A Dissertation

On

INTERACTION BETWEEN PILE AND RAFT IN PILED RAFT FOUNDATION

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for

The award of Degree of

MASTER OF TECHNOLOGY

(Geotechnical Engineering)

SUBMITTED BY

HIMANSHU MANDIA

College Roll no: 2k12/GTE/07

Under the guidance of

DR. AMIT KUMAR SHRIVASTAVA

(ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR)

Department of Civil Engineering

Delhi Technological University



DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
DELHI TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY
2012-2014

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis work entitled "INTERACTION BETWEEN PILE AND RAFT IN PILED RAFT FOUNDATION", being submitted by me, is a bonafide record of my own work carried by me under the guidance and supervision of Asso. Prof. Dr.A.K. Shrivastava in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the Degree of Master of Engineering in Geotechnical Engineering in Civil Engineering.

The matter embodied in this project has not been submitted for the award of any other degree

HIMANSHU MANDIA 2K12/GTE/07

M.Tech (Geotechnical Engineering)

This is to certify that the above statement made by the candidate is correct to the best of my knowledge

DR. AMIT KUMAR SHRIVASTAVA
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
DELHI TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to all those who have been instrumental in

the successful completion of this project.

Dr. Amit Kumar Shrivastva, Associate Professor, Dept. Of Civil Engineering, Delhi

Technological University, my project guide, has guided me for the successful completion of this

project. It is worth mentioning that he always provided the necessary guidance and support. I

sincerely thank him for his wholehearted guidance.

I would like to express my sincere thanks to our M.Tech coordinator, Dr. A. K Sahu, Associate

Professor, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Delhi Technological University.

I am grateful for the help and cooperation of HOD, Prof. A. Trivedi, Head Department of

Civil Engineering, Delhi Technological University, New Delhi, for providing the necessary lab

facilities. And I wish to thank all faculty members whoever helped to finish my project in all

aspects.

I would like to thank my beloved parents, who always give me strong inspirations, moral

supports, and helpful suggestions. Without them, my study career would never have begun. It is

only because of them, my life has always been full of abundant blessing.

I would like to thank **Indian Institute of Technology**, **Delhi** for providing me lab facilities for

my project work.

At last but not least I would like to express my vote of thanks to my friends for their support and

encouragement.

Himanshu Mandia

M. Tech (Geotechnical Engineering)

2K12/GTE/07

iii

ABSTRACT

For a given geotechnical condition, when the raft foundation is not able to meet load requirement, in that case it is possible to enhance the performance of the raft by the adding piles beneath the raft. Strategically located number of piles may improve both the ultimate load capacity and the settlement of the raft. In recent years, combined piled raft foundation has been proved effective and appropriate method instead of conventional pile or raft foundation.

In present work, Bearing capacity and settlement of raft and pile group have been calculated from analytically and same is simulated by finite element based software PLAXIS 2D. Based on this simulation between analytically and numerical methods, piled raft foundation is analyzed in Geo 5 And Settlement behavior of piled raft is studied by varying different parameters of soil, raft and pile.

After carrying out analysis of piled-raft foundation in sandy soil, using Geo 5 2D, it was observed that settlement reduces as the pile length and number of pile group increases. The settlement of the pile group increases as spacing ratio(s/d) increases up to certain spacing.. Settlement decreases as number of piles, pile length and modulus of elasticity of soil increases.

Comparison of results obtained from analyzing piled raft foundation from Geo 5 and PLAXIS wa done. After comparison it was found that results obtained from PLAXIS are comparatively more near to actual results. As in some cases Geo 5 is giving large amount of variation in settlement. Variation in pile length and pile diameter effects very much to the settlement of piled raft foundation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
ABSTRACT	
CONTENTLIST OF TABLES	
LIST OF FIGURES	
UNIT 1- INTRODUCTION.	
1.1 GENERAL	
1.2 NUMERICAL MODELING.	3
1.2.1 NUMERICAL MODELING METHODS	3
1.2.2 FINITE ELEMENT METHOD	3
1.2.3 FINITE DIFFERENCE METHOD	3
1.2.4 DISCRETE ELEMENT METHOD.	4
1.2.5 BOUNDARY ELEMENT METHOD	4
1.3 SCOPE OF STUDY	4
1.4 OBJECTIVE OF STUDY	4
UNIT 2- LITERATURE REVEW	6
2.1IDEOLOGY OF DESIGNING PILED RAFT FOUNDATIO	7
2.2 PROBLEMS IN DESIGNING PILED RAFT FOUNDATI	9
2.3 FACTORS INFLUENCING THE PERFORMANCE OF PILED RAFT	9
2.4 DIFFERENT METHODS ANALYSING PILED RAFT FOUNDATION	10
2.5 ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS OF PILED RAFT FOUNDATION	11
UINT 3- STUDY OF RAFT AND PILE GROUP FOUNDATION	15
3.1 GENERAL	16
3.2 ALLOWABLE SOIL PRESSURE FOR COHESSIONLESS SOIL	16
3.2.1 BEARING CAPACITY BASE OF SHEAR CRITERIA	17
3.2.2 BEARING CAPACITY BASE ON SETTLEMENT CRITERIA	17
3.3 CORRECTION FACTORS FOR WATER TABLE, DEPTH AND RIGIDITY	AND ON TOTAL
SETTLEMENT	18
3.4 COMPUTATION FOR RAFT FOUNDATION	20
3.4.1 BEARING CAPACITY OF RAFT USING SHEAR CRITERIA	20
3.4.2 BEARING CAPACITY USING SETTLEMENT CRITERIA	22.

3.5 COMPUTATION FOR NUMBER OF FLOORS IN CASE OF RAFT FOUNDATION	23
3.6 NUMERICAL MODELING FOR RAFT FOUNDATION	23
3.6.1 METHODOLOGY OF NUMERICAL MODELING.	24
3.6.2 GEO 5 ANALYSIS	24
3.6.3 PILED FOUNDATION/ GROUP	31
UNIT 4- STUDY OF PILED RAFT FOUNDATION	36
4.1 PROPERTIES OF A SOIL USED IN ANALYSIS	37
4.2 PROPERTIES OF CONCRETE USE IN PILES AND RAFT FOR ANALYSIS	37
4.3 ARRANGEMENT OF PILE AND RAFT	38
4.4 ANALYSIS OUTPUT	38
4.5COMPUTATION FOR NUMBER OF FLOORS	44
UNIT 5- COMPARISON WITH RESULTS	49
5.1 VARIATION OF MODULUS OF ELASTICITY WITH DIFFERENT PILE LENGTH	50
5.2 VARIATION OF PILE LENGTH WITH DIFFERENT PILE GROUP	52
5.3 VARIATION OF PILE LENGTH WITH RAFT THICKNESS 1m	54
UNIT 6- RESULT'S DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	55
6.1DISCUSSION	56
6.1.1 INFLUENCE OF PILE LENGTH (L)	56
6.1.2 INFLUENCE OF SPACING RATIO (S/D).	56
6.1.3 INFLUENCE OF PILE DIAMETER.	56
6.1.4INFLUENCE OF RAFT THICKNESS	57
6.1.5 INFLUENCE OF NUMBER OF PILES.	57
6.1.6 INFLUENCE OF MODULUS OF ELASTICITY	57
6.2 CONSLUSION	57
6.3 SCOPE OF FUTURE STUDY	58
REFERENCES	50

LIST OF TABLES

Sl. No.	Table No.	Title	Page No.
1	3.1	Soil Properties	25
2	3.2	Properties of raft material	26
3	3.3	Empirical relations given by different researchers	27
4	3.4	Settlement Vs Modulus of Elasticity of Soil	28
5	3.5	Properties of concrete piles and pile cap	33
6	3.6	Properties of soil for pile group	34
7	4.1	Properties of Soil Strata	37
8	4.2	Properties of concrete	36
9	4.3	Settlement of piled raft for different pile length with stress 400KN/m ²	40
10	4.4	Settlement of piled raft for different applied load values with $E_S = 50000 \; KN/m^2$	43
11	4.5	Settlement of piled raft for different spacing ratio with pile diameter 1m	45
12	4.6	Settlement of piled raft for different pile group with raft thickness 1m	46
13	4.7	Settlement of piled raft for different raft thickness with pile diameter 1m	47
14	4.8	Settlement of piled raft for different pile diameter with pile length 20m	48
15	51	Settlement of piled raft for different pile length with stress 400KN/m ²	50
16	5.4	Settlement of piled raft for different pile group with raft thickness 1m	52

LIST OF FIGURES

Sl. No.	Table No.	Title	Page No.
1	1.1	Concept of Piled Raft	2
2	2.1	Different foundation System and there Ratio of Load share	7
3	2.2	Load v/s Settlement Curve of piled rafts on the basis of different design philosophies	8
4	3.1	Settlement per unit pressure from standard penetration resistance	18
5	3.2	Fox's correction curves for settlements of flexible rectangular footing of (L x B) at depth D	19
6	3.3	Borehole data of DTU soil	21
7	3.4	E _s v/s Empirical formulae	27
8	3.5	Settlement v/s modulus of elasticity corresponding to different values of Poisson's ratio	28
9	3.6	Settlement contour for raft foundation	29
10	3.7	Stress contour for raft foundation	29
11	3.8	Strain contour for raft foundation	30
12	3.9	Settlement contour of pile group for pile length 15m Settlement =23.6 mm	34
13	4.1	Settlement contour of piled raft for applied load 229.36 KN/m ²	38
14	4.2	Stress contour of piled raft for applied load 229.36KN/m ²	38
15	4.3	Strain contour of piled raft foundation applied load 229.36KN/m ²	38
16	4.4	Settlement v/s Modulus of elasticity	39
17	4.5	Settlement contour of 20m pile under $E_S = 20000 KN/m^2$	40
18	4.6	Settlement contour of 20m pile under E _S = 30000KN/m ²	40
19	4.7	Settlement contour of 20m pile under $E_S = 40000 KN/m^2$	41
20	4.8	Settlement contour of 20m pile under $E_S = 50000 KN/m^2$	41

21	4.9	Settlement v/s applied load	42
22	4.10	Settlement v/s Spacing ratio	45
23	4.11	Settlement v/s Pile group	45
24	4.12	Settlement v/s raft thickness	46
25	4.13	Settlement v/s pile diameter	47
26	5.1	Settlement v/s Modulus of elasticity for pile length 10m	50
27	5.2	Settlement v/s Modulus of elasticity for pile length 12m	50
28	5.3	Settlement v/s Modulus of elasticity for pile length 15m	51
29	5.4	Settlement v/s pile length for pile group 3 x 3	52
30	5.5	Settlement v/s pile length for pile group 5 x 5	52
31	5.6	Settlement v/s pile length for raft thickness 1m	53