

Word Count: 22724



Plagiarism Percentage 10%

## Matches

1

**World Wide Web Match**

[View Link](#)

2

**World Wide Web Match**

[View Link](#)

3

**Database Match**

4

**Database Match**

5

**Database Match**

6

**World Wide Web Match**

[View Link](#)

7

**World Wide Web Match**

[View Link](#)

8

**World Wide Web Match**

[View Link](#)

9

**Database Match**

10

**Database Match**

11

**Database Match**

12

**Database Match**

13

**Database Match**

14

Database Match

15

Database Match

16

Database Match

17

Database Match

18

Database Match

19

World Wide Web Match

[View Link](#)

20

Database Match

21

World Wide Web Match

[View Link](#)

22

Database Match

23

Database Match

24

Database Match

25

Database Match

26

Database Match

27

Database Match

28

Database Match

29

Database Match

30

Database Match

31

Database Match

32

Database Match

33

Database Match

34

Database Match

35

Database Match

36

World Wide Web Match

[View Link](#)

37

Database Match

38

Database Match

39

Database Match

40

Database Match

41

Database Match

42

Database Match

43

Database Match

44

Database Match

45

Database Match

46

Database Match

47

Database Match

48

Database Match

49

Database Match

50

Database Match

51

Database Match

52

Database Match

53

Database Match

54

Database Match

55

Database Match

56

World Wide Web Match

[View Link](#)

57

Database Match

58

Database Match

59

Database Match

60

World Wide Web Match

[View Link](#)

61

World Wide Web Match

[View Link](#)

62

Database Match

63

Database Match

64

Database Match

**65** Database Match

**66** Database Match

**67** Database Match

**68** Database Match

**69** World Wide Web Match  
[View Link](#)

**70** World Wide Web Match  
[View Link](#)

**71** World Wide Web Match  
[View Link](#)

**72** World Wide Web Match  
[View Link](#)

**73** World Wide Web Match  
[View Link](#)

**74** Database Match

**75** Database Match

**76** Database Match

**77** Database Match

**78** Database Match

**79** Database Match

**80** Database Match

81

Database Match

82

Database Match

83

Database Match

84

Database Match

85

Database Match

86

Database Match

87

World Wide Web Match

[View Link](#)

88

World Wide Web Match

[View Link](#)

89

World Wide Web Match

[View Link](#)

90

World Wide Web Match

[View Link](#)

91

Database Match

92

Database Match

93

Database Match

94

Database Match

95

Database Match

96

Database Match

Database Match

**97**

**98**

**Database Match**

**99**

**Database Match**

**100**

**Database Match**

**101**

**Database Match**

**102**

**Database Match**

**103**

**Database Match**

**104**

**Database Match**

**105**

**Database Match**

**106**

**Database Match**

**107**

**Database Match**

**108**

**Database Match**

**109**

**Database Match**

**110**

**Database Match**

**111**

**Database Match**

**112**

**Database Match**

**113**

**Database Match**

**114**

**Database Match**

**115****Database Match****116****Database Match****117****Database Match****118****Database Match****119****Database Match****120****Database Match****Suspected Content**

Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Chapter 1 Introduction

The ability to measure the critical parameters of a system under parametric variations, bounded disturbance and noise is one of the most important control problems. Though mathematical model describe the actual physical system, the parameters calculated from the mathematical model are an approximation of the actual system. In most of the cases, the difference which arises between the system model and actual physical system are mainly due to parametric variations and unmodeled high frequency system dynamics. It is well known fact that most of the practical systems are nonlinear in nature which makes the formulation of the accurate mathematical model a complex process. A simpler approach called the linearization is employed but the error by neglecting the nonlinearities present in the system is outside the safety limits of the critical systems. Therefore, there is a significant need to develop a control mechanism to account for nonlinearities and robust against perturbations in parameters. The conventional controller design is linear with primary analysis using the transfer function of a system. The methods like eigenvalues placement, quadrature minimization provided a simple design of a controller. The control characteristics could easily be altered and control designer applied to various industrial applications. These controllers could not satisfy the perturbations mentioned above while maintaining its designed performance. The

application of Variable Structure Control (VSC)

**16**

provided a new approach in control system design. A control approach involving

high speed switching feedback to force the state trajectory

**16**

on to a designed surface with varying structures defines Variable Structure Control. An efficient switching logic accompanied by a switching gain provides significant advantages.

Sliding Mode Control is one of the

**28**



operational classes of Variable Structure Control because of its potential advantages and ease in control system design. The inherent advantages offered by sliding mode control against parametric uncertainties and bounded disturbance provided a strong background to carry out further developments. Though there was a long time gap of few decades till the proposed theory on Variable Structure Control was available to all researchers, the development was exemplified by the prominence of sliding mode control. This task is accomplished by sliding mode by quick response to any slight deviation in the parameter which corresponds to addition of discontinuous control. With sliding mode as the operational tool for realization of variable structure control, numerous control applications in aircraft systems, electric motors, robotic systems and many more were developed. Rapid fluctuations of finite amplitude and finite frequency are the result of finite switching frequency in case of practical systems. This is commonly called as chattering phenomena. This is disastrous effect of application of sliding mode control due to which there is a high loss of energy in systems besides loss in control accuracy. Several works [2, 7, 8] focused on the usage of 'smooth' functions like saturation or hyperbolic tangent functions instead of discontinuous 1 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems function to minimize chattering. Indeed, this is a significant step towards chattering removal but the loss of robustness by using this approach is a tradeoff which a control designer has to take care of. The advanced controller design assumes that all the states are observable. This is certainly not valid in case of practical system where a sensor measuring a required state may not be placed due to practical constraints or due to economic considerations. An approach to ignore a state which is immeasurable or in other words an approach to develop a mathematical model by neglecting certain state which is not available is impossible. Therefore, a need to accurately estimate the immeasurable states is of utmost importance. An observer carries out this task by considering the input of the system model along with one of measurable states. 1.1 Motivation The first observer to estimate the states accurately was developed by Luenberger [14]. The inability to handle parametric uncertainties and applicability to linear systems are some of the drawbacks of Luenberger observer. The application of sliding mode design concept for estimating the state vector is highly advantageous especially the robustness of sliding mode against parametric variations, modeling imperfections, bounded disturbances. Therefore in this thesis

we focus on the design of a sliding mode observer. The application of

7

observer to nuclear reactor system is important because of practical difficulties in measuring all the critical parameters. The critical parameters includes delayed neutron concentration, reactivity, independent neutron source, xenon and iodine concentration with reactor power as the only measurable parameter. In addition, observer can be used in the design of new reactors where hardly any operating data is available. As the safety of a nuclear reactor is dependent on the variation of critical parameters and hence accurate estimation of parameters is indeed required. With a presence of large number of sensors and actuators in a nuclear reactor, there is a finite probability of an occurrence of a fault. The presence of fault in control or sensing a parameter has a severe implication of failure of a nuclear reactor.

In this thesis, application of sliding mode observer for

55

analyzing nuclear reactor system dynamics is carried out. The critical parameters mentioned above are estimated under parametric variations and bounded disturbances. In addition, fault detection is carried out

for a nuclear reactor core and steam generator. The loss of robustness by employing smooth functions in boundary layer approach and hence compromising the fundamental idea of sliding mode is not acceptable. Therefore higher order sliding mode is employed for nuclear reactor system to minimize chattering and preserve the robust nature of sliding mode. Further, usage of uniform algorithms makes the observer design independent of the initial conditions of the system. 2 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems 1.2 Thesis Contribution 1. A simulation study of first order sliding mode observer for Pressurized Heavy Water Reactor (PHWR) is carried out. The robustness against parametric variations is presented. 2. A

second order sliding mode observer for

52

Pressurized Heavy Water Reactor is developed. One of the prominent

second order sliding mode algorithms called Super Twisting algorithm is applied

76

for a PHWR. A comparison between

first order and second order sliding mode algorithm is

28

highlighted. 3. Uniform

Second Order Sliding Mode (USOSM) algorithm is designed to achieve

28

faster convergence time of an observer independent of initial condition of the system. A comparison of this design with the designed Super Twisting Algorithm is presented clearly. 1.3 Organization of Thesis The thesis is an outcome

to design a sliding mode observer for analyzing the

44

nuclear reactor system dynamics. In Chapter 2, an extensive literature survey is carried out. Though the original idea of this project was published in Russian in 1950s but after few decades, a successful translation with contribution from renowned authors is a noteworthy feature. In Chapter 3,

Point Reactor Kinetics (PRK) model of a nuclear reactor is discussed with

41

a derivation of normalized form. To validate the model, few reactivity transients are considered. Chapter 4 provides the basic understanding of the original theory of Variable Structure Control (VSC) with its advantages over conventional control theory. The most prominent class of VSC which is the Sliding Mode Control and its distinguishing features is focused at the end of the chapter with few examples. Chapter 5 gives a basic understanding of an observer. A bird's eye view on one of the popular and simple observer

called the Luenberger observer is provided. The inherent disadvantages of Luenberger observer is significantly highlighted and the need for formulating a Sliding Mode Observer is highlighted. Chapter 6 specifies our discussion on the application of sliding mode observer design to a nuclear reactor application. Simulations are carried out to substantiate the properties of sliding mode by estimating the critical parameters like delayed neutron precursor concentration, reactivity, neutron source, xenon and iodine concentration. In the later part of the chapter, extensive focus on the application of the above design in fault detection of various components in a nuclear power plant is carried out. 3 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Chapter 7 extends the design to an advanced level by introducing a recent theory on Higher Order Sliding Mode (HOSM). A brief overview is followed by

an application of Super Twisting Algorithm for estimation of

54

parameters in a nuclear reactor system. A further extension of the previous design is carried out by the design of USOSM algorithm. Chapter 8 concludes this report with a prospective future work which can be carried out to solve the control problems in a practical nonlinear plant. 4 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Chapter 3 Point Reactor Kinetics Model of a Nuclear Reactor 3.1 Introduction The operating power of a nuclear reactor is dependent on the nuclear material present, the microscopic

fission cross section and the neutron flux. Of all these factors, the

114

flux or neutron density is directly related to control of reactor power. Therefore we shall consider the effect of neutron flux under various transients. In a practical nuclear reactor, the neutrons are produced at different energies and these neutrons are absorbed and escape at different energy. The neutrons produced may be prompt or delayed. When the reactor is critical, the neutron production and loss are nearly equal

and the above distinction of prompt and delayed neutrons is not

82

valid. However there is a significant effect of delayed neutrons on the neutron flux and therefore are of importance in case of nuclear reactor control. With respect to the mathematical model of the reactor, the reactor power will be a continuous function of neutron energy. This makes the analysis quite cumbersome but general conclusions can be reached by using a simple manner as evident in the later stage of this section. However, the identical results of those obtained by detailed modeling, may also be obtained by considering one neutron energy group model. This assumes that production, diffusion, absorption and leakage of neutrons take place at single energy with independence from space variables. This model also assumes that time variation of neutron flux at all points of core is identical. A

time dependent behavior of a nuclear reactor due to change in

49

the effective multiplication factor for a homogenous thermal

reactor is known as the point reactor kinetics model

of nuclear reactor. The word 'point' is used because of the approximation of considering the entire reactor core as a point. This model can be easily derived from the diffusion equation of one speed neutrons [36]. The point reactor model can be summarized into

$$\frac{dC_i}{dt} = \lambda_i C_i - \beta_i \frac{P}{\Lambda} + S_i$$

46

where  $P$  is

Reactor Power  $C_i$  is Delayed neutron precursor concentration

101

of  $i$ th group  $S_i$  is Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems  $S_i$  is Primary or Independent neutron source  $i$

Delayed neutron precursor of group  $i$

119

$\lambda_i$

Decay constant for the delayed neutron precursor of group  $i$

23

$k_{eff}$  Effective multiplication factor  $\Lambda$  Prompt neutron lifetime The left side of (3.1) shows the time dependent variation of reactor power. Reactor power can be substituted by neutron flux or neutron generation rate.

The first term on right hand side of (3.1) defines the

78

difference between the prompt neutron production rate and the rate of neutron loss. Whereas the

second term on right hand side of (3.1) shows the

96

production rate of delayed neutrons. The

last term on right hand side of (3.1) is

40

the primary or independent neutron source term which is usually present for low power reactors. This neutron source is present in the point kinetics equations in subcritical state also. The left side of (3.2) shows the time dependent variation of delayed neutron precursor concentration. The

first term on right hand side of (3.2) defines the

91

neutron production rate whereas the

second term on right hand side of (3.2)

40

shows the rate of neutron loss. Reactivity is given by  $\rho = \frac{k_{eff} - 1}{k_{eff}}$ . We can express the result in terms of reactivity by substituting (3.3) in (3.1) and (3.2) as  $\frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{\rho - \beta}{\Lambda} P + \sum_{i=1}^6 \lambda_i C_i - S$

$\frac{dC_i}{dt} = \beta_i \frac{P}{P_0} - \lambda_i C_i$

46

It is easy to infer that (3.4) is a nonlinear equation of  $P$  and  $C_i$ . 3.2 Derivation of normalized form of Point Reactor Kinetics model Let us consider a steady state of power as  $P_0$ . Assume that the independent or primary neutron source is absent. The steady state value of delayed neutron precursor concentration by equating the (3.5) to zero, is given by  $C_{i0} = \frac{\beta_i P_0}{\lambda_i P_0 - \rho_0}$ . Normalizing (3.4) with respect to its steady state value  $P_0$ ,  $\frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{\rho - \beta}{\Lambda} \frac{P}{P_0} + \sum_{i=1}^6 \lambda_i \frac{C_i}{C_{i0}} - \frac{S}{P_0}$ . Substituting the value of  $P_0$  from (3.6) in the above equation, we get  $\frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{\rho - \beta}{\Lambda} \frac{P}{P_0} + \sum_{i=1}^6 \lambda_i \frac{C_i}{C_{i0}} - \frac{S}{P_0}$ . Normalizing (3.5) with respect to its steady state value  $C_{i0}$ ,  $\frac{dC_i}{dt} = \beta_i \frac{P}{P_0} - \lambda_i C_i$ . Substituting the value of  $C_{i0}$  from (3.6) in the above equation, we get  $\frac{dC_i}{dt} = \beta_i \frac{P}{P_0} - \lambda_i C_i$ . The normalized set of equations are written as  $\frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{\rho - \beta}{\Lambda} P + \sum_{i=1}^6 \lambda_i C_i - S$  and  $\frac{dC_i}{dt} = \beta_i P - \lambda_i C_i$ . Neutronic data used for simulation of response

of the point reactor kinetics equations are given in

43

Table 3.1 Table 3.1: Neutronic data for Pressurized Heavy Water Reactor (PHWR) Group Decay Constant Delayed (1/s) neutron fraction 1 0.0126  $2.1 \times 10^{-4}$  2 0.0301  $1.42 \times 10^{-3}$  3 0.1118  $1.27 \times 10^{-3}$  4 0.3014  $2.58 \times 10^{-3}$  5 1.1363  $7.50 \times 10^{-4}$  6 3.0137  $2.70 \times 10^{-4}$  Total delayed neutron fraction 0.0065 Average decay constant (1/s) 0.0769 Mean neutron generation rate (s)  $3 \times 10^{-5}$  Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems For estimation of

xenon and iodine concentration, the data is given in Table

15

3.2. In addition Table 3.2 provides the data for calculating neutron flux using Chernick model. Table 3.2: Xenon, Iodine data for PHWR Mean neutron generation rate (s) 0.083 Microscopic

cross section of Xenon (cm<sup>2</sup>)  $3.5 \times 10^{-28}$

33

10-18 Constant parameter  $c = 1.5$

Xenon yield 0.03 Iodine yield 0.056 Decay constant of Xenon (1/s)

64

s)  $2.1 \times 10^{-5}$

Decay constant of Iodine (1/s)  $2.9 \times 10^{-5}$

33

$1.7 \times 10^{-14}$  The fuel and coolant temperature for a PHWR is given in Table 3.3 and is used in fault detection analysis in Chapter 6. Table 3.3: Fuel and Coolant temperature data for PHWR Fraction of reactor power deposited  $\beta = 0.98$

Initial Equilibrium power  $P_0$  (MW) 300 Heat capacity of fuel

12

$f$  (MW\*s/deg C) 14.8

Heat capacity of coolant  $c$  (MW\*s/ deg C)

33

220

Mass flow rate multiplied by heat capacity of coolant

21

$M = -58.8 \times 10^6$  (MW/deg C)

Heat transfer coefficient between coolant and fuel (MW/deg C)

12

$-25.8 \times 10^4$

C) Coolant temperature reactivity coefficient  $\beta_c$  (/deg C)

118

$-0.00001$  Fuel temperature reactivity coefficient  $\beta_f$  (/deg C)  $-0.000025$  3.3 Transients for validating Point Reactor Kinetics (PRK) model In this section, we carry out analysis of PRK model with respect to external transients. We assume a high power nuclear reactor where the neutron source term is considered as zero.

It is also assumed that the reactor was operating in steady state

85

with zero initial reactivity. Fig. 3.1 shows the variation of reactor power to a rectangular reactivity input. A positive reactivity of  $0.1 \text{ mk}$  is applied for 40 seconds as shown in Fig. 3.1 (a). There is a prompt jump of reactor power at the point where reactivity is inserted. There is a steady increase in power till 40 seconds. Further there is a drop in power due to decrease in reactivity to zero. The reactor power variation is shown in Fig. 3.1 (b). 8 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (a) (b) Figure 3.1: Transient response of point reactor kinetics power for rectangular reactivity input. Fig. 3.1(a)

represents variation in reactivity. Fig. 3.1(b) shows the corresponding variation in power. Fig. 3.2 shows the response of reactor power to a large negative step reactivity input. A negative reactivity of 50mk is applied for 10 seconds as shown in Fig. 3.2 (a). We assume that initially the reactor was operating in steady state with zero reactivity. The reactor power variation corresponding to a reactivity transient is shown in Fig. 3.2 (b). 9 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (a) (b) Figure 3.2: Transient response of point reactor kinetics power for large negative step reactivity input. Fig. 3.2(a) represents variation in reactivity. Fig. 3.2(b) shows the corresponding variation in power. Fig. 3.3 shows the response of reactor power to an up chirp reactivity input. Let us consider a chirp signal defined as  $0.003\sin(2\pi \cdot 0.01 \cdot t)$ . We infer that a chirp rate of 0.01 is used. The response of the input reactivity signal is shown in Fig. 3.3 (a). We assume that the reactor was operating in steady state with zero reactivity. The reactor power variation corresponding to a up chirp reactivity transient is shown in Fig. 3.3 (b). 10 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (a) (b) Figure 3.3: Transient response of point reactor kinetics power for up chirp reactivity input. Fig. 3.3(a) represents variation in reactivity. Fig. 3.3(b) shows the corresponding variation in power. 11 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Chapter 4 Introduction to Variable Structure and Sliding Mode Control In this chapter, a fundamental theory on which sliding mode theory was developed is introduced. The discussion of sliding mode theory with its remarkable properties is highlighted. 4.1

Variable Structure Control (VSC) Variable Structure Control is a high speed switching feedback control where the control structure of the

16

system varies from one structure to another according to a prescribed switching law. The fundamental goal of VSC is to force the state trajectory to reach onto a user defined surface in finite amount of time and stay thereafter. The user defined surface is commonly called as the switching surface because there is specific gain associated with the region above the switching surface and a different gain below the region of switching surface. The logic which defines the switching action is called the switching logic. The potential advantages of variable structure control outweigh the additional complexity introduced by the switching logic. The 'invariant' property which provided robustness against parameter uncertainty and bounded external disturbances is useful for dynamic process control. In addition, the distinguishing property of VSC is in combination of useful features of its sub structures. Variable Structure System may possess a new property which is non-inherent with respect to any of its sub structures [1]. This property is explained in the following section with necessary examples. Even though the initial works on Variable Structure Control proposed by Prof. S.V. Emel'yanov was focused on linear second order systems. The VSC has been originated from the classical control techniques related to relay control and bang-bang control. The relative advancement in the coming years focused on

nonlinear systems, Multi Input Multi Output (MIMO) systems, stochastic systems

73

and many more. Therefore the application with respect to practical control problems in aircraft control, robot control and many others came into prominence. The basic feature of variable structure control is explained by considering a general system. In terms of state variable approach,  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$ ,  $y = Cx$  where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ;  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ;  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ;  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ;  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  In the linear controller [5] design the input vector  $u$  was fixed by knowing the state vector  $y$ . 12 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems The state feedback

4.2 Unlike fixed control law, the control is allowed to switch between permissible states defined by the switching logic. The advantages offered by variable structure control outweigh the additional complexity in designing the switching logic. Consider a second order system with two structures given by  $\dot{x}_2 = -x_1$  and  $\dot{x}_2 = x_1$ . Let  $\dot{x}_1 = 2x_1$ . The phase portrait of individual structures resembles an ellipse as shown in Fig. 4.1 (a) and (b). (a) (b) (c) Figure 4.1: Phase portrait of VSS derived from two oscillatory structures. Fig. 4.1(a) and 4.1(b) represents phase portrait of two individual structures. Fig. 4.1(c) shows the phase portrait of resulting VSS. 13 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems The structures exhibit oscillatory kind of behavior and therefore it cannot be used in control system design. By applying VSC with a switching logic given by

$$u = -x_2 - 0.1x_1 + \dot{x}_d$$

We achieve asymptotically stable response as shown in Fig. 4.1 (c). The term is called the feedback gain. Therefore the resulting Variable Structure System will possess a new property which is not present in any of its sub structures. Let us consider another example of

a second order system given by  $\dot{x}_2 = -x_2 - x_1$  and  $\dot{x}_2 = x_2 - x_1$

$\dot{x}_1 = 0$ ;  $\dot{x}_2 = 0$  The equilibrium point is represented by origin which is also a saddle point. From the phase portraits shown in the Fig. 4.2 (a) and Fig. 4.2 (b), we conclude that the saddle point is unstable and therefore both the structures are unstable. Let the chosen switching surface be  $s = cx_1 + x_2$  with  $c$  is the eigenvalue of the system. We apply VSC with a switching logic given by  $u = -x_1s - 0.1x_1s$ . The resulting Variable Structure System is asymptotically stable given by the phase portrait in Fig. 4.2(c). We note that the convergence of all state trajectories along one stable eigenvector given by  $s = 0$ . Therefore by employing Variable Structure Control, some useful properties of individual structures are combined resulting in a new property which is not inherent in any of the sub- structures. In general, this new control technique offers significant advantages over the earlier methods and therefore it is suitable for practical nonlinear and MIMO systems. In addition to the above mentioned advantages, the possibility to obtain a trajectory independent of the trajectories of sub structures is one of the fundamental aspects of variable structure control system. The motion of the special trajectory describes a new motion here after referred to as sliding mode. So a sliding mode consists of a motion of a trajectory which is not a trajectory of any of its sub structures. Therefore Sliding Mode Control is referred to as a special class of variable structure control. 14 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (a) (b) (c) Figure 4.2: Phase portrait of VSS derived from two unstable structures. Fig. 4.2(a) and 4.2(b) represents phase portrait of two individual structures. Fig. 4.2(c) shows the phase portrait of resulting VSS 15 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems 4.2

Sliding Mode Control (SMC) Sliding Mode Control consists of

an exclusive feature called the 'sliding mode'. To illustrate the sliding mode behavior let us reconsider the



VSS with individual structures given by  $\dot{x}_2 = -x_2 + x_1$  and  $\dot{x}_2 = -x_2 + x_1$  with  $0 < c$ ;  $0$ . Let the switching surface be  $s = c x_1 + x_2 = 0$  with  $0 < c$ . The phase portrait of the resulting system is shown in Fig. 4.3. Figure 4.3: Phase portrait of Sliding Mode System

16 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems From the Fig. 4.3, it is observed that all the state trajectories are directed towards the switching surface defined by  $s = c x_1 + x_2 = 0$  and stay on this surface for all time after reaching the surface. These phase trajectories reach the designed switching surface irrespective of the initial condition of the system which can be shown in Fig. 4.4. Therefore, the phase trajectory

consists of two representative modes given by reaching mode and sliding mode.

103

The detailed explanation of these modes will be discussed in the later part of this chapter. An essential condition for sliding mode to occur is

that the state trajectories will reach the sliding surface and will continue to remain all the

67

time. This sliding surface is also referred to as sliding manifold in some literature [7, 12]. The magnified portion shown in Fig. 4.4 highlights the chattering behavior and more will be discussed in the later part of this chapter. Figure 4.4: Phase portrait of

Sliding Mode System with an initial condition of  $x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1$

57

axis In [2], another methodology

to obtain the sliding mode was presented. The

57

occurrence of sliding mode is subject to input switching which was a function of one state of the system. This consideration was different to an earlier case given in (4.3) where the sliding mode behavior was dependent on the linear combination of two states. 17 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Let us consider a general second order system as  $\dot{x}_1 = -x_1 + x_2$  and  $\dot{x}_2 = -x_2 + \text{sign}(x_1)$  where  $2$  A positive constant This system consists of one switching instant. We shall define another second order system consisting of two switching instants given by

$\dot{x}_1 = -x_1 + x_2$  and  $\dot{x}_2 = -x_2 + \text{sign}(x_1)$

113

Let us carry out a simulation to find the phase portrait of the set of equations defined in (4.4) and (4.5). From Fig. 4.5, we observe that in the latter case, there is an occurrence of sliding mode where the phase trajectory reaches the equilibrium point in finite amount of time. This behavior is unlike the oscillatory behavior (shown in blue legend) exhibited by set of equations given in (4.4). We can conclude that there is no sliding mode when (4.4) is realized. Figure 4.5: Phase portrait of a

second order system with and without shearing effect. 18 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems A more simpler way to obtain the phase trajectories of a system defined in (4.5) is by shifting the right half plane of the phase trajectories of a system defined in (4.4) by an amount 1 and left half plane of the phase trajectories by an amount 1 . This behavior is termed as the 'Shearing effect' [2]. Therefore due to shearing effect, a sliding mode exists in the region defined by  $x_2 = 1$ . 4.6 Therefore we define a region surrounding the equilibrium point where the sliding mode behavior exists. The region defined in (4.6) is termed as 'sliding patch' [2]. This patch gives rise to boundary layered approach which results in minimal chattering. The methodologies adopted for chattering minimization is presented in section 4.2.5. Nevertheless the equations governing in the sliding patch are defined from Flippov's concept [16] and elaborate discussion on Flippov's method is presented in section 4.2.4. 4.2.1 Properties of Sliding Mode The sliding mode behavior assures finite reaching time to a defined sliding surface. As the equilibrium state is the origin, the transient response is given by the behavior after the state trajectory reaches the sliding surface. The distinguishing feature of sliding mode is intuitively known by considering the sliding surface. The sliding surface is completely independent of model and disturbance parameters and only dependent on the parameters defining the switching surface. Therefore, robustness against parametric uncertainties, bounded external disturbances and noise effects is achieved. The sliding surface defined in (4.3) is of lower order than the original system defined by (4.1) and hence a reduced order system can be achieved in sliding mode control. This is certainly useful for a large order practical system. Consider general system represented by (4.1). The switching is carried out using the logic  $u = u_0$  if  $s > 0$  such

that the state trajectory reaches the switching surface  $s$  in finite time. The

25

controllable canonical form for the given system is represented as  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$ ; 4.7  $x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T$ ,  $A = [a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1n}; a_{21}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{2n}; \dots; a_{n1}, a_{n2}, \dots, a_{nn}]$ ,  $B = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n]^T$  19 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems The switching surface where discontinuities are present is designed as  $s = c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \dots + c_n x_n = 0$  4.8 where  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  are the design constants. If the state trajectories are directed towards the above designed switching surface, then we can say that sliding mode exists. To obtain the sliding mode equation, we solve the (4.8) for  $x_n$  and substitute back in (4.7).

$x_i = \frac{-1}{c_i} (c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \dots + c_{n-1} x_{n-1} + c_n x_n)$

70

i1 On analysis of the above set of equations, some important properties can be listed. (i) The

sliding mode equation is of reduced order in comparison with the

9

general system. (ii) The sliding mode behavior is independent of model parameters and bounded disturbance present in the system. This is a distinguishing feature called the 'invariance' property of sliding mode control. The external disturbances have to satisfy matching conditions so that invariant property is valid. This is explained by considering a general system  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bx + f(x, t)$

where  $A$  and  $f(x, t)$  are the modeling error and external disturbance respectively. If

4

there is a

perturbation in input matrix, then

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{R}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, u \in \mathbb{R}^m, x(k, t)$  where  $k$  is an uncertain parameter.

4

From the definition of invariance property, it has been shown that [7] A

$x(k, t) \in B$  for certain  $A, B$

19

and  $f$ . From Fig. 4.3 and 4.4, we can observe that there is a

finite time which the phase trajectory traverses to reach the

109

sliding surface. The phase trajectory can be divided into two modes based on the reaching the sliding surface as Reaching mode and Sliding mode. Reaching mode can be defined as the mode where the phase trajectory moves from any point defined by the initial condition of the given system to the sliding surface. The time taken by the reaching mode is termed as the reaching time which is finite in sliding mode. In this mode, the state trajectory depends on the model parameters. The second part of the phase trajectory is the mode where the state trajectory moves towards the equilibrium point after reaching the sliding surface. This mode is called the Sliding Mode where the system dynamics 20 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems are independent on model parameters and bounded disturbances. Fig. 4.6 explains the two modes where  $x_0$  is the initial condition with the origin as the equilibrium point. The discontinuous control added results in chattering behavior in case of sliding mode. The effects and remedial measures for chattering in sliding mode are elaborately covered in the sections to follow.  $x$  Reaching Mode Sliding Mode  $x_0$   $x$   $s_0$  Figure 4.6: Schematic diagram of sliding mode behavior

#### 4.2.2 Realization of Reaching Condition

In Sliding Mode Control design, the system should have a finite reaching time with respect to any initial condition. This can be specified by using any of the methods described below (a) Direct Switching A local reaching condition with finite reaching time was proposed in [6] by Utkin. According to this method,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s^{-1} \dot{s} > 0$ ;  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s^{-1} \ddot{s} > 0$  According to [1, 6], the above pair of inequality is the sufficient condition for a sliding mode to exist. But to realize in practice, we require solving  $2m$  conditional inequalities even though fixed order switching scheme is adopted. (b) Lyapunov Function In this method, a positive definite differentiable function  $V$  is chosen such that  $\dot{V} < 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ . Then the origin is said to be stable. For asymptotic stability,  $V \rightarrow 0$  for  $x \rightarrow 0$ . In our case, we choose the Lyapunov function as  $V(x, t) = s^2$ . The conditions to obtain asymptotic stability are (a)  $V > 0$  for  $s \neq 0$  (b)  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \dot{V} < 0$ . The advantage of using Lyapunov function approach for determining stability is that there is no requirement of explicit solution for a given differential equation. If we choose a positive definite differentiable function  $V$  with a decreasing total time derivative along the phase trajectories, then the

equilibrium point is said to be stable. This method is

99

rigorously used in the sliding mode examples to calculate the gain parameter. (c) Reaching Law The switching dynamics is specified by explicitly defining the switching function. Let the switching function be defined as  $s = A\dot{x} + Bx$  (4.12) where A and B are positive diagonal matrices. (4.12) is called the reaching law where the scalar function  $f_i$  satisfies

$f_i \leq 0$ ;  $f_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  where m is the

63

number of inputs. Several variants of reaching law can be derived from (4.12) (i)

Constant rate reaching law:  $\dot{s} = -\lambda s$  (ii) Constant plus proportional rate reaching law:  $\dot{s} = -\lambda s - \eta \text{sgn}(s)$

58

Asign  $s = Bx$  (iii) Power rate reaching law:  $\dot{s} = -\lambda |s|^{1-\alpha} \text{sgn}(s)$  where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ;  $i = 1$  to m This method minimizes the chattering [Hung] but the elements of A and B have to be calculated. 4.2.3 Switching Schemes th m1 Let us consider n order system with m inputs. As described in [7], there can be 2 switching surfaces possible. Consequently there will be equal number of sliding modes possible because one differential equation corresponds to one switching surface. There can be a number of ways in which the state trajectory traverses through these sliding modes which shall be referred to as switching schemes. 22 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems The various switching schemes available are - (a) Fixed order Switching Scheme: The state traversal corresponds to a pre-assigned path of the sliding mode. In other words, there is progressive movement of sliding modes from lower to higher dimension. For a general system defined earlier, the sliding mode moves from n-1 dimension to n-m dimension. We can conclude that this approach is hierarchical with large requirement of control gain and poor sliding mode response. (b)

Free-Order Switching Scheme: Unlike the previous scheme, the

4

sliding mode follows a natural path with

first reach first switch scheme. As the path followed by the

4

sliding mode depends on the reaching mode, the switching instants are dependent on the initial condition. Eventually, we achieve better switching scheme when compared to the earlier scheme. In practice, we use this scheme if the system has less number of inputs.

(c) Eventual Sliding Mode Switching Scheme: The primary goal of

4

this switching scheme is to drive the initial state to the final state defined by the eventual sliding surface with a dimension of (n-m). This scheme does not guarantee a good transient response but the application of

control is simple.

(d) Decentralized Switching Scheme: In this scheme, the entire system is

4

subdivided into  $m$  single input coupled subsystems with scalar gain associated to each sliding mode. This is primarily helpful for large scale systems with large number of inputs. 4.2.4 Solution and Uniqueness of Sliding Mode equations

In general the design procedure for Sliding Mode Control can be

65

summarized as (1) Design the switching surface with appropriate values of  $c_i$  (2) Addition of discontinuous control

such that the state trajectories are directed towards the switching

9

surface for every point on the surface. (3) Design a control signal to so

that the state trajectory reaches the designed switching surface in finite amount of time. The above procedure of

25

representing the general system in controllable canonical form is valid for linear systems. A set of differential equations necessitates that the right hand side functions satisfy

Lipschitz condition  $f(x_1, x_2) \leq L \|x_1 - x_2\|$  ;  $L > 0$  ;  $x_1, x_2$

61

This condition guarantees that the right hand side function does not vary more than some linear

13

function defined by Lipschitz condition. The sliding mode equations are generally nonlinear differential

equations with discontinuous right hand sides which do not satisfy Lipschitz condition. Therefore the existence and uniqueness of

9

sliding mode equations cannot be realized from conventional methods of continuous 23 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems differential equations. But the VSC presumes that the model behaves in a unique way during the sliding mode. The mathematical approaches

to solve the differential equations with discontinuous right hand sides are grouped under regularization methods. The popular regularization methods are explained here. Initially, Flippov suggested a mathematical theory for sliding mode equations which accounts for discontinuous terms. By applying the control law given in (4.14) for a general system given in (4.13), we get  $\dot{x} = f(x, u)$ . Figure 4.7: Schematic diagram of equivalent control  $f_{s0}$  (4.14) where  $f$  and  $f$  are the velocity vectors subject to control  $u$  and  $u$  respectively. The system dynamics at  $s = 0$  can be defined as the average of the two structures given by  $\dot{x} = f_0$  (4.15) where  $0 = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon$

$f(x, u) ; \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f(x, u)$

100

The sliding mode is defined along the

intersection of the line joining the ends of the velocity vector with the

9

tangential plane as shown in Fig. (4.7). A generalized approach for multi-input systems is the 'Equivalent Control' approach which considers continuous control unlike Flippov's approach. In other words, Equivalent control approach shall be used to find the sliding mode equations under ideal conditions. In this approach, the initial condition of the system at  $t = t_0$  is in sliding manifold  $s = 0$  with sliding mode observers for observing the dynamics of nuclear reactor systems mode  $t = t_0$ . The necessary condition is that the

time derivative of the vector  $s = x$  along the system trajectory is

39

equal to zero. The resulting control signal is termed as the equivalent control. On solving for a general equation, we get  $s = 0$  (4.17)

$\dot{x} = f(x, B(x)u) = 0$

92

where  $u_{eq}$  Equivalent control signal For discontinuous systems, we employ auxiliary continuous equivalent control approach. The control signal used in the sliding mode shall consist of both low and high frequency terms. The sliding mode behavior primarily depends on the low or average frequency component. Therefore, the equivalent control excluding the high frequency component is nearly equal to the original control excluding the high frequency terms. It is because of this reason that low pass filters are used in the sliding mode design. The application of first order or second order low pass filters in sliding mode design shall be illustrated in Chapter 6. 4.2.5 Chattering Chattering can be defined as the finite frequency finite amplitude motion in the sliding mode. From Fig 8, we can observe that the motion is discontinuous in sliding mode. The effect of chattering is disastrous when the sliding mode control is realized in actual practice. The primary causes of chattering are the imperfections or non-idealities present in the actual control system and discrete time nature of the simulation carried out. In the switching logic designed earlier for sliding mode control we had assumed that the switching takes place infinitely or in other words the switching delay was considered to be zero. But

in practical systems, it is impossible to switch

infinitely fast between two states. This is because of finite time delays present in the actual system. The possible causes may be time delay in control calculation, hysteresis and other delay causing parameters. These factors cause high frequency oscillatory behavior about the equilibrium point which is commonly termed as chattering. When the switching imperfections described above tend to zero or

if the switching frequency is infinite then we describe the

39

sliding mode as Ideal Sliding Mode. During ideal sliding mode the distinguishing feature of robustness against model uncertainties and bounded disturbances is achieved with steady state error equal to zero. Conversely if non-idealities are present in the system then Real Sliding Mode occurs. In real sliding mode, we consider small vicinity around 25 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems the sliding surface where the sliding mode exists. In general real sliding family may be defined as [18] Definition:

Let  $t, x, t$ , be a family of trajectories, indexed by  $t$ , with common initial condition  $t_0$ ,  $x(t_0)$ , and let  $t \rightarrow t_0$ . Assume that there exists

24

$t_1 > t_0$  such that on every segment  $t, t$ , where  $t$

6

$t$  the function  $t, x, t$ , tends uniformly to zero with  $t$  tending to zero. 1 In that case we call such a family a real sliding family on the constraint  $s = 0$ . We call the motion on the interval  $t_0, t_1$  a transient process, and the motion on the interval  $t_1, \infty$ , a steady state process.

6

An important property of real sliding mode is that it does not increase the sliding order of the system. A serious disadvantage of chattering is that the finite amplitude and frequency can induce unmodeled dynamics in the system and therefore the design control algorithm fails. Hence, significant research for removing or minimizing the chattering behavior is discussed in [26]. In this section we consider a few approaches (a) Boundary Layer approach The control law in case of ideal relay control approach is defined as  $u = +1 \text{ s} > 0, -1 \text{ s} < 0$ . From (4.18), we can infer that there is a discontinuity at  $s = 0$ . The control is ideal because the switching is instant at  $s = 0$  which is impossible to achieve for a practical system. Therefore the relay control introduces

chattering in the sliding mode. To minimize the

4

chattering, we introduce

boundary layer around the switching surface

4

which approximates the switching process. In other words, we approximate a discontinuity by a continuous smooth function and thereby minimize the chattering effect. In actual practice we employ an ideal saturation function defined in (4.19)  $s u s sat s s$ . Another example of a smooth function is a sigmoid function given in (4.20)  $s 4.20 sigm s s$  where  $\epsilon$  is a small positive scalar. There is an inherent loss of robustness due to implementation of boundary layer approach. The

robustness of the system is a function of boundary layer width

35

. 26 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Let us illustrate this approach by considering an

example. Consider a dynamic system given by  $\dot{x}_1 \dot{x}_2$

110

4.21

$\dot{x}_2 = u - f(x_1, x_2, t)$  where  $u$  Control signal  $f(x_1, x_2$

17

,  $t$  Bounded disturbance Let the bounded disturbance be  $f(x_1, x_2, t) = \sin 2t$ . The sliding surface is designed as  $s = x_2 - c x_1$ ;  $c > 0$ . To achieve asymptotic convergence, we employ Lyapunov's stability approach discussed earlier. The control input required for asymptotic convergence is given by  $u = c x_1 \text{sign}(s)$  4.22 where 1 Control gain (a) (b) Figure 4.8: An example illustrating SMC. Fig. 4.8(a) represents state variation to an equilibrium value using signum function. Fig. 4.8(b) represents state variation to an equilibrium value using sigmoid function 27 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of

Nuclear Reactor Systems The state variation of the given system is given in

83

Fig. 4.8. A control signal defined in (4.22) is initially applied. The corresponding state variation is shown in Fig. 4.8(a). By replacing the signum function in (4.22) by a smooth sigmoid function defined in (4.20), the resulting state response for the same dynamic system is shown in Fig. 4.8(b) We can clearly observe that presence of chattering in Fig. 4.8(a) by using signum function, whereas the chattering is minimized in Fig 4.8(b) by using sigmoid function and thus illustrating boundary layered approach. On comparison of both the results shown in Fig. 4.8, we infer that there is loss of robustness in terms of delay in asymptotic convergence due to application of smooth continuous control. (b)

Higher Order Sliding Mode (HOSM) The usage of Higher Order Sliding

21

Modes to minimize chattering was first proposed in [18]. This further requires



that higher order derivatives of the sliding

111

surface be available which is not possible in practical control system. Therefore it necessitates the usage of robust exact differentiator to estimate the

derivatives of the sliding variable. Some of the popular second order sliding

80

algorithms like Twisting algorithm, Super Twisting algorithm are discussed in Chapter 7. (c) Integration time One of the possible reasons for chattering behavior in

sliding mode is the discrete time nature of the

115

simulation. By decreasing the integration step time, we can approximate correctly to a continuous function. Hence we achieve minimal chattering. This method requires that the control system should have low sampling interval which further affect the economic prospects of the plant. 28 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Chapter 5 Observers Modern Control theory analysis is based on state variable approach. The advantages of state variable approach over the traditional methods are detailed in [5]. Again let us consider a general system given in (4.1). We shall rewrite the equation for convenience.  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$  5.1 where the terms are described in section 4.1. 5.1 Introduction to Observer The requirement of an entire state vector for a linear state feedback controller was a limitation to obtain the output. The conventional techniques like quadrature minimization, eigenvalues placement could not calculate the output vector if some of the inputs were not available. In most of the practical complex control situations, there are no sensors to actually measure the desired parameters and hence the entire state vector is unavailable. The placement of sensors in the practical system is dependent on space availability, economic consideration, mechanical and electrical characteristics, non-availability of specific sensors and many more. Therefore there is a need to either develop a new approach which considers non availability of state vector or approximate the state vector and find the input vector. The latter method is preferred over the other [14]. In [34], a new class of systems are developed where

by designing a static output feedback controller.

59

Output feedback controller is applicable to limited number of

59

systems and its discussion is out of scope of this thesis. Hence we have to estimate the

state vector and the device which reconstructs the unknown/unavailable state vector is called an 'Observer'. The

29

output of the plant is sensed by the sensor. Due to the reasons mentioned earlier regarding the placement of sensors, we are able to measure only some of the parameters out of an entire set. 5.2 Luenberger

Observer Let us consider a simple observer

with the observer model similar to the system model. Let the observer model

98

be represented as  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu - y$  where  $x$  is the estimate of  $x$ . From the Fig. 5.1 it is simple enough to conclude that we obtain a satisfactory response if the initial conditions  $x(0)$  and  $x_0$  are matched. This estimator is popularly called as 'Open Loop Estimator'. For an open loop estimator if the initial conditions are not equal, then there is continuous steady state error and the estimator will tend to the actual model after a long period of time. If disturbances present, then the estimator will diverge from the actual model. To solve the above set of problems, we employ a simple feedback path with the difference between measured and model value. This closed loop observer was first proposed by Luenberger [5] and is popularly called the "Luenberger Observer". A schematic of Luenberger observer is shown in Fig. 5.2. From the Fig. 5.2, we can infer that an observer uses both system input and the known output from the system. The designed observer has to estimate all the states of the original system. In other words we need to make the

error between the measured value and the estimated value

48

tends to zero in a finite time. It is evident from Fig. 5.3 that the output equation is  $y = Cx$ . Let the output  $y$  be  $l$  dimensional. For a linear time invariant system,  $C$  is a constant.

We assume that the pair  $A, C$  is observable

22

and  $A, B$  to be controllable. To design a Luenberger observer, we consider the observer model with an additional term similar to a system model. The additional term that is considered is the error between the measured and estimated values multiplied by an input matrix  $L$ . The estimated state vector is given by  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu + L(y - Cx)$ . The error with respect to measurable parameter is given by  $e = x - \hat{x}$ . Now the entire observer can be represented by a general expression given in (5.3). The corresponding expression is represented in Fig. 5.3. On subtracting (5.2) from (5.1), we obtain the motion equation given by  $\dot{e} = (A - LC)e$ . Figure 5.3: Detailed observer structure of a full state Luenberger observer. The characteristic equation for the error is given by  $sI - (A - LC) = 0$ . If we choose the parameters of  $L$  such that  $A - LC$  has stable roots, then  $e$  will decay to 0 in a finite time which is independent of initial condition of the system. The dynamics of the error can be varied by the designer unlike the open loop observer.

The system matrix and the input matrix of the observer and the

32

plant have to be equal. If there is a parametric variation, then the (5.4) is not valid. However we can choose  $L$  such that the system error is below the specified limit. In general, Luenberger observer described earlier

cannot handle parametric uncertainties and bounded disturbances present in the system. In addition, Luenberger observer is valid only for linear systems. The important step

in the design of Luenberger observer is the calculation of observer

42

input matrix  $L$ . This calculation is similar to the eigenvalues placement technique employed in feedback control design. We do not describe this method because of its lengthy mathematical procedure in case of practical large order systems. Nevertheless we describe a companion form realization which is the most popular method. Let us consider a general system represented by the transfer function  $Y(s) = \frac{b_0 s^n + b_1 s^{n-1} + \dots + b_{n-1}}{s^n + a_1 s^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1}}$ . The above system

can be represented in companion form as  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$

51

$y = Cx$  where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -a_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -a_2 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_n \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $C = \begin{bmatrix} b_0 & b_1 & \dots & b_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$

$A = \begin{bmatrix} -a_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -a_2 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_n \end{bmatrix}$ ;  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ;  $C = \begin{bmatrix} b_0 & b_1 & \dots & b_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$

71

... We can conclude

that the pair  $(A, C)$  is completely observable

26

and therefore this companion form is referred to as observable canonical form. The evaluation of observer error matrix can be calculated easily by inspection. The observer error matrix is given by  $e = (sI - A + LC)^{-1} L$ . By solving the above equation, we can obtain the observer gains and hence the observer input matrix. 5.2.2 Design of Reduced State Luenberger Observer In the previous section, we elaborately covered the full state observer. An estimation of all the states of the system was carried out. In practice, there are some states available from direct measurement. In case of noisy measurement channel, robust noise filtering techniques can be employed. Therefore the focus can be shifted to the states which are not available from measuring devices. The cost of designing a full state observer can be minimized by designing a state observer estimating less number of states. This observer is prominently called a reduced

state observer. The design of a reduced state observer

42

is illustrated in this section. Let us assume that only one state is available from measurement. The state vector is partitioned into two where  $x_1$  represents the measured state and  $x_e$  represents the states to be estimated. Now, the system can be represented as  $\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_e \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{1e} \\ a_{e1} & a_{ee} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_e \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_e \end{bmatrix} u$ . The system dynamics of unmeasured state variables are given by  $\dot{x}_e = a_{ee} x_e + a_{e1} x_1 + b_e u$  where the bracket term represent the known input for estimation of state variables. Similarly for the measured state variable  $y = a_{11} x_1 + a_{1e} x_e + b_1 u$ .

Reactor Systems On rearranging the terms, we get  $\dot{x} = (A - L_1 C)x + (B - L_1 D)u + L_1 y$  where the left hand side is known from the measurement. By comparing (5.6), (5.8) and (5.9) we conclude that both the (5.6) and (5.8) have the same state  $x_e$ . The following replacement has to be carried out in the original observer equations to obtain reduced order observer  $\dot{x}_e = (A - L_1 C)x_e + (B - L_1 D)u + L_1 y$ . On substitution the above replacements in (5.3)  $\dot{x}_e = (A - L_1 C)x_e + (B - L_1 D)u + L_1 y$  or  $\dot{x}_e = (A - L_1 C)x_e + (B - L_1 D)u + L_1 y$ . 5.9 Let  $x_e = x - \hat{x}$  be the estimation error. Then the estimation error dynamics is given by  $\dot{x}_e = (A - L_1 C)x_e + (B - L_1 D)u + L_1 y$ . 5.10 The characteristic equation of error is given by  $sI - (A - L_1 C) = 0$ . Usage of Ackermann's formula [16] gives the observer gains of the reduced order observer. Some implementation problems are associated with reduced order observer. From (5.9) we see that this observer requires derivative of the output of the system as one of the input. It is well known fact that differentiation amplifies noise. Therefore if noise is present in the output of the system which is very common in practical systems, then this reduced observer does not give satisfactory results. To solve this difficulty, we define a new state as  $\hat{x}_e = x - \hat{x}$ . 5.11 Substituting the newly defined state in (5.9), we get  $\dot{\hat{x}}_e = (A - L_1 C)\hat{x}_e + (B - L_1 D)u + L_1 y$ . 5.12 The above equation is

represented in block diagram form as shown in the Fig.

84

5.4. 34 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Figure 5.4: Schematic diagram of a reduced order observer with only one measurable state 5.3 Sliding Mode Observer (SMO) The potential advantages of sliding mode control were successfully utilized in various applications related to aircraft, robot and motor systems [7, 29]. With the development of observer gaining popularity, a need to develop a robust observer was utmost essential. Sliding Mode Observer was designed by considering the distinguishing features of sliding mode. The invariance property of sliding mode was indeed beneficial in designing an observer. 5.3.1 Design of sliding mode observer Let us consider a system defined by the general equation  $\dot{x}_1 = x_2$ ,  $\dot{x}_2 = f(x_1, x_2, t)$ . Let us assume that only one state is measurable.  $y = x_1$  where  $x_1, x_2$  States of the system  $y$  Output

state of the system 35 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of

4

Nuclear Reactor Systems The function  $f(x_1, x_2, t)$  is bounded by a Lipschitz constant. Mathematically we can express the bound by  $|f(x_1, x_2, t) - f(x_1', x_2', t)| \leq L|x_1 - x_1'| + L|x_2 - x_2'|$  where  $L$  Lipschitz constant. The formulation of SMO can be carried out as  $\dot{x}_1 = x_2$ ,  $\dot{x}_2 = f(x_1, x_2, t) - \text{sign}(e_2)$ . 5.14  $\dot{x}_2 = f(x_1, x_2, t) - \text{sign}(e_2)$ . The output of an observer is given by  $\hat{x}_1 = x_1$  where  $e_1, e_2$  Observer gain We note that from the above set of equations, a discontinuous control is added. This discontinuous control is a function of the

error between the actual value and the measured value. The

48

only measurable quantity among the two states is represented by  $y$ . Mathematically the discontinuous control is a signum function given in (4.18). We denote the error  $e_1$  as  $e_1 = x_1 - \hat{x}_1$  and similarly for another state we define  $e_2 = x_2 - \hat{x}_2$ . 5.15 By subtracting (5.14) from (5.13), we can obtain the error dynamics as  $\dot{e}_1 = e_2$  and  $\dot{e}_2 = -\text{sign}(e_2)$ . 5.15 and  $e_2$

$f(x_1, x_2, t)$ ,  $f(x_1, x_2, t)$

sign  $e_2^2$ . As  $e_2$  is used in the discontinuous control, its calculation is explained subsequently. Let us consider a sliding surface given by  $s = e_1 + e_2$ . Let us define a Lyapunov function as  $V = \frac{1}{2} e_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} e_2^2$ . For asymptotic stability, we need to satisfy the condition where the

time derivative of Lyapunov function is always negative. Also the magnitude of

9

Lyapunov function should tend to infinity as the sliding surface tends to infinity. Mathematically,  $V \rightarrow \infty$  for  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . To achieve asymptotic stability we consider the first condition. Nevertheless the second condition is always satisfied and hence is not considered for analysis. The time derivative of Lyapunov function is given by  $\dot{V} = e_1 \dot{e}_1 + e_2 \dot{e}_2$ . By substituting (5.15) in the above equation, we get  $\dot{V} = e_1(-\lambda_1 e_1) + e_2(-\lambda_2 e_2)$ . For the above expression to be negative definite, we can conveniently choose  $\lambda_1 > 0$  and  $\lambda_2 > 0$ . In other words, to achieve stability of the designed sliding surface, we can choose the observer gain as per (5.18). Continuing the above analysis, the error vector  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  tend to zero in finite time. On achieving the sliding surface in finite time, we can define the equivalent value of the first observer. The equivalent value is written as  $e_1 = -e_2$  which is the error vector calculated using equivalent control approach. In practical case, to achieve this approach we employ low pass filter to filter out the high frequency terms. These high frequency terms usually arise from the unmodeled system dynamics, actuator and sensor dynamics, unmatched disturbances present in the system. To remove the chattering behavior produced, equivalent control approach is suitable. Let us consider the Lyapunov function similar to (5.17) and by substituting (5.16) in

time derivative of Lyapunov function, we obtain  $\dot{V} = -\lambda_1 e_1^2 - \lambda_2 e_2^2$

9

$e_2$

$f(x_1, x_2, t) = \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2$

20

sign  $e_2^2$ . By inspection, we can achieve asymptotic stability  $V \rightarrow 0$  by considering  $\lambda_1 > 0$  and  $\lambda_2 > 0$ .

$f(x_1, x_2, t) = \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2$ .

77

19 The observer gains  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are selected by satisfying (5.18) and (5.19) to achieve finite time convergence. The application of this design is used throughout the entire thesis. We focus on the application of this design to nuclear reactor system. The point reactor kinetic model is introduced in the next chapter which serves as the basic model for analysis. 5.4 Concluding Remarks From the discussion carried out in section 5.2, we conclude that Luenberger observer is a linear observer and is not robust for parametric variations. We need to design an observer for handling practical noisy data. An attempt has been made in this thesis to present a novel type of observer overcoming the limitations mentioned earlier. This observer is presented elaborately by suitable application of the previously discussed theories. The observer designed using the

sliding mode theory is known as the

sliding mode observer. In the following section, we introduce sliding mode observer

93

and illustrate the design. The benefits of this observer in nuclear reactor system dynamics is highlighted in the forthcoming chapters. 37 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Chapter 6 Application of Sliding Mode Observer in Nuclear Reactor System Dynamics Sliding Mode observer is essentially a reduced state observer which possesses remarkable feature to handle in variation in plant parameters. In nuclear reactor system, there are many states which cannot be measured directly with the help of instruments. The limitation of placing a sensor in an actual plant, economic considerations, non-availability of any sensor to record the measurement accurately are some of the reasons where the observer is essential. To design a robust observer accounting for parametric variations and matched disturbances, we conveniently use sliding mode observer. We divide this chapter into two where the first section concentrates on the state estimation. A specific application of

sliding mode observer for fault detection in nuclear and

51

non-nuclear parts of a nuclear reactor is considered in the latter part of the section. 6.1 State Estimation of a Nuclear Reactor System using

Sliding Mode Observer In this section, we introduce a

31

step by step design procedure to estimate a set of states with any one or more number of states which are directly measurable. A simple sliding surface is designed to achieve finite time convergence on the surface. Let us again consider point reactor kinetic model defined in (3.4), (3.5). We rewrite the equations for convenience. 
$$\frac{dP}{dt} = \beta - \lambda P$$

$$\frac{dC_i}{dt} = \lambda_i C_i - \beta_i P$$

87

The parameters in the above set of equations are already defined in section 3.1. Practically, we can only measure reactor power. The other parameters are estimated by knowing the reactor power. In other words, we have to design

a sliding mode observer to observe the

31

delayed neutron precursor concentration, reactivity and neutron source. We shall present each one of the cases subsequently. 6.1.1 Estimation of

Delayed Neutron Precursor Concentration (i) Single Group Delayed Neutron

81

Let us simplify (3.4) by assuming a single group of delayed neutron precursors instead of a six group model. Let us assume that there is no

presence of independent neutron source present in the reactor system. By applying the

47

above set of conditions, the point reactor model defined in (3.4) and (3.5) reduces to 38 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems 6.1 P PC 6.2 C PC Let us follow the design procedure carried out for a general system in section 5.3. The sliding mode observer for a system model can be designed as 6.3 P C 1sign e1 ^ P ^^ 6.4 C P C^ where P, C Estimates of P, C ^ We again note the addition of discontinuous control function which is dependent on the error vector of the measured variable. ^ Let the error vector be defined as e1 P P and similarly for delayed neutron precursor ^ e2 C C . Unlike the design procedure explained earlier, we do not employ equivalent control approach here. This is because the error vector corresponding to delayed neutron precursor tends to zero as time elapses. Therefore we need to define only one Lyapunov function to achieve stability of sliding surface. The error dynamics is given by 6.5 e1 e1 e2 1sign e1 Lyapunov function can be defined similar to (5.17). By using the condition for asymptotic stability we use the time derivative of Lyapunov function. 6.6 V e1 e1 e2 1sign e1 For (6.6) to satisfy V 0 , we need to choose the observer gain as 6.7 1 max e1 e2 39 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Simulation Results Let us carry out a simulation in which we estimate the delayed neutron precursor concentration. Let us consider reactivity transient given by 0.1 sin 3.142t . For an observer let the reactivity transient 0.2 sin 2.5t is used. Fig. 6.1 shows the difference in the input ^ reactivity variation with respect to PRK model and an observer. By considering parametric variation with the initial condition P 0 0.5 and C 0 P 0 , the analysis is carried out. The data table used for calculation is given in Table 3.1. In this simulation, we have replaced the discontinuous control given by signum function by a smooth function called the saturation function defined in (4.19).

Fig.6. 2 (a) shows the time response of

10

a measured state variable. The measured state variable which is the reactor power is having a finite reaching time with asymptotic stability. Figure 6.1: Input Reactivity variation for the point reactor kinetics model and an observer for estimation of

single group delayed neutron precursor concentration The

32

estimation

of delayed neutron precursor concentration is

32

shown in Fig. 6.2(b). It is easily noted that there is a finite reaching time to a designed sliding surface even in the presence of input parameter mismatch. The convergence to the desired state can be altered by variation in the

decay constant of the delayed neutron precursor group

23

or by variation in the observer gain. This variation is clearly shown in the coming sections. The observer gain is calculated by using the expression given in (6.7). 40 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (a) (b) Figure 6.2: Estimation of single group delayed neutron concentration using SMO. Fig. 6.2(a) represents the estimation of reactor power with respect to PRK model. Fig. 6.2(b) shows the estimation of delayed neutron precursor concentration using SMO (ii) Multi group delayed neutron precursor By extending the above analysis to a six group delayed neutron precursors, we consider the point reactor kinetic model given in (3.4). Let us assume that there is no

presence of independent neutron source present in the reactor system. By applying the

47

above set of conditions, the point reactor model reduces to 6.8  $\dot{P} = \rho - \beta P + \lambda C_1$  6.9  $\dot{C}_i = -\lambda C_i + \beta_i P$  41 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Let us follow the design procedure carried out for a general system in section 5.3. The sliding mode observer for a system model can be designed as 6.10  $\hat{P} = P + \lambda_1 \text{sign}(e_1)$  6.11  $\hat{C}_i = C_i + \lambda_i \text{sign}(e_i)$  where  $P, C$  Estimates of  $P, C$ . We again note the addition of discontinuous control function which is dependent on the error vector of the measured variable. Let the error vector be defined as  $e_1 = P - \hat{P}$  and similarly for delayed neutron precursor concentration  $e_i = C_i - \hat{C}_i ; i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ . In this case also, we do not employ equivalent control approach. This is because the error vector corresponding to delayed neutron precursor tends to zero as the time elapses. A Lyapunov function is defined to calculate the observer gain. In addition, by applying the Lyapunov condition, we calculate the stability with respect to sliding surface. The error dynamics is given by 6.12  $\dot{e}_1 = -\lambda_1 \text{sign}(e_1)$  6.13  $\dot{e}_i = -\lambda_i \text{sign}(e_i)$  Lyapunov function can be defined similar to (5.17). By using the condition for asymptotic stability we use the time derivative of Lyapunov function. 6.14  $\lambda_i > \max |e_i|$  Simulation Results Let us carry out a simulation in which we estimate the delayed neutron precursor concentration. In all the cases, we have replaced the discontinuous control given by sign function by a smooth function called the saturation function defined in (4.19). 42 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Case 1: Let us consider reactivity transient for a PRK model given by  $0.003 \sin 3.142t$ . For an observer let the reactivity transient  $0.002 \sin 2.5t$  be used. Fig. 6.3 shows the reactivity transient for the model and an observer. We can easily infer that the input parameter to a point reactor kinetic model is different. By considering parametric variation with the initial condition  $P(0) = 0.5$  and  $C_i(0) = 0 ; i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ , the analysis is carried out. The data table used for calculation is given in Table 3.1

Fig. 6. 4 (a) shows the time response of

10

a measured state variable. The measured state variable which is the reactor power is having a finite reaching time with asymptotic stability. Figure 6.3: Input Reactivity variation for the point reactor kinetics



model and an observer for estimation of six group delayed neutron precursor concentration 43 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (a) (b) Figure 6.4: Estimation of six group delayed neutron concentration using SMO under input uncertainty. Fig. 6.4(a) represents the estimation of reactor power with respect to PRK model. Fig. 6.4(b) shows the estimation of delayed neutron precursor concentration using SMO 44 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing

the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems The estimation of delayed neutron

49

precursor concentration of all the groups is shown in Fig. 6.4 (b). It is easily noted that for all the groups there is a finite reaching time to a designed sliding surface even in the presence of input parameter mismatch. The convergence to the desired state can be altered by variation in the

decay constant of the delayed neutron precursor group

23

or by variation in the observer gain. This variation is clearly shown in the coming sections. Case 2: The input reactivity mismatch with respect to model and an observer is shown in Fig. 6.1. The variation of a delayed neutron fraction with time is also considered as  $0.007 \cdot 1.3 \cdot 10^5 \cdot t \cdot 0.005 \cdot 0.0065$  otherwise By considering input and model parametric variation with the initial condition  $P(0) = 0.5$  and  $C_i(0) = P(0) ; i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ , the analysis is carried out. The data table used for calculation  $i$  is given in Table 3.1.

Fig. 6. 5 (a) shows the time response of

10

a measured state variable. The measured state variable which is the reactor power is having a finite reaching time with asymptotic stability. The estimation of delayed neutron precursor concentration of all the groups is shown in Fig. 6.5(b) It is easily noted that for all the groups there is a finite reaching time to a designed sliding surface

even in the presence of input parameter mismatch and plant parameter variation.

102

Therefore sliding mode observer works as a robust observer under parametric uncertainties which was established theoretically in the earlier chapters. 45 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (a) (b) Figure 6.5: Estimation of six group delayed neutron concentration using SMO under parametric and input uncertainty. Fig. 6.5(a) represents the estimation of reactor power with respect to PRK model. Fig. 6.5(b) shows the estimation of delayed neutron precursor concentration using SMO 46 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Case 3: Let us consider a random reactivity transient given by  $0.003 \cdot \text{rand}(t)$  is used as an input to PRK model. For an observer, again consider a random reactivity transient  $0.003 \cdot \text{rand}(2t)$  is considered. We can easily infer that the input parameter to a point reactor kinetic model is random and hence different. By considering input parametric variation with the initial condition  $P(0) = 0.5$  and  $C_i(0) = P(0) ; i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ , the analysis is carried out. The data table  $i$  used for calculation is given in Table 3.1

a measured state variable. The measured state variable which is the reactor power is having a finite reaching time with asymptotic stability. The estimation of delayed neutron precursor concentration of all the groups is shown in Fig. 6.6 (b) It is easily noted that for all the groups there is a finite reaching time to a designed sliding surface even in the presence of random reactivity input. This is one of the general cases where the sliding mode observer is designed for random input. Case 4: Let us consider an up chirp reactivity transient given by  $0.008 \sin 2t + 0.01 - 0.4t$ . For an observer, a constant reactivity transient 0.008 is considered. Fig. 6.7 shows the reactivity variation which is used for an observer. We can easily infer that there is an input parameter mismatch with respect to the model and an observer. By considering input parametric variation  $i$  with the initial condition  $P(0) = 0.5$  and  $C_i(0) = P(0)$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ , the analysis is carried out. The data table used for calculation is given in Table 3.1.

a measured state variable. The measured state variable which is the reactor power is having a finite reaching time with asymptotic stability. The estimation of delayed neutron precursor concentration of all the groups is shown in Fig. 6.8. From Fig. 6.8, we can observe that the convergence time varies for every delayed precursor group. Considering 5% tolerance with respect to the actual value, settling time is defined as  $4t_{si}$ . Using the settling time expression for all the groups and using the values given in Table 3.1, we get 47 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems  $4t_{s1} = 317$  sec;  $t_{s2} = 132$  sec;  $t_{s3} = 124$  sec;  $t_{s4} = 36$  sec;  $t_{s5} = 13$  sec;  $t_{s6} = 3.5$  sec;  $t_{s7} = 1.3$  sec. The theoretically calculated settling time for all the groups confirms the simulated result shown in Fig. 6.9. As the decay constant of first group is the lowest, therefore we obtain the highest settling time with respect to all other groups. A converse case is valid for the sixth group. This settling time can be decreased by increasing the observer gain. 48 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (a) (b) Figure 6.6: Estimation of six group delayed neutron concentration using SMO under random input. Fig. 6.6(a) represents the estimation of reactor power with respect to PRK model. Fig. 6.6(b) shows the estimation of delayed neutron precursor concentration using SMO. 49 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Figure 6.7: Chirp and constant input reactivity for point reactor kinetics model and an observer for estimation of six group delayed neutron precursor concentration. 6.1.2 Estimation of reactivity Let us consider a six group delayed neutron precursor given in (6.8) and (6.9). We follow the design procedure carried out for a general system in 5.3. In this section,

for reactivity estimation can be designed as  $\hat{P} = P + e_1$  where  $P$  is the reactivity and  $e_1$  is the estimation error. The sliding mode observer gain  $\hat{P}$  is given by  $\hat{P} = P + e_1$  where  $P$  is the reactivity and  $e_1$  is the estimation error. We again note the addition of discontinuous control function which is dependent on the error vector of the measured variable. Let the error vector be defined as  $e = [P - \hat{P}]^T$  and similarly for delayed neutron precursor  $e_i = [C_i - \hat{C}_i]^T$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ . A Lyapunov function is defined to calculate the reactivity observer gain. In addition, by applying the Lyapunov condition, we calculate the stability with respect to sliding surface. The error dynamics is given by 50 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (a)

six group delayed neutron concentration using SMO under input uncertainty. 51 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Figure 6.9: Error in estimation of six group delayed neutron concentration using SMO under input uncertainty.  $\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{e}^{-1} \mathbf{6.17} \mathbf{e}^{-1} \mathbf{dt}$  Lyapunov function can be defined similar to (5.17). By using the condition for asymptotic stability we use the time derivative of Lyapunov function.  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{sign} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{6.18} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{e}^{-1} \mathbf{e}^{-1}$  For achieving Lyapunov stability condition of negative time derivative, we need to choose the reactivity observer gain as  $\max \mathbf{t} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{6.19}$  To obtain the estimated reactivity, we employ equivalent control approach as explained in section 4.2.3. For a practical implementation of equivalent control approach, we employ a low pass filter with a fixed cutoff frequency. When the error defined by  $\mathbf{e}^{-1}$  tends to zero, then  $\mathbf{dt}$  also tends to zero. By using (6.17), we obtain the estimated reactivity as the

low pass filtered value of the discontinuous

18

control. In this case, we have employed

a first order low pass filter represented as

18

52 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems  $\frac{dz}{dt} = \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{t} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{sign} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{e}^{-1} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{6.20} \mathbf{dt} \mathbf{t} \mathbf{z} \mathbf{t}^{\wedge}$  Simulation Results Let us carry out a simulation in which we estimate the reactivity for different cases. In all the cases, we have replaced the discontinuous control given by sign function by a smooth function called the saturation function defined in (4.19). Case 1: Let us consider reactivity transient given by  $0.008 \sin^2 t - 0.01 - 0.4t$ . Fig. 6.7 shows the corresponding reactivity transient. The initial condition  $\mathbf{P} = 0, 0.5$  and  $\mathbf{i} \mathbf{C}_i = 0, \mathbf{P} = 0; i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$  is used. The data table used for calculation is given in Table 3.1 with a cutoff frequency of first order low pass filter as 100 Hz. Fig. 6.10 shows that the reactivity is estimated corresponding to the input reactivity variation. Figure 6.10: Estimation of chirp input reactivity variation using SMO. 53 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Case 2: Let us consider an arbitrary reactivity input given by  $0.00065 \cdot 2 \cdot 10^6 \cdot t - 1.2 \cdot t - 50 \cdot 0.00065 \cdot 2 \cdot 10^6 \cdot t - 6 \cdot 1.2$  otherwise  $\mathbf{i}$  The initial condition  $\mathbf{P} = 0, 0.5$  and  $\mathbf{C}_i = 0, \mathbf{P} = 0; i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$  is used. The data table  $\mathbf{i}$  used for calculation is given in Table 3.1 with a cutoff frequency of first order low pass filter as 100 Hz. Fig. 6.11 shows that the reactivity is estimated with faster convergence time even in case of arbitrary input. Therefore we can conclude that sliding mode observer can be effectively used to observe the reactivity behavior. Figure 6.11: Estimation of arbitrary input reactivity variation using SMO. 54 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems 6.1.3 Estimation of Neutron Source Let us consider a six group delayed neutron precursor in case of a point reactor kinetic model. In this case, we have to consider the effect of independent neutron source. By using the general point kinetic reactor model given in (3.4), we get  $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{C}_i \mathbf{S} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{C}_i \mathbf{i} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{C}_i$  In this section, we need to estimate the neutron source  $\mathbf{S}$ . This estimation is important for low power reactors where the reactor startup is carried out by using independent or primary neutron source. Let us follow the design procedure carried out for a general system in section 5.3. The sliding mode observer for independent neutron source estimation can be formulated as  $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{sign} \mathbf{e}^{-1} \mathbf{6} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{6.21} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{C}_i \mathbf{i} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{C}_i \mathbf{6.22}$  where  $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C}$  Estimates of  $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C}$   $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{r}$  Reactivity observer gain We again note the addition of discontinuous control function which is dependent on the error vector of the measured variable which is the

reactor power. Let the error vector be defined as  $e = P - \hat{P}$  and similarly for delayed neutron precursor  $e_i = C_i - \hat{C}_i$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ . A Lyapunov function is defined to calculate the source observer gain. In addition, by applying the Lyapunov condition, we calculate the stability with respect to sliding surface. The error dynamics is given by  $\frac{de}{dt} = -S \text{sign}(e)$  6.23 Lyapunov function can be defined similar to (5.17). By using the condition for asymptotic stability we use the time derivative of Lyapunov function. 55 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems  $V = e^T S \text{sign}(e)$  6.24 For achieving Lyapunov stability condition, we need to choose the reactivity observer gain as  $r = \max(e^T S)$  6.25 To obtain the estimated external neutron source, we employ equivalent control approach as explained in section 4.2.3. For a practical implementation of equivalent control approach, we employ a low pass filter with a fixed cutoff frequency. When the error defined by  $e$  tends to zero, then also  $\hat{e}$  tends to zero. By using (6.23), we obtain the estimated reactivity as the low dt

pass filtered value of the discontinuous

18

control. In this case, we have employed

a first order low pass filter represented as  $\frac{dz}{dt} = z - t$

18

$\text{sign}(e)$  6.26  $\frac{d\hat{e}}{dt} = S \text{sign}(e)$  ^ Simulation Results Let us carry out a simulation in which we estimate the external neutron source. In this simulation, we have replaced the discontinuous control given by sign function by a smooth function called the saturation function defined (4.15). Let us consider neutron source variation with respect to time as  $1 - t^3$  S 2 otherwise This neutron source variation is shown in Fig. 6.12. The initial condition  $P(0) = 0.5$  and  $C_i(0) = P(0)$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$  is used. The data table used for calculation is given in Table i 3.1 with a cutoff frequency of first order low pass filter as 100 Hz. By employing equivalent control approach, the independent neutron source estimation is carried out. We observe from Fig. 6.12 that finite reaching time with asymptotic stability is achieved. 56 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Figure 6.12: Estimation of neutron source using SMO 6.1.4 Estimation of Xenon and Iodine Concentration Xenon-135(Xe-135) is the one of the

most important fission product poison and has a tremendous impact on the operation of a thermal nuclear reactor.

15

Although there are many fission products like Sm-149, I-135, Xe-135 which have influence on the transient behaviour of reactor power. Xe-135 outcores the rest with its large thermal neutron capture cross section nearing to  $2.6 \times 10^6$  barn. Chernick [3] provided an elaborate analysis

of the dynamics of a nuclear reactor including xenon transients. The

43

expression of flux in such a reactor is given by  $X$  6.27  $X$  cf The dynamics of iodine and xenon are called as the rate equation. The iodine concentration at any time is given by  $I(t) = I_0 e^{-\lambda t} + \frac{S}{\lambda} (1 - e^{-\lambda t})$  6.28 Where the first term indicates the production of iodine and the second term indicates the decay of iodine over a period of time. Xenon is one of the decay products in the decay chain of Iodine. Therefore, xenon concentration depends

on the iodine concentration at any point of time.  $\lambda_{I135}$  Macroscopic fission cross section  $\Sigma_f$  Microscopic cross section  $\sigma_f$  (6.27), (6.28) and (6.29, flux is the only measurable quantity. Therefore  $\phi$  6.30 Following the design procedure carried out for a general system in section 5.3, the sliding mode observer for estimation of iodine and xenon concentration can be formulated as

Xenon  $\lambda_{X135}$  fission yield  $\beta$  Iodine  $\lambda_{I131}$  fission yield  $\beta$  Xenon  $\lambda_{X135}$  decay

15

rate  $\lambda_{I131}$  decay rate  $\lambda_{X135}$  Macroscopic fission cross section  $\Sigma_f$  Microscopic cross section  $\sigma_f$  In (6.27), (6.28) and (6.29, flux is the only measurable quantity. Therefore  $\phi$  6.30 Following the design procedure carried out for a general system in section 5.3, the sliding mode observer for estimation of iodine and xenon concentration can be formulated as

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = A\hat{x} + b\phi - K(y - \hat{y})$$

where  $\hat{x}$ ,  $\hat{y}$ ,  $K$  Estimates of  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $K$  Observer gain We again note the addition of discontinuous control function which is dependent on the error  $e$  vector of the measured variable. Let the error vector be defined as  $e$ . For iodine and  $\hat{x}$  xenon the error vectors are  $e_2$   $I$  and  $e_3$   $X$  respectively. A Lyapunov function is defined to calculate the constant observer gain. In addition, by applying the Lyapunov condition, we calculate the stability

with respect to sliding surface. The error dynamics of all the three state variables are

12

58 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems  $\dot{e}_1 = -\lambda_{I131}e_1$   $\dot{e}_2 = -\lambda_{I131}e_2$   $\dot{e}_3 = -\lambda_{X135}e_3$  In this case, we do not employ equivalent control approach. This is because the error vector corresponding to iodine and xenon concentration tends to zero as the time elapses. To derive this behavior, consider (6.35)  $\dot{e}_2 = -\lambda_{I131}e_2$  As the error due to neutron flux tends to zero, (6.35) reduces to  $\dot{e}_2 = -\lambda_{I131}e_2$

This is a simple differential equation with a solution in the

29

form of  $e_2 = e^{-\lambda_{I131}t}$ . It is easy to note that the error decays exponentially to zero because  $\lambda_{I131} > 0$ . Similarly we can substitute  $e_1 = 0$  and  $e_2 = 0$  from the above analysis. (6.36) reduces to  $\dot{e}_3 = -\lambda_{X135}e_3$  The

solution of the above differential equation is given by  $e_3 = e^{-\lambda_{X135}t}$

45

$e_3 = 0$  because  $\lambda_{X135} > 0$ . The error also decreases exponentially to zero as time increases as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Now let us calculate the observer gain by defining a Lyapunov function.  $V = e_1^2 + e_2^2 + e_3^2$  By solving the Lyapunov function, we get  $\dot{V} = -2\lambda_{I131}e_1^2 - 2\lambda_{I131}e_2^2 - 2\lambda_{X135}e_3^2$  where  $\lambda_{I131} > 0$  and  $\lambda_{X135} > 0$

To satisfy the Lyapunov stability condition, we have to select the

107

observer gain such that  $1 \leq \mu \leq 6.3759$  Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Simulation Results Let us carry out a simulation to illustrate the properties of sliding mode observer and estimate the iodine and xenon concentration. In all the cases, we have replaced the discontinuous control given by signum function by a smooth function called the saturation function defined in (4.15). Case 1: Let us consider the reactivity variation as  $\sin 2t$ . The initial condition of the system as  $0.5; 0.2; 0.1$  whereas for the observer we use  $0.1; 0.5; 0.2$ . The data table used for calculation is given in Table 3.2. Let us consider a variation in macroscopic fission parameter as an uncertainty. For the system model consider  $f = 1000 \sin 20t + 0.1$  and for an observer  $f = 1000 \sin 15t + 0.1$ . In other words, we are considering the case of a system with different initial conditions with parametric uncertainty.

Fig. 6. 13 (a) shows the time response of the

10

neutron flux. As neutron flux is the only measured variable, we focus on the results of the estimated iodine and xenon concentration. Fig. 6.13(b) shows the time response behavior of actual and estimated iodine concentration. From the response, it is clear that the designed sliding mode observer serves as a good estimator. In this thesis, we have not considered the iodine and xenon concentration analysis till the equilibrium value. This may be few hours of simulation time. From Fig. 6.13 (c), we can note the dynamics of xenon concentration in a reactor.

In this case also, the sliding mode

5

observer provides a good estimate even in the presence of

5

parametric uncertainty and bounded sinusoidal disturbance. Case 2: Let us consider a random reactivity variation as  $\text{rand } t$  for a system model. For an observer let us consider a random variation in the reactivity as  $\text{rand } 2t$ . The initial condition of the system is  $0.5; 0.2; 0.1$  whereas for the observer we use  $0.1; 0.5; 0.2$ . The data table used for calculation is given in Table 3.2. Let us consider a random variation in macroscopic fission parameter as an uncertainty. For the system model consider  $f = 1000 \text{ rand } t$  and for an observer  $f = 1000 \text{ rand } 0.5t$ . In other words, we are considering the case of a system with different initial conditions with parametric uncertainty defined by the random disturbance.

Fig. 6. 14 (a) shows the time response of the

10

neutron flux. As neutron flux is the only measured variable, we focus on the results of the estimated iodine and xenon concentration. 60 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Fig 6.14 (b) shows the time response behavior of actual and estimated iodine concentration. From the response, it is clear that the designed sliding mode observer serves as a good estimator even in the presence of random variation. We can conclude that this is one of the most general cases where the designed observer estimates the state variables even in the presence of matched disturbances. From Fig 6.14 (c), we can note the xenon dynamics which increases till the equilibrium value is reached. For homogenous thermal reactors, the time taken by the xenon concentration to reach the equilibrium state is nearly 10 hours. As the intention of presenting this simulation was to estimate xenon and iodine

concentration, therefore the simulation time is not considered. 61 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (a) (b) (c) Figure 6.13: Estimation of xenon and iodine concentration using SMO for sinusoidal variation in reactivity. Fig. 6.13(a) gives the neutron flux behavior using Chernick model. Fig. 6.13(b) and (c) shows the estimation of iodine and xenon concentration respectively using SMO

62 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (a) (b) (c) Figure 6.14: Estimation of xenon and iodine concentration using SMO for random variation in reactivity. Fig. 6.14(a) gives the neutron flux behavior using Chernick model. Fig. 6.14(b) and (c) shows the estimation of iodine and xenon concentration respectively using SMO

63 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems

## 6.2 Fault Detection using Sliding Mode Observer

A deviation of one of the characteristic variable above a defined threshold value is defined as the fault. There are several types of faults depending on the time during which the fault is present namely, step wise, incipient and intermittent faults [10]. We can model the faults by considering either additive or multiplicative type. In case of additive faults, there is no dependence of model input in the corresponding output whereas in case of multiplicative faults there are changes in the parameters with the variation in the input. One of the immediate consequences of a fault is the system failure. In a practical system, a fault may correspond to a loss of actuator or a sensor on whose action several inter-related components will not produce desired results and these cumulative behavior leads to the system failure. The fault detection with specific application to nuclear reactor system dynamics is of utmost concern. This is because, with the occurrence of a fault in any part of the system if not recognized in a timely manner, will compromise on the safety of the system. This is because normal working of the critical systems will lead to safe working of a nuclear reactor. In literature several fault diagnosis techniques are common. These methodologies have been discussed in chapter 2. We use the mathematical model technique of fault detection due to the advantages possessed over the other methods. But model based approaches require that all the state variables that define the model are present. In practice, this is far from reality due to economic and practical considerations. Therefore an observer is highly suitable. In chapter 5 we presented a brief overview of Luenberger and Sliding Mode observer. As discussed in section 5.3, we need to use an observer which can successfully account for the nonlinearities present in the system. In addition an observer should be able to estimate the state variables with finite convergence time irrespective of parametric variations, bounded disturbances and noise. Sliding Mode Observer satisfies all these features and in this thesis,

we focus on the use of sliding mode observers to estimate the

7

state variables and finally leading to fault detection. A basic flow diagram of use of sliding mode observer subject to mathematical model of fault detection is shown in Fig. 6.15. 64 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Figure 6.15: General model of Fault Detection System using Sliding Mode Observer As is evident from Fig.6.15, we need to calculate the residuals which are nothing but the difference between the actual and estimated output. This residual evaluation is carried out with respect to a pre-determined threshold. This threshold is conservative enough to account for a fault. The threshold is selected such that the fault detection system should not give rise to unnecessary interrupts due to the variations of a necessary parameter. A detailed calculation of threshold is out of the scope of this thesis.

### 6.2.1 Design Methodology of Fault Detection Using Sliding Mode Observers

We present the fault detection system for nuclear and non-nuclear systems in a nuclear reactor. In the first case we discuss the fault detection due to actuator and sensor faults in control rod drive and coolant sensor. In the latter part of this chapter, we consider a failure in the steam generator. To simplify the analysis, let us first consider the evaluation of residue for a general system.  $x$   $u$   $f$   $x_1$  ,  $x_2$  ,  $t$   $y$   $x_1$   $s$

65 Sliding Mode

Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems where  $x_1, x_2$  States of the system  $y$  Output state of the system  $u$  Control input  $f$  a Actuator fault  $f_s$  Sensor fault The function  $f(x_1, x_2, t)$  can be linear or nonlinear but is bounded. On simple inspection of (6.38), we can conclude that an actuator fault directly influences one of the state of the system. In addition, we can conclude that due to a sensor fault, there is an error in measurement of the output. Using the general case of

design of sliding mode observer for a fault free system

44

presented in section 5.3 we get  $\dot{x} = Ax + u$  sign  $e^1$  2 1 1 6.39

$x_2$   $f(x_1, x_2, t)$  sign  $e^1$   $y$   $x_1$  where  $e_1$   $y$  is the

5

error vector.  $e_2$   $x_2$  is an another error vector.  $1, 2$  Observer gain After the error due to measurement quantity

tends to zero, the time derivative of

53

the error also

tends to zero in finite time. Then according to

53

equivalent control approach,  $e_1$  sign  $e_1$  eq . The error vector dynamics can be calculated as  $\dot{e}_1$  6.40  $e_2$  1 sign  $e_1$  dt  $\dot{e}_2$  6.41

$f(x_1, x_2, t)$   $f(x_1, x_2, t)$

20

sign  $e^1$  dt To reduce the error  $e_1$  equal to zero in finite time, we employ Lyapunov stability approach. The Lyapunov function is chosen as  $V = e_1^2$

The time derivative of the above function is given by

79

$V = e_1^2$   $\dot{V} = 2e_1 \dot{e}_1$  66 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems We need to choose  $1$   $\max e_2$  to satisfy the Lyapunov condition. We can perform a similar working to calculate  $2$  . By considering actuator and sensor faults, the error dynamics is written as  $\dot{e}_1$  6.42  $e_2$   $f$   $f_s$  1 sign  $e_1$  dt As the error vectors  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  tend to zero after choosing the observer gains by satisfying Lyapunov condition, (6.42) reduces to sign  $e_1$  1 eq  $f_a$   $f_s$  The equivalent control signal is obtained by passing the input signal to a low pass filter. Now, the residue can be calculated as  $r$  sign  $e_1$  1  $f$  eq  $a$   $s$  6.43 If faults are present in the system, then sharp spikes are present in the residual signal. To remove false faults which may be due to process changes we need to select a threshold value carefully. If is a constant threshold value, then the fault exists for time  $t$   $t_f$   $0$  where  $t_f$  is the duration of fault occurrence if  $r$  . If no



faults are present then the residual value is zero. 6.2.2 Fault Detection in the Nuclear Reactor Core The nuclear reactor core is governed by a system of equations related to reactor power, decayed neutron precursor concentration, fuel and coolant temperature. We have already discussed the point reactor model in great detail in chapter 3. Let us consider a single group delayed neutron precursor with absence of independent neutron source. Then the point kinetic model for a single delayed group of precursor is given by (6.1) and (6.2), The effect of fuel rod and coolant dynamics is included in the model. The fuel temperature and coolant temperature is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{T}_f &= \lambda_f T_f - \beta \frac{P}{\rho} + \lambda_{Tf} T_{f0} - \alpha_{Tf} P \\ \dot{T}_c &= \lambda_c T_c - \alpha_{Tc} P + \lambda_{Tc} T_{c0} \end{aligned}$$

where  $T_f$  Average Fuel Temperature  $T_c$  Average Coolant Temperature  $T_I$  Reactor outlet Temperature  $T_e$  Reactor Inlet Temperature

Now the reactivity considering the coefficients due to fuel and coolant temperature is ext

$$\rho = \rho_0 + \beta \frac{P}{P_0} - \beta \frac{P}{P_0} \left( \frac{T_f - T_{f0}}{T_{f0}} + \frac{T_c - T_{c0}}{T_{c0}} \right)$$

6.46 where  $\beta, \alpha_{Tf}, \alpha_{Tc}$  Feedback coefficients for fuel and coolant temperature respectively  $T_{f0}$  Equilibrium temperature of fuel  $T_{c0}$  Equilibrium temperature of coolant Let us consider an actuator fault due to control rod drive mechanism. This is reflected in the reactivity input to the point reactor kinetic equation. Substituting (6.46) in (6.1), with actuator fault, we get

$$\dot{P} = \lambda P + \beta \frac{P}{P_0} - \beta \frac{P}{P_0} \left( \frac{T_f - T_{f0}}{T_{f0}} + \frac{T_c - T_{c0}}{T_{c0}} \right) + \alpha_{Tf} P \frac{T_f - T_{f0}}{T_{f0}} + \alpha_{Tc} P \frac{T_c - T_{c0}}{T_{c0}}$$

Now the sensor faults are considered in power flow channel and coolant temperature channel. Let  $f_{s1}$  and  $f_{s2}$  be the two sensor faults which correspond to power channel and coolant channel respectively. We need

to design a Sliding Mode Observer by

addition of discontinuous control. The measurable outputs are power and coolant temperature with corresponding faults which can be written as

$$y_1 = P + f_{s1} \quad y_2 = T_c + f_{s2}$$

By following the design procedure

employed in the earlier chapter, we formulate a general Sliding Mode Observer

with two measurable outputs as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{z}_1 &= \lambda_1 z_1 + \lambda_2 z_2 + \lambda_3 P + \lambda_4 T_c + \lambda_5 P^2 + \lambda_6 T_c^2 \\ \dot{z}_2 &= \lambda_7 z_1 + \lambda_8 z_2 + \lambda_9 P + \lambda_{10} T_c + \lambda_{11} P^2 + \lambda_{12} T_c^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_i$  are observer gains

Estimate of  $y_i$   $\hat{y}_i$ ,  $\lambda_i$  Observer gain The error vector corresponding to two outputs present in the system can be written as  $e = [e_1 \ e_2]^T$ ;  $i = 1, 2$  In this section, we have not calculated the observer gains  $\lambda_i$ . Nevertheless the procedure illustrated in section 5.3 is to be followed. The important parameter in fault detection analysis is the calculation of residue. We employ equivalent control approach to calculate the residue function. For a two output case, residue can be denoted as  $r = [r_1 \ r_2]^T$ ;  $i = 1, 2$

6.50 68 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems As discussed in the section 4.3.2, we use a first order low pass filter to filter out the high frequency terms. Simulation results We carry out the simulation for analyzing the fault detection mechanism. The faults considered in the system are either from an actuator or a sensor. For ease of understanding we consider one fault at a time. Case 1: Let us consider a fault in sensing the reactor power. We also assume that a measurement noise with standard deviation of 0.32 is present in the system. The sensor fault is represented in the Fig. 6.17 (a). The data for other parameters

are as given in the Table 3.3. The reactor power variation is shown in Fig. 6.16. There is sudden increase in power at which fault occurs. The corresponding residual signal is calculated from the procedure outlined above. We employ a

low pass filter with cutoff frequency of 100 Hz to realize the

14

equivalent control approach. The corresponding residual signal is shown in Fig. 6.17. From Fig 6.17(b), we can observe that during the sensor fault we obtain spikes when the fault occurs. As this is an impulse fault where the fault gets rectified within a short span of time, we encounter two sharp edged peaks crossing the threshold in the residual signal. Figure 6.16: Reactor power variation during sensor fault in power measurement channel 69 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (a) (b) Figure 6.17: Generation of residual signal due to sensor fault in power measurement channel. Fig. 6.17 (a) provides a short pulse signal acting as a sensor fault. Fig. 6.17 (b) shows the corresponding residual signal. 70 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Case 2: Let us consider a fault in sensing the coolant temperature. The sensor fault is represented in the Fig 6.19 (a). The data for other parameters are as given in the Table 3.3. The coolant temperature variation at which sensor fault occurs shows a sudden increase and gets stabilized after some time as shown in Fig. 6.18. The corresponding residual signal is calculated from the procedure outlined above. We employ a

low pass filter with cutoff frequency of 100 Hz to realize the

14

equivalent control approach. The corresponding residual signal is shown in Fig. 6.19. From Fig 6.19 (b), we can observe that during the sensor fault we get a sharp edge when the fault occurs. As this is a step fault where the fault is present for all the considered time, we encounter only one sharp edged peak crossing the threshold in the residual signal. Figure 6.18: Reactor power variation during sensor fault in coolant temperature measurement channel 71 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (a) (b) Figure 6.18: Generation of residual signal due to sensor fault in coolant temperature measurement channel. Fig. 6.18 (a) provides a short pulse signal acting as a sensor fault. Fig. 6.18 (b) shows the corresponding residual signal. 72 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Case 3: Let us consider an actuator fault in the control rod mechanism. This directly affects the reactivity change in the nuclear reactor system. The actuator fault is represented in the Fig. 6.21 (a). The data for other parameters are as given in the Table 3.3. The actuator fault occurs at 15 seconds where there is a sudden increase in reactor power. The response of reactor power is shown in Fig. 6.20. The corresponding residual signal is calculated from the same procedure. A

low pass filter with cutoff frequency of 100 Hz

14

is used for equivalent control after the measurement error tends to zero. The corresponding residual signal is shown in Fig. 6.21. From Fig. 6.21 (b), we can observe that during the actuator fault we obtain sharp spikes exactly at the instant where there is rapid change in the value. As this is an impulse fault where the fault gets rectified within a short span of time, we encounter two sharp edged peaks crossing the threshold in the residual signal. On comparison of both the faults we can observe that actuator faults produce large oscillations and develop very large signal residues. On the other hand, the sensor faults are sharp signals

with very low oscillations. The sensor faults produce independent residue signals and therefore can be isolated easily. But in case of actuator fault, the appearance will be in power measurement channel. Therefore its isolation is difficult. It can be isolated by the presence of oscillations. Figure 6.20: Reactor power variation during actuator fault 73 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (a) (b) Figure 6.21: Generation of residual signal due to actuator fault in power measurement channel. Fig. 6.21 (a) provides a short pulse signal acting as an actuator fault. Fig. 6.21 (b) shows the corresponding residual signal. 74 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems 6.2.3 Fault Detection in the Steam Generator Steam Generator is one of the important non-nuclear

components of a nuclear power plant. In steam generator, maintenance of water mass inventory is

68

very important for safe and reliable operation of nuclear power plant. If there is low

water level in the steam generator, then there is improper heat transfer between

38

primary and secondary coolant circuits. This will subsequently trip the reactor by damaging the blades of a turbine. A mathematical model is required to derive the actual insights of the dynamics. It is also necessary to design a controller or an observer with the help of a mathematical model. Irving proposed a comprehensible model to explain the operation of a steam generator [33]. This model is represented as  $\dot{x}_1 = -\frac{1}{G_1}x_1 + \frac{1}{G_2}v - \frac{1}{G_3}y$  where  $x_1$  Water level in steam generator  $x_2$  Reverse dynamic effect  $x_3$  Mechanical oscillation  $x_4$  Time derivative of  $x_3$   $f$  s Sensor fault  $1, 2$  Damping constants  $T$  Mechanical oscillation period  $u$

Feed water flow rate  $v$  Steam flow rate  $y$  is

2

the system output which accounts for thermal hydraulic conditions with mechanical effects. Taking Laplace transform of (6.51-6.55), we get

$y = \frac{1}{s}x_1 + \frac{1}{s}x_2 + \frac{1}{s}x_3$

90

6.56  $y = \frac{1}{s}x_1 + \frac{1}{s}x_2 + \frac{1}{s}x_3$  75 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems

$G_1$  is the mass capacity effect of the steam generator. The steam flow difference

60

can be given  $\frac{dx_1}{dt} = u - v$ . Now gives the change in the

water level due to the steam flow

34

difference. This is an important term because the first term provides the actual water capacity required to remove the primary decay heat. The parameter  $G_1$  is independent of reactor power and hence it remains constant.  $G_2$

$G_2$  is the thermal negative effect caused by 'swell and shrink'

2

phenomenon. The first order equation representing the swell and shrink phenomenon exhibits decaying exponential response for a step input of flow rate. With respect to a steam generator, the

swell and shrink phenomenon is explained as follows. As the steam flow rate increases, the pressure on the upper region of the steam generator decreases.

2

With pressure inversely related to volume at a constant temperature then, the

two phase flow mixture expands with an increase in the water level.

2

This phenomenon is called 'Swell'. In the other case,

as the feed-water flow rate is increased, the pressure increases. The steam bubbles in the two phase flow mixture collapse with decrease in water level.

2

This phenomenon is called 'Shrink'.  $G_3$  gives the mechanical oscillation effect due to the momentum possessed in the down comer by

inflow of the feed water to the steam generator. If the

2

feed-water flow rate is suddenly decreased, then the water level in the down comer falls initially and starts to oscillate. This is because the

2

recirculating flow goes down at the start and then slows down. The oscillation effect gets dampened after some time constants. The value of  $G_3$  is positive and varies with reactor power. As mentioned in the preceding paragraph, some parameters are linearly varying with respect to reactor power. A proportional integral controller is

used for controlling the water level in the steam generator [21]. Mathematically it is

38

represented as,  $u = K_p e + K_i \int e dt + K_d \dot{e}$  (6.57) where  $e = y - y_{ref}$  Flow error  $e = y - y_{ref}$  Level error  $y_{ref}$  Reference water level  $y$  Water level Following the design procedure carried out for a general system in section 5.3. The sliding mode observer for calculation of residual signal is given by (6.58) (6.58) Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (2012) G2 (mm\*s/kg) 9.63 (6.59) (6.60) (6.61) (6.62) where  $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \hat{x}_3, \hat{x}_4, \hat{y}$  Estimates of  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y$  The error vector corresponding to only one measurable output (water level in a steam generator) is given by  $e = y - \hat{y}$  Applying equivalent control approach, the error vector  $e$  can be calculated as  $e = u - \hat{u}$  (6.63) Again in this section, we have not calculated the observer gains  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ . Nevertheless the procedure illustrated in section 5.3 is to be followed. We now focus on calculating the residual signal. Equivalent control approach is used to calculate the residue function. In this case, there is only one measurable quantity, therefore the two output residue considered in (6.50) is reduced to  $r = e$  (6.63) As discussed in the section 4.3.2, we use a first order low pass filter to filter out the high frequency terms. Simulation Results To analyze an application of sliding mode observer for fault detection in a steam generator, we carry out the simulation. The fault may be from an actuator or a sensor. The faults considered for this simulation is shown in Fig. 6.22 (a). Following cases are considered to analyze the fault detection in a steam generator at two different power levels. Table 6.1: Steam Generator model parameters

G2 (mm\*s/kg) 9.63

34

1.05

G3 (mm\* s /kg) 0.181 0.

34

215 T (s) 119.6 14.2  $t_1$  (s) 41.9 34.8  $t_2$  (s) 18.4 3.6  $v$  (kg/s) 57.4 660.2 77 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Case 1: Let us carry out this mechanism at low power. In other words, let us consider that the steam generator is operating at a

power level less than 15% of the total power. The

104

corresponding values for the model and the observer are chosen from Table 6.1. We also assume that a measurement noise with standard deviation of 0.32 is present in the system. We also assume that there is no flow error. The parameters for Proportional Integral (PI) controller are selected by proper tuning, The corresponding residual signal is calculated from the procedure outlined above. We employ a

low pass filter with cutoff frequency of 100 Hz to realize the

14

equivalent control approach. The corresponding residual signal is shown

in Fig. 6.22 (b). From Fig. 6.22

105

(b), we can observe that during the sensor fault we get sharp edges when an actuator fault or sensor fault occurs. In addition, when a sensor fault occurs, a significantly higher spike is obtained than during an actuator fault. This is justified by considering (6.43) where the residual signal is dependent on the derivative of a discontinuous fault signal. Case 2: In this case, we consider the operation of a steam generator at 100% power. The parameters used are selected from the Table 6.1 for power level of 100%. We assume that a measurement noise with standard deviation of 0.32 is present. Contrary to an earlier case where flow error is assumed to be zero, here we consider both level and flow error to be finite. The corresponding parameters of PI controller are chosen. We carry out a similar procedure for evaluating the residual signal. During high power operation of steam generator, the residual signal is shown in Fig. 6.22 (c). By carrying out a similar analysis discussed in the previous case, larger spikes for sensor faults are resulted than actuator faults. We conclude that the designed fault detection mechanism works for both low power and high power. 78 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (a) (b) (c) Figure 6.22: Generation of residual signal due to actuator and sensor fault at low and high power operation of a steam generator. Fig. 6.22 (a) provides an account of different types of faults. Fig. 6.22 (b), (c) shows the corresponding residual signal during low and high power respectively. 79 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Chapter 7 Higher Order Sliding Modes The previous section dealing with the first order sliding modes is based on the same way where a discontinuous control would switch between the states accompanied by a very high feedback gain. From the results presented in section 6.1, we conclude that boundary layer approach was employed to minimize the effect of chattering. This approach also resulted in the loss of robustness [26] due to weak response to any slight deviation in the parameter. Further the fundamental idea of sliding mode is being compensated. A need to develop a method which minimizes the chattering behavior along with the presence of inherent robustness is highly essential. In this regard, the development of

Higher Order Sliding Modes (HOSM) aims to extend the original sliding mode

1

theory by taking

higher order time derivatives of the deviation from the constraint. This method preserves the

7

ideas of the original sliding mode theory with an advantage of chattering minimization. Therefore there is

an increase in the sliding order and accuracy of the system

106

parameters calculated using this method. 7.1 Mathematical background of HOSM A brief mathematical theory involving HOSM is dealt in this section. The background of analysis is similar to standard sliding modes and therefore the section 4.2 is used in this chapter. Similar to standard sliding modes of first order, HOSM also considers the solution of a given system in Flippov's sense.

In other words, the state trajectory of the system is

75

considered in terms of Filippov's sense. An elaborate discussion of Filippov's method is carried out

in the previous section. The fundamental objective of sliding mode

22

theory is to keep the constraint  $s = 0$ . This confirms that the

state trajectory resides on the designed sliding surface for all the time.

22

We define a term sliding order denoted by  $r$  as the

number of continuous total derivatives of  $s$  in the vicinity of the sliding mode. The

36

From the definition of the sliding mode, it is

necessary for a  $r$  order sliding mode to satisfy  $s, \dot{s}, \ddot{s}, \dots, s^{(r-1)} = 0$ . On analysis of the above equation, we infer that for a  $r$  order sliding, we form a  $r$ -dimensional condition for a given system. Also, we note that for a  $r$  order sliding  $r-1$  continuous time derivatives are required. In the earlier case of 1-order sliding,  $s$  is discontinuous. This is an essential drawback of HOSM where extra information is essential to implement HOSM. This extra information in terms of higher order time derivatives may not be available in a real time practical system. An approach to minimize this drawback will be dealt later. An approach of robust exact differentiator estimates the derivatives of the sliding variable in a robust manner. 80 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems HOSM may exhibit asymptotic finite time convergence unlike the first order sliding mode. A

sliding precision of  $r$  order with respect to the measurement interval

8

is obtained by using HOSM. The

definition of higher order sliding mode

116

is described below [26] Definition 1:

It is said that there exists a first (or second) order sliding mode on manifold  $S$  in a vicinity of a first (or second) order sliding point  $x$ , if in this vicinity of point  $x$  the first (or second) order sliding set is an integral set, i.e. it consists of Filippov's sense trajectories.

3

If  $S$  is a smooth manifold containing points where the first order sliding mode exists. We can define another manifold  $S_2$  where the condition remains same

as in the case of first order sliding modes.

21

This process can be continued to achieve any arbitrary

order sliding modes. With respect to constraint function,

11

we can define HOSM as Definition 2:

Let the  $r$  sliding set (7.1) be non-empty and assume that it is locally an integral set in Filippov's sense.

11

Then the corresponding motion satisfying (7.1) is called an  $r$  - sliding mode with respect to the constraint function. The relation

11

(7.1) assures that the all the  $r - 1$  total time derivatives are continuous. An important behavior of state trajectory is that the state trajectories do

not lie on in the tangential vector space  $T$  to the manifold  $s = 0$

1

When a switching error is present the trajectory leaves the manifold at a certain angle defined by the discontinuous function. In case of second order sliding all the possible state vectors lie in the tangential space to the sliding manifold even when a switching error is present.

1

In this work, we restrict to a discussion of second order sliding mode only. 7.2

Design of Second Order Sliding Mode Algorithms

13

Before we begin with the

second order sliding mode algorithms, we carry out a

13

generalized approach [18]. Consider the system defined by



$\dot{x} = f(t, x, u); s = s(t, x, u) \in U$  where  $x, u$  is the control signal and  $f, s$  are smooth functions.

8

The goal of the sliding mode is to keep  $s = 0$ . Using the relative degree concept explained in Appendix, we divide our approach into two cases. (a) Relative degree  $r = 1$ , that is  $\frac{\partial s}{\partial u} \neq 0$  (b) Relative degree  $r = 2$ , that is

$\frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial u^2} \neq 0$

27

81 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Case (a): This case is similar to the first order sliding mode dealt elaborately in Chapter 4. Taking the total derivative of  $s$ , we get  $\dot{s}$

$\dot{s} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} \dot{x} + \frac{\partial s}{\partial u} \dot{u}$  Differentiating again  $\ddot{s} = \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial t \partial x} \dot{x} + \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial t \partial u} \dot{u} + \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial x^2} \dot{x} \dot{x} + \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial x \partial u} \dot{x} \dot{u} + \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial u^2} \dot{u} \dot{u} + \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial x \partial t} \dot{x} + \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial u \partial t} \dot{u}$

8

$\dot{s} = A_t s +$

$B_t u + \dots$

3

Case (b): Let us consider a system with relative degree two. From the definition of relative degree, we can say that in this case  $u$  is one of the state variable with the actual control signal given by  $\dot{u}$ . Now the system is given by

$\dot{x} = f(t, x, u); \dot{u} = a(t, x) + b(t, x)u$  where  $a, b$

1

$a, b$  are smooth functions. Assumptions: To achieve the goal of keeping  $s = 0$ ,

following conditions are assumed (1) Control values belong to the set  $U = \{u \in \mathbb{R} \mid |u| \leq U_M\}$ , where  $U_M > 0$  is a real constant. We define that the solution is well defined if  $u(t)$  is continuous  $t$

3

(2) There exists  $u_1 \in [0, 1]$  such that for any continuous function  $u(t)$  with  $u(t) \in U$ . For every  $t \geq t_1, s(t) = 0$

1

(3)

There are positive constants  $s_0, u_0 < 1, P, Q$  such that if  $s(t), x(s_0)$  then

1

$s > 0, P, Q; u \in U$  (4) There is a positive constant  $C_0$

such that within the region  $s(t), x(s_0)$  where the following inequality holds for  $u \in U; t$   
 $s(t), x, u \in U$

13

$f(t, x, u) \leq C_0 t$  From condition (2),

1

we can achieve a control signal  $u(t)$  which directs the sliding variable onto a sliding surface independent of initial condition. The sliding mode is bounded by the conditions (3) and (4). 82 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Now, on differentiating the derivative of the sliding variable twice, we get  $s''(t)$ ,

$x''(t) = B(t)x'(t) + A(t)x(t) + C_0; 0 < P, B(t), Q; C_0 > 0$

56

The prominent 2-sliding algorithms are discussed with relevant examples are discussed in the next section.

7.2.1 Twisting Algorithm This algorithm has a distinguishing feature of state trajectories twisting infinite number of times around the origin with finite time convergence. This algorithm is applicable for relative degree one as well as two. Let us first consider relative degree one system. The

second order sliding mode control problem for

5

relative degree one

can be written as  $\dot{x}_1 = x_2, \dot{x}_2 = A(t)x_1 + B(t)u$

5

$x_1 \in B, x_2 \in U$  Even though  $x_2$  is immeasurable but its sign can be known by evaluating  $\text{sgn}(x_1)$

$\dot{x}_1(t) = \dot{x}_1(t-T)$  where  $T$  is the measurement interval. The

17

uncertain smooth functions are defined in a similar way as that of the general case.  $A(t), x \in C_0; 0 < P, B(t), Q; C_0 > 0$  From (7.3), it is clear that we require

the time derivative of the sliding variable. The control algorithm is defined by

7

[18]  $u = u_1 + 7.4 u_2 \text{sgn}(x_1)$

$u_1, x_1, x_2, 0 \text{ sign } x, u_1, x_1, x_2, 0$

86

2 1 where 210 1 4Q s to achieve finite time convergence. 0 P 2 C0 Q1 C0 In case of relative degree 2 sign  $x_1, u_1, x_1, x_2, 0$  7.5 ut 1 2 sign  $x_1, u_1, x_1, x_2, 0$  83 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems 7.2.2 Super Twisting Algorithm The improvement over the previous method is achieved by using super twisting algorithm. The fundamental limitation of twisting algorithm is it requires real-time measurements of  $x$  or just of sign of  $x$ . So practically knowing  $x$  and  $x$  is a difficult proposition. Therefore it is advisable for a controller to be designed without the knowledge of  $x$ . We can conclude that this algorithm is applicable for relative degree one. Consider the same system with the assumptions listed in 7.2. The

control law  $u(t)$  consists of two terms

37

[18] as  $u_1, u_2, u_1, u_1 \text{ sign } s, u_1, 7.6$

$s_0 \text{ sign } s, s_0, u_2, s \text{ sign } s, s_0$

37

where  $4QC_0, C_0, 4Q, s; 2; 0 < 0.5$  to achieve finite time 0 P C0 convergence. The

first term is defined by means of its discontinuous time derivative. The second term is a continuous function of the sliding variable.

30

We achieve

an exponentially stable 2-sliding mode 1 is used in the control law.

7

By using 0.5,

we can achieve real sliding algorithm of a second order

3

system. This robust algorithm is widely used in robust exact differentiator which is explained in [17]. 7.2.3 Simulation Results Consider an example illustrating second order sliding mode algorithms. Let the mathematical model be [18]  $x_1, 5, x_1, 10, x_2, 4, x_3, x_1 \sin t, u_2, 1, x_1, x_2, x_2, 6, x_1, 3x_2, 2, x_3, 3, x_1, x_2, x_3 \cos t, x_3, x_1, 3x_3, 4, x_2 \cos 5t, 4 \sin 5t, 10, 1, 0.5 \cos 10t, u, x$  where  $u_2, u, 3u \cos 30t \sin u, 4, x, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, 1$

1 2 84 Sliding Mode Observers for

55

Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems The sliding variable is  $x_3$  (a) Twisting Algorithm Using the control law given in (7.4), the phase portrait of the given model using twisting algorithm is shown in Fig.

7.1 (a). We can observe that the state trajectories 'twist' around the origin and finally reach the origin in finite amount of time. From Fig. 7.1 (b), the convergence time is nearly 0.3 seconds. Therefore by employing twisting algorithm subject to control shown in Fig. 7.1 (c) we obtain a finite time convergence. (b) Super Twisting Algorithm Using the control law given in (7.6), the response of the system is as shown below. Fig. 7.2 (a) provides the phase portrait of the given model using super twisting algorithm. We can observe that the state trajectories twist around the origin similar to the previous case. From Fig. 7.2 (b) the convergence time is nearly 0.15 seconds which is less than that of the twisting algorithm. We can conclude that super twisting algorithm is more robust than twisting algorithm and its applicability to relative degree one system is another potential advantage. The control signal applied in this case is shown in Fig. 7.2(c). 85 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (a) (b) (c) Figure 7.1: Application of Twisting Algorithm to illustrate HOSM. Fig. 7.1 (a) gives the phase portrait. Fig. 7.1 (b) provides the variation of the sliding variable. Fig. 7.1 (c) gives the applied control signal to achieve finite time convergence. 86 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems (a) (b) (c) Figure 7.2: Application of Super Twisting Algorithm to illustrate HOSM. Fig. 7.2 (a) gives the phase portrait. Fig. 7.2(b) provides the variation of the sliding variable. Fig. 7.2(c) gives the applied control signal to achieve finite time convergence. 87 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems 7.3 Super Twisting Observer A first order sliding mode observer estimates all the immeasurable states with finite reaching time. An

application of first order sliding mode observer to nuclear reactor system

95

is discussed with relevant examples in Chapter 6. From the earlier discussion of this chapter, we can remove the chattering behavior by employing a second order sliding mode observer instead of boundary layered approach for reasons mentioned earlier. In comparison to twisting algorithm, super twisting algorithm is more robust and requires less information on the derivatives of sliding variable [25, 26]. Due to these merits, we formulate a super twisting observer. 7.3.1 Design of Super Twisting Observer (STO) Let us consider a general second order system

$\dot{x}_1 = x_2$ ,  $\dot{x}_2 = f(x_1, x_2, t) + u$  7.7 where  $x_1, x_2$

17

States of the system  $f(x_1, x_2, t) \in L^1$ ;  $L > 0$  with  $L$  Lipschitz constant

$f(x_1, x_2, t)$  Known part  $x_1, x_2, t$

108

Uncertain part Now super twisting observer is designed as [19, 23]  $\dot{x}_1 = x_2$ ,  $\dot{x}_2 = f(x_1, x_2, t) + u + \lambda \text{sign}(e_1)$  7.8

$\dot{x}_2 = f(x_1, x_2, t) + u + \lambda \text{sign}(e_1)$  where  $e_1 = x_1 - \hat{x}_1$

5

;  $e_2$

$\dot{x}_2 = f(x_1, x_2, t) + u + \lambda \text{sign}(e_1)$   $\dot{x}_1 = x_2$   $\dot{\hat{x}}_1 = x_2 + \lambda \text{sign}(e_1)$   $\dot{\hat{x}}_2 = f(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, t) + u + \lambda \text{sign}(e_1)$

5

This super twisting observer was first proposed by Davilla et al [19] for mechanical systems. On application the point kinetic reactor model defined in (3.4), 88 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems  $\hat{P} C s 1 2 \text{ sign } s Pr \wedge \wedge 7.9 1 \wedge C P C 2 \text{ sign } s \wedge \wedge$  where the sliding variable is defined by  $s P P$ . In this case  $r Gr zr$  where  $Gr$  Control rod worth and  $zr$  Control rod velocity 7.3.2 Simulation Results The point kinetic data is taken from Table 5.1. (a) (b) (c) Figure 7.3: Application of Super Twisting Observer in Nuclear Reactor System. Fig. 7.3 (a) gives the reactor power. Fig. 7.3(b) and (c) provides the estimation of reactivity and delayed neutron precursor concentration using STO. 89 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems 7.4 Uniform

Second Order Sliding Mode (USOSM) Observer The drawback of Super Twisting Algorithm based observer is

62

the dependence of

convergence time on the initial condition. Therefore we need to

45

formulate an algorithm such that there is a faster convergence time irrespective of initial conditions. The observer design is a slight modification of the defined super twisting observer in section 7.3. Let us consider a general system as defined earlier in (7.7) The USOSM observer is formulated as [30]  $x_1 \ x_2 \ 1 \ 1$

$e_1 \wedge 1 2 \text{ sign } e_1 2 e_1 3 2 \text{ sign } e_1$

27

12 3 7.10  $x_2 f x_1, x_2, t, u 2 \text{ sign } e_1 2 12e_1 22 e_1 \text{ sign } e_1 2 \wedge \wedge 2 2$  where Error terms are given by  $e_1 x_1$  ;  $e_2 x_2 x_2 \wedge \wedge 1, 2 0$  with gain terms represented by  $1, 2$ . The gain values are selected from the following inequality [30] If  $F x_1, x_2, t, u / 2$  with a known value of  $\cdot$ , then  $1$  and  $2$  are selected such that (7.11) is satisfied. 12 2 w2 ; 2 22 12 1 2 4 7.11 The convergence time is independent of the initial condition of the system [30]. On comparison of (7.8) with (7.10), we note that some extra nonlinear terms are added. These terms are responsible for faster convergence time than Super Twisting Algorithm. When  $1 1 ; 2 0$  Uniform Sliding Mode Observer reduces to a Super Twisting observer 7.4.1 Simulation Results Before delving into the nuclear reactor system, we illustrate the merits of this method by illustrating a mechanical system. (a) Let us consider an example of a pendulum  $x_1 \ x_2 u g V x_2 \sin x_1 s x_2 JL J y x_1$

where  $x_1$  is the angular position ;  $x_2$  is the angular velocity ; is the

66

bounded disturbance  $y$  is the output of the system 90 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems We observe that angular position is the only measured quantity and angular velocity needs to be estimated. In this simulation, we use  $g 9.815 \text{ m/s}^2$  ;  $J 0.891 \text{ kgm}^2$  ;  $Vs 0.18 \text{ kg.m/s}^2$   $0.5\cos 2t 0.5\sin 2t$  The Uniform Sliding Mode observer is formulated as  $x_1 \ x_2 \ 1 \ 1$

$e_1^2 \sin x_1 \sin x_2 \sin x_3$

27

where Error terms are given by  $e_1, e_2, e_3$ . The error vectors are plotted. From Fig.7.4, we can clearly observe that Super Twisting has a significantly large convergence time in comparison with Uniform Sliding Mode observer. The higher order nonlinear terms in (7.10) are responsible for better convergence of angular position and angular velocity even in the presence of bounded disturbance. The gain values represented by  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are selected according to the relation given by (7.11). (a) (b) Figure 7.4: Application of USOSM for a pendulum system Fig. 7.4(a) gives the comparison of the error in estimating the angular position. Fig. 7.4(b) gives the comparison of the error in estimating the angular velocity. 91 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Now we apply the Uniform Sliding Mode observer for analyzing nuclear reactor system dynamics. By following the general model explained in (7.10), the observer can be designed based on the point kinetic model as

$e_1^2 \sin x_1 \sin x_2 \sin x_3$

50

$P_1 \sin x_1 \sin x_2 \sin x_3$

$e_1^2 \sin x_1 \sin x_2 \sin x_3$

50

The output will be in terms of power. The corresponding error term is given by  $e_1, e_2, e_3$ . The gain terms are selected from the procedure illustrated above. The value of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  is selected as 1.5. The time response of power and precursor concentration is shown in Fig. 7.5. We note that in Fig. 7.5 (b) the value of precursor concentration is estimated in a designed manner. In addition, we note that the convergence time of the estimated precursor concentration is significantly lower than the corresponding estimation shown in Fig. 7.3(c). Therefore

we can conclude that uniform second order sliding mode observer estimates the

52

results with faster convergence time than super twisting observer. (a) (b) Figure 7.5: Application of USOSM for nuclear reactor system. Fig 7.5(a) provides the variation of reactor power. Fig. 7.5(b) gives the estimation of delayed neutron concentration. 92 Sliding Mode Observers for Observing the Dynamics of Nuclear Reactor Systems Chapter 8 Conclusion and Future Scope In this thesis, a novel attempt has been made to solve the control problem of estimating the non-measurable parameters using sliding mode observer. A first

order sliding mode observer has been designed to estimate the critical parameters of a nuclear reactor

12

system. The critical parameters considered are delayed neutron concentration, neutron source, reactivity,

iodine and xenon concentration. The designed sliding mode observer is validated under input and parameter uncertainty. The disastrous effect of chattering is minimized by the effect of smooth functions at with a subsequent loss of robustness. To preserve robustness with minimization in chattering, a

second order sliding mode observer is designed. Due to

94

a significant importance with respect to necessity of information,

super twisting algorithm is used for the design. Though the

54

focus of application is to a nuclear reactor system, simple illustrative problems are solved. An estimation of reactivity and delayed neutron precursor concentration is carried out. To remove the dependence of super twisting algorithm on the initial condition and to obtain the estimation at a faster convergence rate, we design a

uniform second order sliding mode observer. An application of sliding mode observer

12

is carried out in fault detection of nuclear and non- nuclear components in a nuclear power plant. The presence of an actuator or a sensor fault in power and coolant temperature is detected even in presence of measurement noise and parametric uncertainty. In addition, detection of an actuator or sensor fault influencing the measurement of water level in a steam generator is carried out. Irvin's model for a steam generator is considered with validation of the designed fault detection method during low power and high power of operation is performed. In future, a design of sliding mode observer for nuclear reactor application can be carried out by considering a complete reactor model comprising of reactor power, delayed neutron concentration, fuel temperature, coolant temperature, iodine and xenon concentration as a single state vector. A higher order

sliding mode observer can be designed to estimate

26

more number of parameters related to nuclear reactor. As higher order derivatives are difficult to calculate in a robust manner, a design of robust exact

differentiator based on second order sliding mode

112

observer can be carried out. An

application of higher order sliding mode

120

in fault detection can be implemented for all the important nuclear and non-nuclear components of a nuclear

