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** If  $f^{(r+1)} \in C[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}]$  and let  $\omega(f^{(r+1)}; \cdot)$  be the moduli of continuity of  $f^{(r+1)}$ . Then for  $n \geq r$ , ( $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), we have

$$\left\| M_n^{(r)} - f^{(r)} \right\| \leq \|f^{(r+1)}\| + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} \left\{ \sqrt{\lambda}r + \frac{\lambda r}{2} \right\} \omega\left(f^{(r+1)}; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \quad (3.3)$$

where the norm is sup-norm over  $[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}]$ , and  $\lambda r = 1 + \frac{r}{2}$ .

*Proof* Following [10] and by the Taylor formula

$$f^{(r)}(t) - f^{(r)}(x) = (t-x)f^{(r+1)}(x) + \int_x^t \left\{ (f^{(r+1)}(y) - f^{(r+1)}(x)) \right\} dy.$$

Now, applying (2.1) to the above and using the inequality

$$\left| f^{(r+1)}(y) - f^{(r+1)}(x) \right| \leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{|y-x|}{\delta} \right\} \omega(f^{(r+1)}; \delta),$$

and the results (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left( M_n^{(r)} f \right) (x) - f^{(r)}(x) \right| \\
& \leq \left| f^{(r+1)}(x) \right| \left| M_n^{(r)}(t-x)(x) \right| + \omega \left( f^{(r+1)}; \delta \right) M_n^{(r)} \left[ \int_x^t 1 + \frac{|y-x|}{\delta} dy \right] (x), \\
& \leq \left| f^{(r+1)}(x) \right| \left| M_n^{(r)}(t-x)(x) \right| + \omega \left( f^{(r+1)}; \delta \right) \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \sqrt{M_n^{(r)}(t-x)^2(x)} + \frac{1}{2\delta} M_n^{(r)}(t-x)^2(x) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  and using the result (2.3), we get the required result (3.3). This completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

1. Deo, N.: A note on equivalence theorem for Beta operators. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **4**(2), 245–250 (2007)
2. Deo, N.: Direct result on exponential-type operators. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **204**:109–115 (2008)
3. Deo, N.: Direct result on the Durrmeyer variant of Beta operators. *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **32**, 283–290 (2008)
4. Deo, N., Noor, M.A., Siddiqui, M.A.: On approximation by a class of new Bernstein type operators. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **201**, 604–612 (2008)
5. Derriennic, M.M.: Sur l'approximation des fonctions intégrables sur  $[0, 1]$  par des polynômes de Bernstein modifiés. *J. Approx. Theory* **31**, 325–343 (1981)
6. Durrmeyer, J.L.: Une formule d'inversion de la transformée de Laplace-applications à la théorie des moments, Thèse de 3e cycle, Faculté des Sciences de l' Université de Paris (1967)
7. Gupta, V.: A note on modified Bernstein polynomials. *Pure Appl. Math. Sci.* **44**(1–2), 604–612 (1996)
8. Gupta, V., Srivastava, G.S.: Approximation by Durrmeyer type operators. *Ann. Polon. Math. LXIV* **2**, 153–159 (1996)
9. Heilmann, M.: Direct and converse results for operators of Baskakov–Durrmeyer type. *Approx. Theory Appl.* **5**(1), 105–127 (1989)
10. Singh, S.P., Varshney, O.P.: A note on convergence of linear positive operators. *J. Approx. Theory* **39**, 51–55 (1983)