A MAJOR PROJECT ON

STRENGTH BEHAVIOUR OF JOINTED ROCK MASS THROUGH MODELLING WITH PLASTER OF PARIS

SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF

MASTER OF ENGINEERING (Structural Engineering)

SUBMITTED BY:

RAHUL CHAUDHARY

COLLEGE ROLL NO: 09/STR/09 UNIVERSITY ROLL NO: 9079

Under the supervision of:

Dr. A.K.SAHU

(ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR)
DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING



DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING
DELHI COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF DELHI
2010-11

CERTIFICATE

This is to declare that the dissertation entitled "STRENGTH BEHAVIOUR OF JOINTED ROCK MASS THROUGH MODELLING WITH PLASTER OF PARIS" is a bonafide record of work done by me for partial fulfillment of award of degree in M.E. Civil Engineering (Structural Engineering) at Delhi college of Engineering, Delhi.

This project has been carried out under the supervision of **Dr. A.K.SAHU**, Associate Professor, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Delhi College of Engineering, Delhi.

The work embodied in this minor project has not been submitted to any other Institute/University for the award of any other Degree or Diploma.

(RAHUL CHAUDHARY)

COLLEGE ROLL NO: 09/STR/09 UNIVERSITY ROLL NO. 9079

(Dr. A.K.SAHU)

Associate Professor Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering. DelhiCollege of Engineering, University of Delhi, Delhi.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is distinct pleasure to express my deep sense of gratitude and indebtedness to my supervisor **Dr. A.K.SAHU** Associate Professor Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Delhi College of Engineering, Delhi for his invaluable guidance, encouragement and patient reviews. His continuous inspiration only has made me complete this dissertation. Without his help and guidance, this dissertation would have been impossible. He remained a pillar of help throughout the project.

I am also grateful to **Prof. A.K.Gupta** Head Department of Civil Engineering, for providing the experimental facilities in various labs of the Department.

I am also thankful to the Sh.G.C.Bhatt, Sh.Miraj and Mr. pushkal of concrete technology laboratory of the department college to help me.

I express my sincere gratitude to the faculty and non teaching staff of Civil and Environmental engineering department and the library of Delhi College of Engineering for providing the relevant information and help when needed during the course of this project work.

I am also thankful to my classmates and my friends for their unconditional support and motivation during this work.

(RAHUL CHAUDHARY)

CONTENTS

		PAGE NO.
ABSTRACT		i
LISTS OF TABLES		ii
LIST OF FIGURES		iii-iv
NOTATIONS		v
<u>CHAPTER – 1</u>	INTRODUCTION	1-2
1.1	GENERAL	1
1.2	AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	2
<u>CHAPTER - 2</u> LITERATURE REVIEW		3-15
2.1	ROCK	3
2.2	INTACT ROCK MASS	4
2.3	JOINTED ROCK MASS	5
2.4	JOINT ROCK PROPERTIES	6-10
2.4.1	JOINT ROCK INTENSITY	6
2.4.2	ORIENTATION OF JOINTS	7
2.4.3	JOINT ROUGNESS	8
2.4.4	JOINT ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENT	9
2.4.5	SCALE EFFECT	9
2.4.6	DILATION	9
2.5	STRENGTH CRITEREON OF JOINTED ROCKS	10-11
2.5.1	INFLUENCE OF SINGLE PLANE OF WEAKNESS	10
2.5.2	INFLUENCE OF SAMPLE SIZE	11
2.5.2	INFLUENCE OF NUMBER AND LOCATION OF	
	JOINTS	11
2.6	UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH	11
2.7	ELASTIC MODULUS	12
2.8	FAILURE MODES IN ROCKS	13
CHAPTER – 3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	16–20
3.1	MATERIAL OF THE SPECIMEN	16
3.2	GOUGE MATERIALS	17
3.3	PREPARATION OF SPECIMENS	18
3.4	INTRODUCTION OF ANISOTROPY	19
3.5	UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH TEST	19
<u>CHAPTER – 4</u>	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	21-37
<u>CHAPTER – 5</u>	CONCLUSIONS	38
	REFERENCES	39-41

ABSTRACT

Several massive, complicated and difficult to design structure are under construction or planning stages under very complex geological condition in India and around the world. Even small variation in appraisal and design can cost millions. Hence initial development of understanding under control condition is very important and desirable for characteristic and prediction of behavior. It is essential to have a clear understanding of strength and deformation behavior of jointed mass for realistic analysis and rational designing of engineering structure. Rock, like soil, is sufficiently distinct from other engineering materials that the process of design in rock is very complex. In rock structures, the applied loads are often less significant than the forces deriving from redistribution of initial stresses. Hence, the determination of material strength requires as much judgement as measurement. A thorough review of literature on different aspects of jointed rock mass indicate that the behavior of jointed rock mass is influenced by many factors such as location of joints, joint frequency, joint orientation and joint strength. In the present study, an effort has been made to find out the strength of jointed rock mass with different gouge material. The most important factors which govern the strength of rock mass are type of rocks, bedding planes, stress condition, presence of cracks and fissures, nature of joint surfaces and presence of minerals in bedding planes. As the in situ determination of jointed rock mass is costly and time consuming, attempts are being made to predict the strength of rock mass through model test under controlled laboratory condition.

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1	FACTORS AFFECTING THE STRENGTH OF ROCK	5
TABLE 2	INCLINATION PARAMETERS ACCORDING TO ORIENTATION	8
	OF THE JOINT	
TABLE 3	STRENGTH CLASSIFICATION OF JOINTED AND INTACT ROCK	15
	MASS	
TABLE 4	MODULUS RATIO CLASSIFICATION OF JOINTED AND INTACT	15
	ROCK	
TABLE 5	PROPERTIES OF PLASTER OF PARIS	17
TABLE 6	PROPERTIES OF ARALDITE	17
TABLE 7	PROPERTIES OF CEMENT(OPC 43 GRADE)	18
TABLE 8	UNIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST RESULTS FOR INTACT	21
	SPECIMENS	
TABLE 9	UNIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST RESULTS FOR JOINTED	22
	SPECIMENS WITH ARALDITE AT $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$	
TABLE 10	UNIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST RESULTS FOR JOINTED	23
	SPECIMENS WITH ARALDITE AT $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$	
TABLE 11	UNIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST RESULTS FOR JOINTED	24
	SPECIMENS WITH ARALDITE AT $\alpha = 45^{\circ}$	
TABLE 12	UNIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST RESULTS FOR JOINTED	25
	SPECIMENS WITH ARALDITE AT $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$	
TABLE 13	UNIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST RESULTS FOR JOINTED	26
	SPECIMENS WITH CEMENT AT $\alpha = 0^0$	
TABLE 14	UNIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST RESULTS FOR JOINTED	27
	SPECIMENS WITH CEMENT AT $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$	
TABLE 15	UNIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST RESULTS FOR JOINTED	28
	SPECIMENS WITH CEMENT AT $\alpha = 45^{\circ}$	
TABLE 16	UNIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST RESULTS FOR JOINTED	29
	SPECIMENS WITH CEMENT AT $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$	
TABLE 17	VALUES OF JOINT FACTOR AND STRENGTH RATIOS	34

LIST OF FIGURES

FIG 1	UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH Vs. JOINT FACTOR	12
FIG 2	ELASTIC MODULUS RATIO Vs JOINT FACTOR	13
FIG 3	MODES OF FAILURE IN ROCKS	14
FIG 4	STRESSES IN A UCS SAMPLE	20
FIG 5	LOADING ON THE SPECIMEN	20
FIG 6	VARIATION OF UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF	21
	INTACT SPECIMENS OF DIFFERENT SIZES	
FIG 7	VARIATION OF UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF	22
	JOINTED SPECIMENS WITH ARALDITE AT $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$	
FIG 8	VARIATION OF UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF	23
	JOINTED SPECIMENS WITH ARALDITE AT $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$	
FIG 9	VARIATION OF UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF	24
	JOINTED SPECIMENS WITH ARALDITE AT $\alpha = 45^{\circ}$	
FIG 10	VARIATION OF UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF	25
	JOINTED SPECIMENS WITH ARALDITE AT $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$	
FIG 11	VARIATION OF UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF	26
	JOINTED SPECIMENS WITH CEMENT AT $\alpha = 0^0$	
FIG 12	VARIATION OF UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF	27
	JOINTED SPECIMENS WITH CEMENT AT $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$	
FIG 13	VARIATION OF UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF	28
	JOINTED SPECIMENS WITH CEMENT AT $\alpha = 45^{\circ}$	
FIG 14	VARIATION OF UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF	29
	JOINTED SPECIMENS WITH CEMENT AT $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$	
FIG 15	COMPARISON OF UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF	30
	JOINTED SPECIMENS WITH DIFFERENT GOUGE MATERIALS	
	(DIA. 63.5 mm)	
FIG 16	COMPARISON OF UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF	30
	JOINTED SPECIMENS WITH DIFFERENT GOUGE MATERIALS	
	(DIA. 90 mm)	
	1	

FIG 17	COMPARISON OF UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF	31
	JOINTED SPECIMENS WITH DIFFERENT GOUGE MATERIALS	
	(DIA. 114 mm)	
FIG 18	COMPARISON OF UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF	31
	INTACT AND JOINTED SPECIMENS AT $\alpha = 0^0$	
FIG 19	COMPARISON OF UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF	32
	INTACT AND JOINTED SPECIMENS AT $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$	
FIG 20	COMPARISON OF UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF	32
	INTACT AND JOINTED SPECIMENS AT $\alpha = 45^{\circ}$	
FIG 21	COMPARISON OF UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF	33
	INTACT AND JOINTED SPECIMENS AT $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$	
FIG 22	VARIATION OF STRENGTH RATIO OF JOINTED SPECIMENS TO	35
	INTACT SPECIMENS WITH JOINT FACTOR IN CASE OF	
	ARALDITE (DIA. 63.5 mm)	
FIG 23	VARIATION OF STRENGTH RATIO OF JOINTED SPECIMENS TO	35
	INTACT SPECIMENS WITH JOINT FACTOR IN CASE OF	
	CEMENT (DIA. 63.5 mm)	
FIG 24	VARIATION OF STRENGTH RATIO OF JOINTED SPECIMENS TO	36
	INTACT SPECIMENS WITH JOINT FACTOR IN CASE OF	
	ARALDITE (DIA. 90 mm)	
FIG 25	VARIATION OF STRENGTH RATIO OF JOINTED SPECIMENS TO	36
	INTACT SPECIMENS WITH JOINT FACTOR IN CASE OF	
	CEMENT (DIA. 90 mm)	
FIG 26	VARIATION OF STRENGTH RATIO OF JOINTED SPECIMENS TO	37
	INTACT SPECIMENS WITH JOINT FACTOR IN CASE OF	
	ARALDITE (DIA. 114 mm)	
FIG 27	VARIATION OF STRENGTH RATIO OF JOINTED SPECIMENS TO	37
	INTACT SPECIMENS WITH JOINT FACTOR IN CASE OF	
	CEMENT (DIA. 114 mm)	
Ī		1

NOTATIONS

- $J_n = Number of joints per meter length.$
- **n** = Joint inclination parameter.
- r = Roughness parameter.
- β = Orientation of joint.
- **i** = Inclination of the asperity
- σ_{cj} = Uniaxial compressive strength of jointed rock.
- σ_{ci} = Uniaxial compressive strength of intact rock
- σ_{cr} = Uniaxial compressive strength ratio
- E_j = Tangent modulus of jointed rock
- E_i = Tangent modulus of intact rock
- E_r = Elastic modulus ratio
- τ = Shear strength
- Φ = Angle of friction
- **UCS** = Uniaxial compressive strength
 - **IS** = **Indian** standard
- **ISRM** = International society for rock mechanics.