EFFECT OF BRICK INFILL IN RC FRAME BUILDING

A DISSERTATION
PRESENTED TO
THE FACULTY OF
DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING
DELHI TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY



IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT
OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
DEGREE OF
MASTER OF TECHNOLOGY
(STRUCTURAL ENGINNEERING)

By VIKAS KUMAR SINGH 12/STR/2K10

UNDER THE GUIDANCE OF

MR. ALOK VERMA ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis work entitled "EFFECT OF BRICK INFILL IN RC FRAMED BUILDINGS" being submitted by me, is a bonafide record of my own work carried by me under the guidance and supervision of Mr. Alok Verma, Associate Professor in partial fulfilment of requirements for the award of the Degree of Master of Technology (Structural Engineering) in Civil Engineering.

The matter embodied in this project has not been submitted for the award of any other degree.

VIKAS KUMAR SINGH 12/STR/2K10 MTECH (STRUCTURE)

This is to certify that the above statement made by the candidate is correct to the best of my knowledge.

ALOK VERMA
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL & ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING
DELHI TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY

DECLARATION

I Certify that

- a. The work contained in this thesis is original and has been done by me under the guidance of my supervisor.
- b. The work has not been submitted to any other Institute for any degree or diploma.
- c. I have followed the guidelines provided by the University in preparing the thesis.
- d. I have conformed to the norms and guidelines given in the Ethical Code of Conduct of the Institute.
- e. Whenever I have used materials (data, theoretical analysis, figures, and text) from other sources, I have given due credit to them by citing them in the text of the thesis and giving their details in the references.

VIKAS KUMAR SINGH 12/STR/2K10 MTECH (STRUCTURE)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to sincerely and wholeheartedly thank my guide Mr. Alok Verma for his close guidance, kindness, encouragements, patience, and supervision throughout various stages of the dissertation. Without his help and encouragement, this dissertation would not be possible.

I wish to convey my sincere gratitude to Prof. A.K. Gupta (former H.O.D) and Prof. A. Trivedi (H.O.D.), and all the faculties of Civil Engineering Department, Delhi Technological University who have enlightened me during my project.

Most importantly, I would like thank my family for their unconditional support, love, and affection. Their encouragement and endless love made everything easier to achieve.

VIKAS KUMAR SINGH 12/STR/2K10 MTECH (STRUCTURE)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	i
CERTIFICATE	ii
DECLARATION	iii
ACKNOLEDGEMENT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	V
TABLE OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLE	ix
ABSTRACT	X
Chapter 1 Introduction	
1.1 Introduction	
1.2 Motivation of study	3
1.3 Specific points of study	7
1.4 Organization of dissertation	8
Chapter 2 Objectives	9
Chapter 3 Literature review	10
3.1 Literature review	10
3.2 Codal provisions	
Chapter 4 Programme of study	17
4.1 Introduction	17
4.2 Input parameters	33
4.3 Earthquake lateral force analysis	35
4.4 Output parameters	38
4.5 Details of steps performed	40

Chapter 5 Results and discussions	41
Conclusions	62
Scope of future study	62
References	63
Appendix 1	64
Appendix 2	101
Appendix 3	140

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	PAGE
Figure – 1: reference of www.leightongeo.com/taiwan.htm	4
Figure – 2: reference of http://www.eas.slu.edu/Earthquake_Center/TURKEY	/5
Figure – 3: Change in the lateral load transfer mechanism owing to inclusion of	•
walls	6
Figure – 4: Equivalent Diagonal Strut Model	11
Figure – 5: Details of equivalent strut	17
Figure – 6: Model 8.1: Bare frame	
Figure – 8: Model 8.3: Masonry infill as surface element	
Figure – 9: Model 6.1: Bare frame	
Figure – 11: Model 6.3: Masonry infill as surface element	
Figure – 12: Model 3.1: Bare frame	
Figure – 13: Model 3.2: Masonry infill as diagonal strut	
Figure – 14: Model 3.3: Masonry infill as surface element	27
Figure – 15: Model 8.3: Masonry infill as surface element – Rendered View	30
Figure – 16: Model 8.3: Masonry infill as surface element – 3D View	30
Figure – 17: Model 8.3: Masonry infill as surface element – Plan View Figure – 18: Model 8.3: Masonry infill as surface element – Front View	31 31
Figure – 19: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of Various structure – 20: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of Various structure – 20: Comparison of Various structure – 20: Comparison of Various st	•
Figure – 21: Comparison of Fundamental Natural Time Period of various struc	ctural System41
Figure – 22: Comparison of Spectral acceleration & Design Seismic Co0effici structural System	
Figure – 23: Comparison of Spectral acceleration & Design Seismic Co0e	efficient of various
structural System	43

Figure – 24: Comparison of Spectral acceleration & Design Seismic Co0efficient of	various
structural System	44
Figure – 25: Comparison of Peak Storey Shear of various structural System	45
Figure – 26: Comparison of Peak Storey Shear of various structural System	46
Figure – 27: Comparison of Peak Storey Shear of various structural System	47
Figure – 28: Comparison of Storey Displacement of various structural System	49
Figure – 29: Comparison of Storey Displacement of various structural System	50
Figure – 30: Comparison of Storey Displacement of various structural System	51
Figure – 31: Corner, Peripheral & Centre Column & its Connecting Beam	52
Figure – 32: Designed Columns & Beam	53

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	PAGE
Table 1: Structural data	32
Table 2: Earthquake data	32
Table 3: Dead Load	32
Table 4: Live Load	33
	eriod (sec.) of Various Structural systems
Table 7: Fundamental Natural Time p	eriod (sec.) of Various Structural systems41
Table 8: Spectral acceleration & Design	gn Seismic Co-efficient 8 th storey buildings for Mode 142
Table 9: Spectral acceleration & Design	gn Seismic Co-efficient 6 th storey buildings
for Mode 1	43
Table 10: Spectral acceleration & Des	sign Seismic Co-efficient 3 rd storey buildings for Mode 1.44
Table 11: Peak Storey Shear of 8th sto	rey buildings45
Table 12: Peak Storey Shear of 6 th sto	rey buildings46
•	rey buildings
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ldings 48 ldings 48
Table 17: Storey Displacement of 8 th s	storey buildings49
	storey buildings
Table 20: Parameters considered for d	esign52
Table 21: Reinforcement required in c	corner column 3 Storey building53
Table 22: Reinforcement required in I	Peripheral column 3 Storey building54
Table 23: Reinforcement required in I	nternal Column 3 Storey building54

Table 24: Reinforcement required in Corner Column 6 Storey building	54
Table 25: Reinforcement required in Peripheral Column 6 Storey building	
Table 26: Reinforcement required in Internal Column 6 Storey building	
Table 27: Reinforcement required in Corner Column 8 Storey building	56
Table 28: Reinforcement required in Peripheral Column 8 Storey building	56
Table 29: Reinforcement required in Internal Column 8 Storey building	57
Table 30: Peripheral Beam: Model 3.1 - Bare Frame Model	58
Table 31: Peripheral Beam: Model 3.2 - Masonry infill as Diagonal Strut	58
Table 32: Peripheral Beam: Model 3.3 - Masonry infill as surface element	58
Table 33: Internal Beam: Model 3.1 - Bare Frame Model	58
Table 34: Internal Beam: Model 3.2 - Masonry infill as Diagonal Strut	59
Table 35: Internal Beam: Model 3.3 - Masonry infill as surface element	59
Table 36: Peripheral Beam: Model 6.1 - Bare Frame Model	59
Table 37: Peripheral Beam: Model 6.2 - Masonry infill as Diagonal Strut	59
Table 38: Peripheral Beam: Model 6.3 - Masonry infill as surface element	59
Table 39: Internal Beam: Model 6.1 - Bare Frame Model	60
Table 40: Internal Beam: Model 6.2 - Masonry infill as Diagonal Strut	60
Table 41: Internal Beam: Model 6.3 - Masonry infill as surface element	60
Table 42: Peripheral Beam: Model 8.1 - Bare Frame Model	60
Table 43: Peripheral Beam: Model 8.2 - Masonry infill as Diagonal Strut	60
Table 44: Peripheral Beam: Model 8.3 - Masonry infill as surface element	61
Table 45: Internal Beam: Model 8.1 - Bare Frame Model	61
Table 46: Internal Beam: Model 8.2 - Masonry infill as Diagonal Strut	61
Table 47: Internal Beam: Model 8.3 - Masonry infill as surface element	61

ABSTRACT

Nine RC framed building with brick masonry infill were designed for same seismic hazard in accordance with IS code taking in to consideration of effect of Masonry.

Generally these buildings are analysed as RC framed structures with regards to structural action of masonry infill walls present and investigation has been made to study the behaviour of RC frames with various arrangement of infill when subjected to dynamic earthquake (dynamic) loading. The result of bare frame and frame with infill effect are compared and conclusion are made in view of *IS* -1893(2002) code.

Based on the present study, it can be concluded that It the performance of fully masonry infill panels was significantly superior to that of bare frame. Brick infill walls present in RC frame building reduce the structural drift but increases strength and stiffness. Therefore it is essential for the structural systems selected, to be thoroughly investigated and well understood by Engineers.

.