

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I take this opportunity to express my profound gratitude and deep regards to my guide **Dr. Kapil Sharma**, Associate Professor, Department of Computer Science & Engineering, for his exemplary guidance, monitoring and constant encouragement throughout the course of this project report. The blessing, help and guidance given by him time to time shall carry me a long way in the journey of life on which I am about to embark.

I also take this opportunity to express a deep sense of gratitude to **Prof. OP Verma**, Head of the Department and Dr. (Smt) Daya Gupta, Professor, Department of Computer Science & Engineering, for providing me an opportunity to complete Master of Technology as full time course.

I am thankful, from the bottom of my heart, to Shri M. Rajakannu, Director General, DGAQA, Ministry of Defence, New Delhi and the Director, ORDAQA, DGAQA, HAL Post, Hyderabad, who spared and sponsored me for pursuing the master degree from the esteemed institute.

I am obliged to my colleagues Mr. Kuldeep Singh Kaswan, Mr. Ashish Tripathi, Mrs Sangeeta Malik (Ph. D. Scholar) and classmates of M Tech (Software Engineering), for the valuable information provided by them which was quite useful in completing and preparing the project. I am grateful for their cooperation during the period of my assignment.

Last but not least, I thank almighty, my parents and family members specially my wife **Mrs. Neha Sikarwar**, who helped me on each step of the life and for their constant encouragement without which this assignment would not be possible.

Date: Jun 2015

(Indrajeet Singh Sikarwar)

Roll No: 2K13/SWE/05

ABSTRACT

The software is becoming an integral part of the human life and the dependency over software is increasing exponentially with the advancement of technology. The usage of software is inevitable to many aerospace, medical, industrial, military, and even commercial systems. Hence, there is a need of reliable software system that will be operational without failure, for the specified period of time, in given environmental conditions. Reliability is one of the important aspects of the software quality. The fault exists in the software causes failure to the system and hence reduces the reliability. The proposed model is a variant of Jelinski-Moranda software reliability growth model. This model assumes that faults in the software may be dependent on each other. Whenever a software failure detected, the concerned fault is removed immediately with probability p and no new error(s) are introduced. It is further assumed that the fault removal /correction process may correct the part of remaining failures too.

This model is more realistic than Jelinski-Moranda model and provides the better reliability estimation of the software system.

INDEX

1. Introduction	1
1.1 Software Quality.....	1
1.2 Software Reliability.....	2
1.3 Application of Reliability Measurement.....	2
1.4 Why reliability is difficult to measure.....	3
1.5 Reliability Metrics.....	4
1.6 Reliability Growth Models	5
1.7 Parameter Estimation Techniques.....	7
1.8 Research Objective.....	7
1.9 Outlines.....	8
2. Literature Review.....	9
2.1 Requirement of Classification.....	9
2.2 Software Reliability Models Classification	9
2.3 Model Selection Criteria.....	13
2.4 Failure Datasets	16
3. Software Reliability Growth Models.....	18
3.1 A New Classification of Models	19
3.2 Failure Rate Models	21
3.2.1 Perfect Debugging Models.....	21
3.2.1.1 Jelinski-Moranda Model	22
3.2.1.2 Schick-Wolverton Model	24
3.2.1.3 Modified Schick-Wolverton Model	25
3.2.1.4 Lipow Modified Jelinski –Moranda Model	25
3.2.1.5 Joe and Reid Model	26
3.2.1.6 Decreasing Failure Intensity Model	26
3.2.1.7 Shanti-Kumar General Markov Model	26
3.2.1.8 Variable Fault Exposure Coefficient Model	26
3.2.1.9 Jelinski-Moranda Geometric Model	27
3.2.1.10 Moranda Geometric Poisson Model	27
3.2.1.11 Littlewood-Verrall Bayesian Model	27
3.2.1.12 Negative-binomial Poisson Model.....	28
3.2.1.13 Littlewood’s Stochastic Reliability-Growth Model.....	28
3.2.1.14 A Bayesian modification to the Jelinski-Moranda SRGM.....	28
3.2.1.15 Quantum Modification to the JM Model	29
3.2.1.16 Optimal Software Release Based on Markovian SRM.....	29
3.2.2 Imperfect Debugging Models	29
3.2.2.1 Goel-Okumoto Imperfect Debugging Model	30

3.2.2.2	Mahapatra's Modified JM Model	30
3.2.3	Summary of Evolution of Failure Rate Growth Models.....	30
3.3	Failure Count Models	34
4.3.1	Perfect Debugging	34
3.3.1.1	Goel-Okumoto Model	34
3.3.1.2	Generalised Goel-Okumoto Model	35
3.3.1.3	Musa Exponential Growth Model	35
3.3.1.4	Musa Logarithmic Poisson Execution Time Model	36
3.3.1.5	Hyper Exponential Growth Model	36
3.3.1.6	Yamada-Osaki Exponential Growth Model	36
3.3.1.7	Delayed S-shaped Model	37
3.3.1.8	Connective NHPP Model.....	37
3.3.2	Imperfect Debugging Models.....	37
3.3.2.1	Yamada Imperfect Debugging Model.....	37
3.3.2.2	NHPP Imperfect Debugging S-shaped models.....	37
3.3.2.3	Pham-Nordman-Zhang Model.....	38
3.3.2.4	Pham-Exponential Imperfect Debugging Model.....	38
4.	Proposed Model for Software Reliability.....	39
4.1	Assumptions	40
4.2	Derivation	41
4.3	Parameter Estimation.....	42
4.4	Tool.....	44
5.	Results and Discussion.....	45
5.1	Failure Dataset.....	45
5.2	Results.....	46
5.3	Comparison.....	47
6.	Conclusion & Future Scope.....	50
6.1	Conclusion.....	50
6.2	Future Work.....	50
	References.....	51

List of Tables

2.1	Classification of Software Reliability Models.....	12
2.2	Data recording for the time-domain approach.....	16
2.3	Data recording for the interval-domain approach.....	17
3.1	Summary of failure rate software reliability models.....	31
5.1	The Real-Time Control System Data.....	45

List of Figures

1.1	Software failure rate.....	2
1.2	A relation between MTBF, MTTD and MTTR.....	4
1.3	Steps of reliability measurement.....	6
3.1.	Categories of SRGMs.....	19
3.2	Evolutions of Failure Rate Growth Models.....	31
5.1	Failure Number Vs Failure Rate, PDF and Reliability.....	47
5.2	Failure Number Vs Mean Time to Failure.....	47
5.3	Comparison of Failure Rate.....	48
5.4	Comparison between Cumulative Time Vs Failure Rate.....	48
5.5	Comparison of Reliability.....	49