



DELHI TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY, DELHI

Declaration

I, hereby declare that the work embodied in the dissertation entitled “Experimental & Numerical Estimation of Consolidation for Bentonite & Sand Mixture” submitted in partial fulfilment for the award of degree of MASTER of TECHNOLOGY in “GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING”, is an original piece of work carried out by me under the supervision of Prof. A. Trivedi, Department of Civil Engineering, Delhi Technological University. The matter of this work either full or in part have not been submitted to any other institution or University for the award of any other Diploma or Degree or any other purpose what so ever.

Manish Kumar

M.Tech (Geotechnical Engineering)

Roll No. 2K12/GTE/11



DELHI TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY, DELHI

Certificate

This is to certify that the project report entitled “Experimental & Numerical Estimation of Consolidation for Bentonite & Sand Mixture” is a bona fide record of work carried out by Manish Kumar (Roll No. 2K12/GTE/11) under my guidance and supervision, during the session 2014 in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Technology (Geotechnical Engineering) from Delhi Technological University, Delhi.

The work embodied in this major project has not been submitted for the award of any other institution or University for the award of any other Degree or any other purpose.

Prof. A. Trivedi

Department of Civil Engineering

Delhi Technological University, Delhi

Delhi-110042

2012-2014



DELHI TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY, DELHI

Acknowledgement

This acknowledgement is a sincere note of thanks and regard from my side to express my gratitude for those who were associated with this project and without whose co-operation and guidance this project work could not have been conducted properly.

Words fail me to express my regards towards my project guide, Dr. A. Trivedi, Professor and Head, Department of Civil Engineering, Delhi Technological University, Delhi for giving me an opportunity to work under his guidance, which really instilled in me the requisite confidence. His guidance and motivation helped me through completion of project. Without his help and guidance, this project would not have been possible.

I am greatly thankful to all the laboratory assistants of Department of Civil Engineering, Delhi Technological University who helped me a lot for conducting the practical work.

Also I would like to thank my family and friends who stimulated me to bring this work to a successful closure.

Manish Kumar

M. Tech (Geotechnical Engineering)

2K12/GTE/11

Abstract

In the present study consolidation test performed on different percentage of bentonite sand mixed sample has been performed. Rate of consolidation has been compared for all the samples. The variation of consolidation properties for all samples is compared.

By increasing the percentage of bentonite deformation of sample increases and the rate of consolidation decreases. This study represents how the variation of consolidation behaviour increases by increasing the clay minerals in soil. The sand bentonite mixture with different percentage of bentonite mix shows large variation in consolidation behaviour, which is helpful in a comparison analysis by different method.

The analysis of rate of consolidation can be done with different way, and analysed. The future aspect of the project is analysing the rate of consolidation with Numerical solution in one dimension and compared with the Fourier series solution.

Contents

Declaration	i
Certificate	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Abstract	iv
Contents	v
List of tables	vii
List of figures	viii
List of graphs	ix
Chapter 1—Introduction	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.1 Motivation.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.2 Major scientists and their contribution	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.3 Objective of study	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.4 Organisation of report	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Chapter 2—Literature review	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.1 Literature review.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Chapter 3—General theory & application	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.1 Differential equation for one dimensional consolidation with vertical drainage	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.2 Finite difference method	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.3 Solution of 1-d consolidation equation using finite difference method..	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Chapter 4—Materials and methodology	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1 Material.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2 List of experiment done	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2.1 Specific gravity [IS:2720 (Part III)]	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2.2 Hydrometer test [IS:2720 (Part IV)]	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2.3 Liquid limit and plastic limit determination.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2.4 Consolidation by oedometer [IS 2720(part 15)]	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Chapter 5—Experimental and numerical result and comparison ..	Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.1 Specific gravity result	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.2 Liquid limit and plastic limit of bentonite	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.3 Grain size distribution of bentonite	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.4 Comparison of parameter at different conditions.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.5 Average value of coff. of permeability (k) & coff. of consolidation (c_v) for different mix	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Bookmark not defined.	
5.6 Comparison of experimental fourier solution and finite difference solution for diff. condition	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.8 Implicit solution of consolidation analysis and comparison for different time step	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Bookmark not defined.	
Chapter 6—Result’s discussion and conclusion.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.1 Discussion.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.2 Conclusion.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.3 Future scope	Error! Bookmark not defined.
References	Error! Bookmark not defined.

List of Tables

Sl. No.	Table no.	Title	Page no.
1	1.1	Major scientists & their contribution	4
2	5.1	Specific gravity value at different percent of bentonite in mix	27
3	5.2	Average value of coff. of permeability (K) and coff. of consolidation (Cv) at diff. bentonite content in mix	33

List of Figures

SL. No.	Table no.	Title	Page no.
1	1.1	Time rate settlement curve for elastic loading	3
2	3.1	Constant excess pore water pressure with depth at time $t=0$ (double drainage)	16
3	3.2	Variation of U_z with z/H and T_v	17
4	3.3	Constant excess pore water pressure with depth at time $t=0$ (one way drainage)	18
5	3.4	Sinusoidal initial excess pore water pressure distribution-two-way drainage	19
6	3.5	Discretization of space and time	21
7	4.1	Grain size analysis through hydrometer	24
8	4.2	Liquid Limit Apparatus	25
9	4.3	Consolidometer Ring	25
10	4.4	Consolidation Test Apparatus at loading	26

List of Graphs

Sl No.	Table no.	Title	Page no.
1	5.1	Liquid limit determination of bentonite	27
2	5.2	Grain size distribution of bentonite	28
3	5.3	Dial gauge reading Vs time plot for different stress increment at 10% bentonite content	28
4	5.4	Dial gauge reading Vs time plot for different stress increment at 15% bentonite content	29
5	5.5	Dial gauge reading Vs time plot for different stress increment at 20% bentonite content	29
6	5.6	Dial gauge reading Vs time plot for different stress increment at 25% bentonite content	30
7	5.7	Dial gauge reading Vs time plot for different stress increment at 100% bentonite content	30
8	5.8	Void ratio (e) Vs log σ plot for different bentonite content	31
9	5.9	Stress Settlement curve for different bentonite content	31
10	5.10	Effective stress($\Delta\sigma$)Vs Coefficient of volume change(m_v) for different bentonite content	32
11	5.11	Log $\Delta\sigma$ Vs coefficient of permeability (K) for different bentonite content	32
12	5.12	Log $\Delta\sigma$ Vs coefficient of consolidation (C_v) for different bentonite content	33
13	5.13	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 10(kN/m ²) at 10% bentonite	34
14	5.14	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 20(kN/m ²) at 10% bentonite	34
15	5.15	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 40(kN/m ²) at 10% bentonite	35
16	5.16	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 80(kN/m ²) at 10% bentonite	35
17	5.17	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 160(kN/m ²) at 10% bentonite	36
18	5.18	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 320(kN/m ²) at 10% bentonite	36
19	5.19	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 640(kN/m ²) at 10% bentonite	37
20	5.20	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 10(kN/m ²) at 15% bentonite	37
21	5.21	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 20(kN/m ²) at 15% bentonite	38
22	5.22	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 40(kN/m ²) at 15% bentonite	38

23	5.23	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 80(kN/m ²) at 15% bentonite	39
24	5.24	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 160(kN/m ²) at 15% bentonite	39
25	5.25	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 320(kN/m ²) at 15% bentonite	40
26	5.26	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 640(kN/m ²) at 15% bentonite	40
27	5.27	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 10(kN/m ²) at 20% bentonite	41
28	5.28	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 20(kN/m ²) at 20% bentonite	41
29	5.29	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 40(kN/m ²) at 20% bentonite	42
30	5.30	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 80(kN/m ²) at 20% bentonite	42
31	5.31	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 160(kN/m ²) at 20% bentonite	43
32	5.32	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 320(kN/m ²) at 20% bentonite	43
33	5.33	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 640(kN/m ²) at 20% bentonite	44
34	5.34	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 10(kN/m ²) at 25% bentonite	44
35	5.35	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 20(kN/m ²) at 25% bentonite	45
36	5.36	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 40(kN/m ²) at 25% bentonite	45
37	5.37	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 80(kN/m ²) at 25% bentonite	46
38	5.38	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 160(kN/m ²) at 25% bentonite	46
39	5.39	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 320(kN/m ²) at 25% bentonite	47
40	5.40	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 640(kN/m ²) at 25% bentonite	47
41	5.41	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 10(kN/m ²) at 100% bentonite	48
42	5.42	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 20(kN/m ²) at 100% bentonite	48
43	5.43	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 40(kN/m ²) at 100% bentonite	49
44	5.44	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 80(kN/m ²) at 100% bentonite	49
45	5.45	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 160(kN/m ²) at 100% bentonite	50
46	5.46	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading 320(kN/m ²) at 100% bentonite	50

47	5.47	Comparison of experimental and numerical solution for loading $640(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 100% bentonite	51
48	5.48	Variation in % difference in $u\%$ in numerical finite difference and fourier solution for different condition at $T_v=0.005$	51
49	5.49	Comparison graph for different no of iteration(by implicit method) for loading $10(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 10% bentonite	52
50	5.50	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $20(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 10% bentonite	52
51	5.51	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $40(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 10% bentonite	53
52	5.52	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $80(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 10% bentonite	53
53	5.53	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $160(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 10% bentonite	54
54	5.54	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $320(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 10% bentonite	54
55	5.55	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $640(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 10% bentonite	55
56	5.56	Comparison graph for different no of iteration(by implicit method) for loading $10(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 15% bentonite	55
57	5.57	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $20(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 15% bentonite	56
58	5.58	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $40(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 15% bentonite	56
59	5.59	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $80(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 15% bentonite	57
60	5.60	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $160(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 15% bentonite	57
61	5.61	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $320(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 15% bentonite	58
62	5.62	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $640(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 15% bentonite	58
63	5.63	Comparison graph for different no of iteration(by implicit method) for loading $10(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 20% bentonite	59
64	5.64	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $20(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 20% bentonite	59
65	5.65	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $40(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 20% bentonite	60
66	5.66	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $80(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 20% bentonite	60
67	5.67	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $160(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 20% bentonite	61
68	5.68	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $320(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 20% bentonite	61
69	5.69	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading $640(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 20% bentonite	62
70	5.70	Comparison graph for different no of iteration(by implicit method) for loading $10(\text{kN}/\text{m}^2)$ at 25% bentonite	62

71	5.71	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading 20(kN/m ²) at 25% bentonite	63
72	5.72	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading 40(kN/m ²) at 25% bentonite	63
73	5.73	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading 80(kN/m ²) at 25% bentonite	64
74	5.74	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading 160(kN/m ²) at 25% bentonite	64
75	5.75	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading 320(kN/m ²) at 25% bentonite	65
76	5.76	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading 640(kN/m ²) at 25% bentonite	65
77	5.77	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading 10(kN/m ²) at 100% bentonite	66
78	5.78	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading 20(kN/m ²) at 100% bentonite	66
79	5.79	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading 40(kN/m ²) at 100% bentonite	67
80	5.80	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading 80(kN/m ²) at 100% bentonite	67
81	5.81	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading 160(kN/m ²) at 100% bentonite	68
82	5.82	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading 320(kN/m ²) at 100% bentonite	68
83	5.83	Comparison graph for different no of iteration (by implicit method) for loading 640(kN/m ²) at 100% bentonite	69