

BONAFIDE CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the project report entitled “**Comparative Study of Gamma Hydrograph and SUH Methods for Flood Estimation in Ungauged Catchments**” is a record of the bonafide dissertation work carried out by me, ABHAY SHARMA, towards the partial fulfilment of requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Technology in Hydraulics & Flood Control Engineering.

Also, I do hereby state that I have not submitted the matter embodied in this thesis in any other University/Institute for the award of any degree as per my knowledge and belief.

ABHAY SHARMA

M. Tech (Hydraulics & Flood Control)

Roll No: 2K12/HFE/01

Delhi Technological University, Delhi

This is to certify that the above made statements made by the candidate are correct to the best of my knowledge.

MR. S. ANBU KUMAR

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department of Civil Engineering

Delhi Technological University, Delhi

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I feel privileged in extending my earnest obligation, deep sense of gratitude, appreciation and honor to my advisor, S. Anbu Kumar, Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Delhi Technological University, Delhi, whose benevolent guidance, apt suggestions, unstinted help and constructive criticism have inspired me in successful completion of making of this dissertation report.

I choose this opportunity to express my earnest thanks and indebtedness towards the faculties of the department of Civil Engineering, DTU, Delhi for their support and imparting knowledge with great love and care. I express my sincere gratitude to Dr. A. Trivedi, Professor and Head of the Department of Civil Engineering, DTU-Delhi for the facilities extended. A special thanks to Prof. R.K Arya, Professor of Civil Engineering Department, DTU-Delhi for clarifying my doubts and providing suggestion during the early phase of my work.

Special thanks to Mr. Anugrah Singh for providing me valuable suggestions during the preparation of this thesis. I want to express my gratitude to non-teaching staff of the department. My sincere thanks to all my friends for their sincere suggestions and help during the work.

Special and sincerest thanks to my mother Kumkum Sharma, father Brij Bhushan Sharma, my brother Aman Sharma for their persistent support, encouragement and prayers throughout the duration of my study at Civil Engineering Department, Delhi Technological University , Delhi.

Ultimately I would like to thank Almighty God for giving me the chance to study in this prestigious institute.

Date: July ,2014

Abhay Sharma

Place: Delhi

Roll No. 2K12/HFE/01

ABSTRACT

Flood estimation is very important for efficient design and safe construction of hydraulic structures. Inaccurate estimations may lead to under design or over design of structure thus causing heavy damage to life and property or excessive cost of the structure. In gauged catchments, frequency analysis of flood peaks is used to calculate a required return period flood as adequate flood data are available in such regions. In ungauged catchments, regional approach is adopted for flood estimation due to inadequate flood data. In synthetic hydrograph method, UH parameters are correlated with geomorphologic parameters rather than available rainfall-runoff data for reliable flood estimation. UH parameters are calculated and a curve is fitted through these points by trial method (maintaining area under curve) which is a very complex and time consuming method. Use of hit and trial method can be avoided if Probability Density Functions such as Gamma, Chi –Square, Beta etc are used. These functions have the advantage that area under curve is unity and shape is known. Gamma Distribution Function (GDF) has been used in the present study for calculation of UH parameters and the required return period flood of Chambal Subzone 1(b). Results indicate that UH parameters calculated using GDF is in close agreement with the data provided for the subzone and the UH parameters are highly correlated as compared to SUH methods. Peaks of 50 year return period Flood Hydrograph calculated using both SUH and GDF methods have very high correlation coefficient between peak discharge and geomorphologic variables (A , L , S , and R_f).

Unit Hydrograph parameters and derived geomorphologic parameters for 26 subzones all over India have been studied. It is found that geomorphological parameters used by CWC for various subzones are better correlated with UH parameters than other proposed geomorphologic parameters ($L/S^{0.5}$, L^2/AS etc). For more accurate studies in a region, multiple correlation method or various probability distribution functions may be studied to find the most accurate method applicable for the region. Variation of UH parameters with Area(A), Length(L) and Slope(S) for various subzones is studied to find the appropriate geomorphologic parameters affecting the behavior of hydrograph parameters in ungauged sites.. Results of peak discharge as a function of A or A/L or A/SL indicate that for small ungauged sites, Q_p may roughly be estimated as a non linear function of area independently, in absence of other geomorphologic data. Geomorphologic study of the region may be carried out in future for better understanding of the area using Arc-GIS.

Contents

CERTIFICATE.....	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	II
ABSTRACT.....	III
LIST OF FIGURES	VII
LIST OF TABLES.....	VIII
GLOSSARY	IX
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 GENERAL.....	2
1.2 SYNTHETIC UNIT HYDROGRAPH	3
1.3 GAMMA HYDROGRAPH	4
1.4 OBJECTIVES	5
CHAPTER 2	6
LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 SYNTHETIC HYDROGRAPH.....	7
2.2 GAMMA HYDROGRAPH	8
2.3 FLOOD ESTIMATION.....	10
2.4 HOMOGENEITY	11
CHAPTER 3	13
ALL INDIA VARIATION OF IMPORTANT FLOOD PARAMETERS	13
3.1 CWC’S EQUATIONS FOR TIME TO PEAK (T_p) AND PEAK DISCHARGE PER UNIT AREA (q_p) IN TERMS OF GEOLOGICAL PARAMETERS	15
3.2 TIME TO PEAK (T_p) AND PEAK DISCHARGE PER UNIT AREA (q_p) IN TERMS OF GEOLOGICAL PARAMETER, LS :	16
3.3 ALL INDIA VARIATION OF PEAK DISCHARGE(Q_p), PEAK/ AREA, PEAK TIME AND TIME TO BASE WITH LENGTH, L_c , SLOPE AND AREA:-.....	19
3.4 VARIATION OF FLOOD PARAMETERS WITH DERIVED DIMENSIONLESS PARAMETERS	25
3.5 FRACTAL NATURE OF WATERSHED	25
3.6 CONCLUSIONS.....	26
CHAPTER-4	28
STUDY AREA AND HOMOGENEITY	28
4.1 LIST OF VARIOUS SUBZONES IN INDIA	29
4.2 CHAMBAL SUBZONE 1(b)	30
4.2.1 Topography	32

4.2.2 Climatology.....	32
4.2.3 Data Available	33
4.3 HOMOGENEITY OF THE REGION	35
4.3.1 Results.....	38
4.3.2 Conclusion	38
CHAPTER 5	39
SYNTHETIC UNIT HYDROGRAPH	39
5.1 NEED OF REGIONAL HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL APPROACH	40
5.2 DATA REQUIRED	40
5.3 DEVELOPMENT OF 1-HOUR S.U.H.	41
5.3.1 Physiographic parameters of the catchment.....	41
5.3.2 Unit hydrograph Parameters of the catchment	42
5.4 Snyder’s Method.....	44
5.5 SYNTHETIC RELATION DEVELOPED BY CWC FOR SUBZONE 1(b).....	45
5.6 EQUATIONS DERIVED USING SPSS	45
5.7 MULTIPLE NON LINEAR REGRESSION STATISTICAL MODEL FOR SYNTHETIC UNIT HYDROGRAPH	49
5.7.1 Multiple Non Linear Regressions For Subzone 1(b)	50
5.8 COMPARISON OF MULTIPLE REGRESSION METHOD &CWC’S SUH METHOD	52
CHAPTER-6	53
GAMMA HYDROGRAPH	53
6.1 INTRODUCTION	54
6.2 GAMMA DISTRIBUTION	54
6.3 METHOD OF DEVELOPING GAMMA HYDROGRAPH.....	55
6.4 COMPARISON OF SUH METHOD (Clark’s and Espey method) AND GAMMA HYDROGRAPH METHOD.....	57
6.5 DERIVATION OF GAMMA UNIT HYDROGRAPH.....	59
6.6 STEP BY STEP PROCEDURE FOR CALCULATION OF VARIOUS PARAMETERS OF GAMMA HYDROGRAPH	59
CHAPTER 7	62
COMAPRISON OF VARIOUS METHODS FOR DEVEOPING UNIT HYDROGRAPH	62
7.1 REPRESENTATIVE UNIT HYDROGRAPH (DATA PROVIDED IN CWC REPORT). 63	
7.2 CENTRAL WATER COMMISSION’S SYNTHETIC UNIT HYDROGRAPH.....	63
7.3 MULTIPLE NON-LINEAR REGRESSION MODELING CONSIDERING ALL 18 SUBZONES.....	64
7.4 GAMMA UNIT HYDROGRAPH USING GAMMA DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION.....	64
7.5 CALCULATION OF UH PARAMETERS FOR SITE 519.....	65

7.6 CONCLUSIONS.....	68
CHAPTER - 8.....	69
FLOODS	69
8.1 INTRODUCTION	70
8.2 FLOOD ESTIMATION FOR CHAMBAL RIVER(SITE 519) USING GAMMA HYDROGRAPH.....	73
8.1.1 OBTAINING 1-Hour UNIT HYDROGRAPH.....	73
8.2.2 Storm Duration (Td).....	73
8.2.3 Reduction Factors	74
8.2.4 24 Hour Rainfall having Return Period 50-Year	74
8.2.5 Point Rainfall	74
8.2.6 Calculation of Flood Peak For 50-Year Return Flood	74
8.2.7 Calculation Of Co-Ordinates Of 50 Year Return Flood	75
8.3 RESULTS 1. q_p AND T_p FOR 1-HOUR UH DEVELOPED BY SUH(CWC) METHOD AND GAMMA HYDROGRAPH METHOD	77
2. Q_p FOR 50 YEAR RETURN FLOOD BY SUH & GAMMA HYDROGRAPH METHOD	79
8.4CONCLUSIONS.....	79
REFERENCES	81

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1: T_p vs A, L & S	23
Figure 3.2: T_b vs A, L & S	23
Figure 3.3: q_p vs A,L, & S	24
Figure 3.4: Q_p vs A, L & S.....	24
Figure 4.1: Location of Chambal Subzone 1(b) in India	31
Figure 5.1: Relations between UH parameters similar to CWC	48
Figure 6.1: Variation of q/q_p with β (source: Bhunya et al. 2003. simplified two parameter gamma distribution for derivation of synthetic uni hydrograph. <i>Journal of Hydrologic Engineering</i>)	57
Figure 6.2 Flowchart for Developing Gamma Hydrograph.....	61
Figure 7.1: Comparison of various SUH methods and Gamma Hydrograph for site 519	67
Figure 8.1: 50 – year Return Flood- Flood Hydrograph for (site 519) Chambal River.....	76
Figure 8.2 : q_p vs T_p as per CWC's S.U.H Method	78
Figure 8.3: q_p vs T_p as per Gamma Hydrograph	78

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: T_p and q_p in terms of L , L_c , Area (A) and Slope (S)	15
Table 3.2: T_p and q_p in terms of LS	16
Table 3.3: T_p vs Area, Length, L_c & Slope.....	20
Table 3.4: T_b vs Area, Length, L_c & Slope	21
Table 3.5: q_p vs Area, Length, L_c & Slope	22
Table 3.6: Fractal Nature of Watersheds.....	25
Table 4.1: Drainage areas of the sub basins of subzone 1(b)	30
Table 4.2: Data for the subzone 1(b) as per flood estimation report of CWC	34
Table 4.3: Parameters of Homogeneity.....	37
Table 6.1: Error in calculation of “ n ”.....	56
Table 7.1: Representative Unit Hydrograph	65
Table 7.2: Synthetic UH by CWC method.....	65
Table 7.3: Multiple Non Linear Regression.....	65
Table 7.4: Gamma Hydrograph Method	66
Table 8.1: 1- Hour Unit Hydrograph.....	73
Table 8.2: Peak of 50- Year Return Flood	74
Table 8.3: Ordinates of Flood Hydrograph	74
Table 8.4: Comparison of UH results for SUH and GUH method for Subzone 1(b).....	77
Table 8.5: Q_p for 50 year return flood by GUH & SUH METHOD used by CWC, India.....	79

GLOSSARY

A	Area of catchment
A.N.N	Artificial Neutral Network
A.R.F	Areal Reduction Factor
C.W.C	Central Water Commission
D.R.F	Duration Reduction Factor
F_f	Form Factor
IUH	Instantaneous Unit Hydrograph
k & n	Shape & Scale parameters of Gamma Hydrograph
L	Length of the catchment
L_c	Distance of the outlet from the nearest gauging site
P.D.F	Probability Distribution Functions
P.R	Point Rainfall
Q_p	Peak Discharge
q_p	Peak Discharge Per Unit Area
qp	Peak Discharge Per Unit Area/Effective Rainfall
r	Coefficient of correlation
R_c	Circulatory Ratio
R_e	Elongation Ratio
S	Slope of the Catchment
SUH	Synthetic Unit Hydrograph
T_c	Time of concentration
T_d	Storm Duration
T_p	Time to Peak Discharge
T_r	Unit Rainfall Duration in hours
UH	Unit Hydrograph
V	Volume
W.C	Width of the catchment

W_{50}	Unit Hydrograph Width at 50% Q_p
W_{75}	Unit Hydrograph Width at 75% Q_p
WR_{50}	Time between T_p and 50% Q_p on accession curve
WR_{75}	Time between T_p and 75% Q_p on accession curve
α	Dimensionless constant in gamma distribution function
β	Dimensionless constant as product of qp & T_p

