Dissertation On

"A method for detection of Groundwater using Cuckoo Search"

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement For the award of Degree of

MASTER OF ENGINEERING (Computer Technology and Application)

> **Submitted By Bidisha Das** (University Roll no. 8544)

Under the guidance of Dr. Daya Gupta (Head of Department) **Department of Computer Engineering**



Department of Computer Engineering Delhi College of Engineering Bawana Road, Delhi (University of Delhi) 2009-2011

CERTIFICATE



DELHI COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Govt. of National Capital Territory of Delhi) BAWANA ROAD, DELHI - 110042

| Data | | |
|-------|--|--|
| Date: | | |

This is to certify that the thesis entitled "A method for detection of Groundwater using Cuckoo Search" submitted by Ms. Bidisha Das of Delhi College of Engineering in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering in Computer Technology & **Application** is a record of the student's work carried out by her under my supervision and guidance. This is beneficial work in the field of Geoscience using recent technologies of Bio-inspired technique. The matter embodied in this thesis has not been submitted for the award of any degree or diploma.

> (Dr. Daya Gupta) H.O.D & PROJECT GUIDE

(Dept. of Computer Engineering) Delhi College of Engineering, Bawana Road, Delhi – 110042.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I address my sincere thanks to Almighty God for giving me the inner strength to complete my dissertation. He was always there protecting and saving me.

It is a great pleasure to have the opportunity to extend my heartiest felt gratitude to everybody who helped me throughout the course of this project. It is distinct pleasure to express my deep sense of gratitude and indebtedness to my learned supervisors Dr. Daya Gupta, Dr. V.K.Panchal and Dr. Vartika Singh for their invaluable guidance, encouragement and patient reviews.

This project has been a dedicated effort towards development of a highly autonomous artificial intelligence, which primarily would not have been possible at the first place without the apt guidance of the Head of Computer Science Department, respected Dr. Daya Gupta. Her motivation and encouragement during the project made me go further than expected in the development process and the redaction of this current report.

This project has been accomplished in collaboration with DTRL lab at DRDO. And hence, I would also like to present my heartiest felt gratitude to Dr. V.K.Panchal, Add. Director & Scientist 'G', Defence Terrain & Research Lab-DRDO who provided me the Invaluable Satellite Data for the experimental study. I would like to thank him for his invaluable guidance & support throughout the completion of this work.

This dedication would not be complete without expressing my gratitude towards all staff members and my dear classmates for their interest and curiosity towards my project, where definitely to talk about bio-inspired technique for problem solving can sound curious. Also, I owe a special thanks to the crowd who are active in the field of Natural Computation. Last but not the least, I would love to thank my family and friends, who directly and indirectly have given me moral support and for their relentless advice throughout the completion of this work.

> (BIDISHA DAS) **Master of Engineering** (Computer Technology & Application) Dept. of Computer Engineering **DELHI COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING** BAWANA ROAD, DELHI – 110042

Abstract

"Biology and computer science – life and computation – are related. I am confident that at their interface great discoveries await those who seek them." (L.Adleman, [1])

Natural Computation is a field that draws inspiration from Nature to solve problems that is hardly solved by our traditional system. Nature has lots of simple rules and premises which can be applied to new and complex environments quickly and efficiently. It solves computationally unsolvable problems and even problems that require good quality of solutions in exponentially large search space.

Our project mainly emphasized groundwater detection methodology using a new technique of Natural Computation i.e. Cuckoo Search but even we have applied Membrane Computing in Image Classification. Membrane Computing is a technique that directly comes under Natural Computation. Thus we have covered two different fields of Natural Computation in different application areas of geosciences.

"If the wars of the twentieth century were fought over oil, the wars of this century will be fought over water" [The World Bank]. Groundwater is located beneath the ground surface which is precious natural resources. Geoscientist had to know the location of groundwater for extraction of water. Thus we have applied cuckoo search in the detection of groundwater in various landforms. Geologists provided us with values of landforms; soil, lineament and other geology features and our algorithm detected the presence of groundwater in such situation. Thus we have formulated different values of these characteristics as cases and then detected the potential of groundwater of new cases depending on the previous values of our cases.

In this review we have even applied membrane computing in image classification. Image processing has been a wide field of application in remote sensing. Remote sensors capture images of earth and this remotely sensed image is used by scientist and in various laboratories for identifying different terrain features. Thus natural computation had been used for extracting the features contained in an image. Thus the work includes natural computation in image classification.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CERTIFICATE | ii |
|---|----------------|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iii |
| ABSTRACT | iv |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | v |
| LIST OF FIGURES | viii |
| LIST OF TABLES | xi |
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Motivation. 1.2 Related Work. 1.3 Problem Statement. 1.4 Scope of the work. 1.5 Organization of thesis. | 4 6 7 |
| 2. The omnipresence of CBR and CS | 10 |
| 2.1 Case based reasoning | |
| 2.2 Components and features of case based reasoning.2.3 Case based reasoning life cycle.2.4 Application of CBR. | 13 |
| 2.4.1 CBR using nearest neighbour 2.4.2 CBR using induction. 2.4.3 CBR using Fuzzy logic. | 14 15 15 |
| 2.4.4 CBR using Database technology. 2.5 Cuckoo search. 2.5.1 Cuckoo's behaviour. 2.5.2 Rules in Cuckoo search. | 17 17 |
| 2.5.2 Rules in Cuckoo search. 2.5.3 Differences of CS with PSO and GA | 19 20 |

| 3. Applying Image Classification in Remote Sensing | 24 |
|---|--------|
| 3.1 Introduction | 24 |
| 3.2 Image Classification. | |
| 3.3 Traditional image classification methods | |
| 3.3.1 Parallelopiped classification | |
| 3.3.2 Minimum Distance to Mean classification | |
| 3.3.3 Gaussian maximum likelihood classification | 28 |
| 3.4 Soft Computing techniques | 28 |
| 3.4.1 Rough set theory | 29 |
| 3.4.2 Semantic web based classification | 30 |
| 3.4.3 Swarm Intelligence technique | 31 |
| 3.4.3.1 Hybrid ACO/PSO | |
| 3.4.3.2 BBO | 32 |
| 3.4.4 Recently Used Technique: Membrane Computing | 33 |
| 3.4.4.1 Membranous structure | 33 |
| 3.4.4.2 The formation of rules | 36 |
| 3.4.4.3 Maximizing Parallelism | 37 |
| 3.4.4.4 The formal definition of MC | |
| 3.4.4.5 Performance analysis of MC | 38 |
| 3.4.4.5.1 Performance | 39 |
| 3.4.4.5.2 Flexibility | 39 |
| 3.4.4.5.3 Scalability | 39 |
| 4. Combining CS with CBR for detection of groundwater possibi | lity40 |
| 4.1 Introduction. | 40 |
| 4.2 Dataset | |
| 4.3 Integration of CBR | |
| 4.4 Algorithm of CS in groundwater potentiality | |
| 4.4.1 The detailed working of the algorithm | |
| 4.4.2 Flowchart of the working model of CS | |
| 4.5 Usage of Propositional logic | |
| 4.6 Unified Modelling Language of Groundwater detection | 57 |
| 5. Applying Membrane Computing in Image classification | 60 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 60 |
| 5.2 Dataset used | |
| 5.3 Brief description of the image that validates our algorithm | 63 |
| 5.4 Topographic facets extraction interpreted in MC terms | 65 |
| 5.5 Formulation of evolution rules | 68 |

| 5.6 Algorithm of MC in Image Classification | 72 |
|--|------|
| 5.7 Description of Mathematical formula used in MC feature extraction | |
| 5.8 Structural Design of Universal Classifier | |
| | |
| 6. Results and Discussion | 83 |
| 6.1 CC, results and discussion | 02 |
| 6.1 CS: results and discussion. | |
| 6.1.1 User Interface. | |
| 6.1.2 Results. | |
| 6.1.3 Graphical representation of CS in Groundwater potentiality 6.2 MC Classification: Results and discussion | |
| | |
| 6.3 Accuracy Assessment of the proposed algorithm | |
| 6.3.1.1 Producer's Accuracy | |
| 6.3.1.2 User's Accuracy | |
| 6.3.2 KHAT statistics | |
| 6.4 Comparative study with other classifiers | |
| | |
| 7. Publication from thesis | 108 |
| 7.1 Details of conference | 109 |
| 8. Conclusion and Future Scope | 110 |
| 0. 0010101011 unit 1 uture 200 per | •••• |
| 8.1 Conclusions | 110 |
| 8.2 Future Scope. | |
| 9. References | 114 |
| Appendix A: Abbreviations | 118 |
| Appendix B: An Introduction to MATLAB | 119 |
| Appendix C: An Introduction to ERDAS Software | 122 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 2.1 CBR system. | 11 |
|--|----------|
| Figure 2.2 Two major components of CBR. | 11 |
| Figure 2.3 CBR life cycle. | 13 |
| Figure 2.4 A fuzzy preference function. | 16 |
| Figure 2.5 The landscape of Michaelwicz's function. | 20 |
| Figure 2.6 Search paths of nests using CS. The final locations of the nests are marked | d with ◊ |
| in the figure | 21 |
| Figure 3.1 Image Classification Process. | 26 |
| Figure 3.2 Framework for semantic classifier. | 30 |
| Figure 3.3 Diagrammatic representation of SI classifier | 31 |
| Figure 3.4 A membrane structure. | 34 |
| Figure 3.5 A tree describing the membrane structure | 35 |
| Figure 4.1 Cases from the case base. | 45 |
| Figure 4.2 Weight of each attribute. | 47 |
| Figure 4.3 Geology attribute values. | 48 |
| Figure 4.4 Landform attribute values. | 49 |
| Figure 4.5 Soil attribute values. | 49 |
| Figure 4.6 Landuse attribute values. | 50 |
| Figure 4.7 Slope attribute values. | 50 |
| Figure 4.8 Lineament attribute values. | 51 |
| Figure 4.9 Current Cuckoo searches for the best nest among quality solution | 52 |
| Figure 4.10 Detailed architecture of CS in groundwater potential | 54 |

| Figure 4.11 Use case diagram. | 57 |
|---|--------------|
| Figure 4.12 State diagram. | 58 |
| Figure 4.13 Interaction Diagram. | 59 |
| Figure 5.1 7 bands satellite image of Alwar region in Rajasthan | 62 |
| Figure 5.2 8 bands satellite image of Saharanpur region in Uttar Pradesh | 63 |
| Figure 5.3 Membranous structure of Alwar region. | 66 |
| Figure 5.4 Evolution rule applied to Alwar region. | 69 |
| Figure 5.5 Flowchart of MC applied in Image classification. | 75 |
| Figure 5.6 MATLAB window containing the code for universal classifier | 77 |
| Figure 5.7 Structural design of Universal classifier. | 78 |
| Figure 5.8 Graph plot of the standard deviation of each land cover feature v/s ea | ach of the 7 |
| bands | 80 |
| Figure 5.9 Band selector of Alwar image | 81 |
| Figure 6.1 Validation dataset of groundwater | 84 |
| Figure 6.2 First window appear upon execution of the software | 85 |
| Figure 6.3 Window with attribute values. | 85 |
| Figure 6.4 Window after values entered by user. | 86 |
| Figure 6.5 Output display changes. | 87 |
| Figure 6.6 Purpose of reset button. | 87 |
| Figure 6.7 Exit button feature. | 88 |
| Figure 6.8 Query input by user. | 88 |
| Figure 6.9 Plot of objective function of each nest. | 90 |
| Figure 6.10 Plot of best solution. | 91 |
| Figure 6.11 Images of Alwar region. | 92 |
| Figure 6.12 Images of Saharanpur region. | 93 |

| Figure 6.13 Density of each feature in Alwar region |)4 |
|--|-----|
| Figure 6.14 Plot of terrain features of Alwar region | 96 |
| Figure 6.15 Comparison study between data given by expert and result obtained through ou | ır |
| Classifier | .97 |
| Figure 6.16 Conflict portion of the image | 103 |
| Figure 6.17 Mixture spectral signature | 103 |
| Figure 6.18 Identified heterogeneity and assigning proper feature | 04 |
| Figure 6.19 A view of traditional classifier | 105 |
| Figure 6.20 Classified Alwar image after applying various soft computing techniques10 | 07 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1 Comparison of CS with PSO and GA | 23 |
|--|----|
| Table 4.1 Six attributes used in the dataset | 42 |
| Table 4.2 Ranking of attribute | 42 |
| Table 6.1 Query table | 86 |
| Table 6.2 Error matrix when MC is applied to Alwar region | 95 |
| Table 6.3 Error matrix when MC is applied to Saharanpur region | 96 |
| Table 6.4 Producer's accuracy of Alwar area | 98 |
| Table 6.5 Producer's accuracy of Saharanpur area | 98 |
| Table 6.6 User's accuracy of Alwar region | 99 |
| Table 6.7 User's accuracy of Saharanpur region | 99 |