V. RAVICHANDRAN and S. SIVAPRASAD KUMAR (*)

On a class of analytic functions involving Carlson-Shaffer linear operator (**)

1 - Introduction

Let \mathcal{C}_0 be the class of analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
 $(z \in \Delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}).$

Let \mathcal{C} be the class of all analytic functions p(z) in Δ with p(0) = 1. Let the function $\varphi(a, c; z)$ be given by

$$\varphi(a, c; z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} z^{n+1} \quad (c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots; z \in \Delta),$$

where $(x)_n$ is the *Pochhammer symbol* defined by

$$(x)_n := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & n=0 \ ; \\ \\ x(x+1)(x+2)\dots(x+n-1), & n\in \mathbb{N} := \{1,\,2,\,3,\,\dots\}. \end{array} \right.$$

Corresponding to the function $\varphi(a, c; z)$, Carlson and Shaffer [1] introduced a li-

^(*) V. Ravichandran, School of Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM Penang, Malaysia; e-mail: vravi@svce.ac.in; S. Sivaprasad Kumar, Department of Applied Mathematics, Delhi College of Engineering, Delhi - 110 042, India; e-mail: sivpk71@yahoo.com

^(**) Received June 11th 2003 and in revised form September 28th 2004. AMS classification 30 C 80, 30 C 45.

If

near operator L(a, c), which is defined by the following Hadamard product convolution):

$$L(a, c) f(z) := \varphi(a, c; z) * f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} a_n z^{n+1}.$$

We note that

$$L(a, a) f(z) = f(z), \quad L(2, 1) f(z) = zf'(z), \quad L(n+1, 1) f(z) = D^n f(z),$$

where $D^n f(z)$ is the Ruscheweyh derivative of f(z).

Over the past few decades, several authors have obtained criteria for univalence and starlikeness involving the functionals $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$ and $1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}$ or $\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^nf(z)}$ and $\frac{D^{n+2}f(z)}{D^{n+1}f(z)}$. See Singh [7] and the references therein. Recently Patel and Sahoo [6] have studied certain classes defined by the Carlson-Shaffer linear operator L(a,c). Liu and Owa [2] studied the operator for a class of multivalent functions. In this paper, we obtain sufficient conditions involving th $\frac{L(a+1,c)f(z)}{L(a,c)f(z)}$ and $\frac{L(a+2,c)f(z)}{L(a+1,c)f(z)}$ for certain analytic function f(z) to satisfy the subordination

$$\frac{L(a+1,c) f(z)}{L(a,c)f(z)} < q(z).$$

In our present investigation, we need the following Theorem of Miller and Mocanu to prove our main results:

Theorem 1.1. ([3], Theorem 3.4h, p. 132) Let q(z) be univalent in the unit disk Δ and ϑ and φ be analytic in a domain D containing $q(\Delta)$ with $\varphi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(\Delta)$. Set $Q(z) := zq'(z) \varphi(q(z))$, $h(z) := \vartheta(q(z)) + Q(z)$. Suppose that either

- (i) h(z) is convex, or
- (ii) Q(z) is starlike univalent in Δ . In addition, assume that

$$\Re \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} > 0 \qquad (z \in \Delta).$$

If p(z) is analytic in Δ , with p(0) = q(0), $p(\Delta) \in D$ and

(1.1)
$$\vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z) \varphi(p(z)) < \vartheta(q(z)) + zq'(z) \varphi(q(z)) = h(z),$$

then p(z) < q(z) and q(z) is the best dominant.

² - Main results

By making use of Theorem 1.1, we first prove the following:

Theorem 2.1. Let α , β and γ be complex numbers, $\gamma \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq -1$. Let $q(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ be convex univalent in Δ and

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)+\gamma}{\gamma}\,+\,\frac{2[\beta(\alpha+1)+\alpha\gamma]}{\gamma}\,q(z)+\left(1+\,\frac{zq^{\,\prime\prime}(z)}{q^{\,\prime}(z)}\,\right)\right\}>0\;.$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ and

(2.1)
$$\alpha \frac{L(a+1,c) f(z)}{L(a,c) f(z)} + \beta \left(\frac{L(a+1,c) f(z)}{L(a,c) f(z)} \right)^{2} + \gamma \frac{L(a+2,c) f(z)}{L(a,c) f(z)}$$

$$< \frac{1}{a+1} \left\{ \left[\alpha(a+1) + \gamma \right] q(z) + \left[\beta(a+1) + \alpha \gamma \right] q(z)^{2} + \gamma z q'(z) \right\},$$

then

$$\frac{L(a+1, c) f(z)}{L(a, c) f(z)} < q(z)$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

Proof. Define the function p(z) by

(2.2)
$$p(z) := \frac{L(a+1, c) f(z)}{L(a, c) f(z)}.$$

By taking logarithmic derivative of p(z) given by (2.2), we get

(2.3)
$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{z(L(a+1,c)f(z))'}{L(a+1,c)f(z)} - \frac{z(L(a,c)f(z))'}{L(a,c)f(z)} .$$

By using the identity:

$$z(L(a, c) f(z))' = aL(a+1, c) f(z) - (a-1) L(a, c) f(z)$$

and (2.2) in (2.3), we obtain

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = (a+1)\frac{L(a+2, c) f(z)}{L(a+1, c) f(z)} - ap(z) - 1$$

or

(2.4)
$$\frac{L(a+2,c) f(z)}{L(a+1,c) f(z)} = \frac{1}{a+1} \left(\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + ap(z) + 1 \right).$$

Therefore, it follows from (2.2) and (2.4) that

$$\alpha \frac{L(a+1, c) f(z)}{L(a, c) f(z)} + \beta \left(\frac{L(a+1, c) f(z)}{L(a, c) f(z)} \right)^{2} + \gamma \frac{L(a+2, c) f(z)}{L(a, c) f(z)}$$

$$= \alpha p(z) + \beta p(z)^{2} + \frac{\gamma}{a+1} (zp'(z) + ap^{2}(z) + p(z))$$

$$= \frac{1}{a+1} \left\{ [\alpha(a+1) + \gamma] p(z) + [\beta(a+1) + a\gamma] p(z)^{2} + \gamma zp'(z) \right\},$$

and hence the subordination (2.1) becomes

(2.5)
$$\left[\alpha(a+1) + \gamma \right] p(z) + \left[\beta(a+1) + \alpha \gamma \right] p(z)^2 + \gamma z p'(z)$$

$$< \left[\alpha(a+1) + \gamma \right] q(z) + \left[\beta(a+1) + \alpha \gamma \right] q(z)^2 + \gamma z q'(z) .$$

This subordination (2.5) is same as (1.1) when the functions ϑ and φ are defined by

$$\vartheta(w) := \left[\alpha(a+1) + \gamma \right] w + \left[\beta(a+1) + a\gamma \right] w^2 \text{ and } \varphi(w) := \gamma.$$

Note that $\varphi(w) \neq 0$ and $\vartheta(w)$, $\varphi(w)$ are analytic in C. Let the functions Q(z) and h(z) be defined by

$$\begin{split} Q(z) &:= z q'(z) \ \varphi(q(z)) = \gamma z q'(z), \\ h(z) &:= \vartheta(q(z)) + Q(z) \\ &= \left[\alpha(a+1) + \gamma \right] q(z) + \left[\beta(a+1) + a \gamma \right] q(z)^2 + \gamma z q'(z). \end{split}$$

By our hypothesis of the Theorem 2.1, we see that Q(z) is starlike and

$$\Re\left\{\frac{zh^{\,\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right\}=\Re\left\{\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)+\gamma}{\gamma}\,+\,\frac{2[\beta(\alpha+1)+\alpha\gamma]}{\gamma}\,q(z)+\left(1+\,\frac{zq^{\,\prime\prime}(z)}{q^{\,\prime}(z)}\,\right)\right\}>0\;.$$

Since ϑ and φ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.1, our Theorem 2.1 follows by an application of Theorem 1.1.

By taking a = n + 1 and c = 1 in Theorem 2.1, we have the following result:

Corollary 2.2. Let α , β and γ be complex numbers, $\gamma \neq 0$. Let $q(z) \in \Omega$ be convex univalent in Δ and

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\alpha(n+2)+\gamma}{\gamma} + \frac{2[\beta(n+2)+(n+1)\gamma]}{\gamma}q(z) + \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right)\right\} > 0.$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ satisfies

$$\begin{split} \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^{n}f(z)} \left[\alpha + \beta \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^{n}f(z)} + \gamma \frac{D^{n+2}f(z)}{D^{n+1}f(z)} \right] \\ < \frac{1}{n+2} \left\{ \left[\alpha(n+2) + \gamma \right] q(z) + \left[\beta(n+2) + (n+1) \gamma \right] q(z)^{2} + \gamma z q'(z) \right\}, \end{split}$$

then

$$\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^nf(z)} < q(z)$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

By taking a = c = 1 in Theorem 2.1, we have the following result:

Corollary 2.3. Let α , β and γ be complex numbers, $\gamma \neq 0$. Let $q(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ be convex univalent in Δ and

$$\Re\left\{\frac{2\alpha+\gamma}{\gamma}\ +\ \frac{2[2\beta+\gamma]}{\gamma}\,q(z) + \left(1+\,\frac{zq^{\,\prime\prime}(z)}{q^{\,\prime}(z)}\,\right)\right\} > 0\ .$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{O}_0$ satisfies

$$\begin{split} &\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left[\left(\alpha + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) + \beta \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] \\ &< \left(\alpha + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) q(z) + \left(\beta + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) q(z)^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} zq'(z) \,, \end{split}$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < q(z)$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

By choosing suitable parameters and the function q(z), we get the result of Padmanabhan [5]. Our next result is a generalization in a different direction:

Theorem 2.4. Let α , β and γ be complex numbers, $\beta \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq -1$. Let $0 \neq q(z) \in \mathcal{C}$ be convex univalent in Δ and

$$\Re\left\{1 + \frac{2[a\beta + \gamma(a+1)]}{\beta} q(z) - \frac{\gamma(a+1)}{\beta q(z)^2} + \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right)\right\} > 0.$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{O}_0$ satisfies

$$(2.6) \frac{a \frac{L(a,c)f(z)}{L(a+1,c)f(z)} + \frac{L(a+1,c)f(z)}{L(a,c)f(z)} \left(\beta \frac{L(a+2,c)f(z)}{L(a+1,c)f(z)} + \gamma \frac{L(a+1,c)f(z)}{L(a,c)f(z)}\right)}{\langle \frac{1}{a+1} \left\{\beta q(z) + [a\beta + \gamma(a+1)] q(z)^2 + \frac{\alpha(a+1)}{q(z)} + \beta z q'(z)\right\},}$$

then

$$\frac{L(a+1, c) f(z)}{L(a, c) f(z)} < q(z)$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

Proof. Define the function p(z) by (2.2). In view of (2.2) and (2.4), we get

$$a \frac{L(a,c) f(z)}{L(a+1,c) f(z)} + \frac{L(a+1,c) f(z)}{L(a,c) f(z)} \left(\beta \frac{L(a+2,c) f(z)}{L(a+1,c) f(z)} + \gamma \frac{L(a+1,c) f(z)}{L(a,c) f(z)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{a+1} \left\{ \beta p(z) + \left[a\beta + \gamma(a+1) \right] p(z)^2 + \frac{\alpha(a+1)}{p(z)} + \beta z p'(z) \right\},$$

a hence the subordination (2.6) becomes

(2.7)
$$\beta p(z) + [a\beta + \gamma(a+1)] p(z)^{2} + \frac{\alpha(a+1)}{p(z)} + \beta z p'(z) \\ < \beta q(z) + [a\beta + \gamma(a+1)] q(z)^{2} + \frac{\alpha(a+1)}{q(z)} + \beta z q'(z).$$

By defining the functions ϑ and φ by

$$\vartheta(w) := \beta w + \left[a\beta + \gamma(\alpha+1) \right] w^2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{w} \text{ and } \varphi(w) := \beta,$$

we see that the subordination (2.7) is same as (1.1). Note that $\varphi(w) \neq 0$ and $\vartheta(w)$, $\varphi(w)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$. The functions Q(z) and h(z) be defined by

$$Q(z) := zq'(z) \varphi(q(z)) = \beta zq'(z),$$

$$h(z) := \vartheta(q(z)) + Q(z) = \beta q(z) + [a\beta + \gamma(a+1)] q(z)^2 + \frac{\alpha(a+1)}{q(z)} + \beta z q'(z).$$

Clearly Q(z) is starlike and

$$\Re \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} = \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{2[a\beta + \gamma(a+1)]}{\beta} q(z) - \frac{\gamma(a+1)}{\beta q(z)^2} + \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) \right\} > 0.$$

Our Theorem 2.4 now follows by an application of Theorem 1.1.

By taking a=n+1 and c=1 in Theorem 2.4, we have the following result:

Corollary 2.5. Let α , β and γ be complex numbers, $\beta \neq 0$. Let $0 \neq q(z) \in \Omega$ be convex univalent in Δ and

$$\Re\left\{1 + \frac{2[\left(n+1\right)\beta + \gamma(n+2)]}{\beta}\,q(z) - \frac{\gamma(n+2)}{\beta q(z)^2} \, + \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\,\right)\right\} > 0\;.$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{O}_0$ satisfies

$$\alpha \frac{D^{n} f(z)}{D^{n+1} f(z)} + \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)} \left(\beta \frac{D^{n+2} f(z)}{D^{n+1} f(z)} + \gamma \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)} \right)$$

$$< \frac{1}{n+2} \left\{ \beta q(z) + \left[(n+1) \beta + (n+2) \gamma \right] q(z)^{2} + \alpha \frac{(n+2)}{q(z)} + \beta z q'(z) \right\},$$

then

$$\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} < q(z)$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

By taking a=c=1 and $2\alpha=\lambda$, $2\gamma=\delta$ in Theorem 2.4, we have the following result:

Corollary 2.6. Let α , β and γ be complex numbers, $\beta \neq 0$. Let $0 \neq q(z) \in \mathcal{C}$ be convex univalent in Δ and

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{2(\beta + \delta)}{\beta} \, q(z) - \frac{\delta}{\beta q(z)^2} \, + \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \, \right) \right\} > 0 \; .$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ satisfies

$$\begin{split} \lambda \, \frac{f(z)}{z f^{\,\prime}(z)} \, + \, \frac{z f^{\,\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \left[\beta + (\beta + \delta) \, \frac{z f^{\,\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \, + \beta \bigg(1 + \frac{z f^{\prime\prime}(z)}{f^{\,\prime}(z)} \, \bigg) \right] \\ < \beta q(z) + (\beta + \delta) \, q(z)^2 + \, \frac{\lambda}{q(z)} \, + \beta z q^{\,\prime}(z) \, , \end{split}$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < q(z)$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

Theorem 2.7. Let α and δ be complex numbers, $\delta \neq 0$. Let $q(z) \in \mathfrak{A}$ be univalent in Δ and $Q(z) = \delta z q(z) q'(z)$ be starlike in Δ . Further assume that

$$\Re\left\{\frac{2\alpha}{\delta} + \frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)}\right\} > 0.$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ satisfies

$$(2.8) \qquad \alpha \left(\frac{L(a+1,\,c)f(z)}{L(a,\,c)f(z)} \right)^2 + \frac{\delta z}{2} \left[\left(\frac{L(a+1,\,c)f(z)}{L(a,\,c)f(z)} \right)^2 \right]' < \alpha q(z)^2 + \delta z q(z) \; q'(z) \; ,$$

ther

$$\frac{L(a+1,\,c)f(z)}{L(a,\,c)f(z)} < q(z)$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

Proof. Define the function p(z) by (2.2) and the functions ϑ and φ by

$$\vartheta(w) := \alpha w^2$$
 and $\varphi := \delta w$.

Then the subordination (2.8) becomes (1.1) and our Theorem 2.7 follows by an application of Theorem 1.1.

By taking a = n + 1 and c = 1 in Theorem 2.7, we have the following result:

Corollary 2.8. Let α and δ be complex numbers, $\delta \neq 0$. Let $q(z) \in \Omega$ be univalent and $Q(z) := \delta z q(z) \ q'(z)$ be starlike in Δ . Further assume that

$$\Re\left\{\frac{2\alpha}{\delta} + \frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)}\right\} > 0.$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ satisfies

$$a \left(\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \right)^2 + \frac{\delta z}{2} \left[\left(\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \right)^2 \right]' < a q(z)^2 + \delta z q(z) \ q'(z),$$

then

$$\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} < q(z)$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

By taking a = c = 1 in Theorem 2.7, we have the following result:

Corollary 2.9. Let α and δ be complex numbers, $\delta \neq 0$. Let $q(z) \in \mathcal{C}$ be univalent and $Q(z) := \delta z q(z) \ q'(z)$ be starlike in Δ . Further assume that

$$\Re\left\{\frac{2\alpha}{\delta}\,+\,\frac{zQ^{\,\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right\}>0\;.$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_0$ satisfies

$$\alpha \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^2 + \frac{\delta z}{2} \left[\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^2 \right]' < \alpha q(z)^2 + \delta z q(z) \ q'(z) \ ,$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < q(z)$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

Theorem 2.10. Let α , β , γ and δ be complex numbers, $\delta \neq 0$. Let $0 \neq q(z) \in \mathfrak{A}$ be univalent in Δ and $Q(z) := \delta z q'(z)/q(z)$ be starlike in Δ . Further assume that

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\alpha(a+1)+a\delta}{\delta}q(z)+\frac{2\beta(a+1)}{\delta}q^2(z)-\frac{\gamma(a+1)}{\delta q(z)}+\frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)}\right\}>0.$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ satisfies

(2.9)
$$\alpha \frac{L(a+1,c)f(z)}{L(a,c)f(z)} + \beta \left(\frac{L(a+1,c)f(z)}{L(a,c)f(z)} \right)^2 + \frac{\gamma L(a,c)f(z)}{L(a+1,c)f(z)} + \delta \left(\frac{L(a+2,c)f(z)}{L(a+1,c)f(z)} \right)$$

$$< \frac{1}{a+1} \left\{ \left[\alpha(a+1) + a\delta \right] q(z) + \beta(a+1) q(z)^2 + \gamma \frac{(a+1)}{q(z)} + \delta \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \delta \right\}$$

then

$$\frac{L(a+1,\,c)f(z)}{L(a,\,c)f(z)} < q(z)$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

Proof. Define the function p(z) by (2.2) and the functions ϑ and φ by

$$\vartheta(w) := \left[\alpha(a+1) + a\delta \right] w + \beta(a+1) \ w^2 + \gamma \frac{(a+1)}{w} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi := \frac{\delta}{w} \ .$$

Then the subordination (2.9) becomes (1.1) and our Theorem 2.10 follows by an application of Theorem 1.1. ■

By taking a = n + 1 and c = 1 in Theorem 2.10, we have the following result:

Corollary 2.11. Let α , β , γ and δ be complex numbers and $\delta \neq 0$. Let $0 \neq q(z) \in \mathcal{C}$ be univalent and $Q(z) := \delta z q'(z)/q(z)$ be starlike in Δ . Further assume that

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\alpha(n+2) + (n+1)\,\delta}{\delta}\,q(z) + \,\frac{2\beta(n+2)}{\delta}\,q^{\,2}(z) - \,\frac{\gamma(n+2)}{\delta q(z)} \,+\,\frac{zQ^{\,\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right\} > 0\;.$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{O}_0$ satisfies

$$\alpha \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^{n}f(z)} + \beta \left(\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^{n}f(z)}\right)^{2} + \gamma \frac{D^{n}f(z)}{D^{n+1}f(z)} + \delta \left(\frac{D^{n+2}f(z)}{D^{n+1}f(z)}\right)$$

$$< \frac{1}{n+2} \left\{ \left[\alpha(n+2) + (n+1)\delta\right]q(z) + \beta(n+2)q(z)^{2} + \gamma \frac{(n+2)}{q(z)} + \delta \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \delta \right\}$$

then

$$\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} < q(z)$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

By taking a = c = 1 in Theorem 2.10, we have the following result:

Corollary 2.12. Let α , β , γ and δ be complex numbers, $\delta \neq 0$. Let $0 \neq q(z) \in \mathfrak{A}$ be univalent and $Q(z) := \delta z q'(z)/q(z)$ be starlike in Δ . Further assume that

$$\Re\left\{\frac{2\alpha+\delta}{\delta}q(z)+\frac{4\beta}{\delta}q^2(z)-\frac{2\gamma}{\delta q(z)}+\frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)}\right\}>0.$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ satisfies

$$\alpha \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \beta \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{2} + \gamma \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)$$

$$< \left(\alpha + \frac{\delta}{2}\right) q(z) + \beta q(z)^{2} + \frac{\gamma}{q(z)} + \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \frac{\delta}{2},$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < q(z)$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

Theorem 2.13. Let α , β and δ be complex numbers, $\delta \neq 0$. Let $q(z) \in \Omega$ be univalent in Δ and $Q(z) := \delta z q'(z)/q^2(z)$ be starlike in Δ . Further assume that

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\delta}\left(\alpha+1\right)q^{2}(z)+\frac{2\beta}{\delta}\left(\alpha+1\right)q^{3}(z)-\frac{\left[\gamma(\alpha+1)+\delta\right]}{\delta}+\frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)}\right\}>0\;.$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{O}_0$ satisfies

$$\begin{split} \alpha \, \frac{L(a+1,\,c)f(z)}{L(a,\,c)f(z)} \, + \, \beta \bigg(\, \frac{L(a+1,\,c)f(z)}{L(a,\,c)f(z)} \, \bigg)^2 \, + \, \gamma \, \frac{L(a,\,c)f(z)}{L(a+1,\,c)f(z)} \\ + \, \delta \, \frac{L(a+2,\,c)f(z)L(a,\,c)f(z)}{L(a+1,\,c)f(z)^2} \, < \, \alpha q(z) \, + \, \beta q(z)^2 \\ + \, \bigg(\gamma \, + \, \frac{\delta}{a+1} \, \bigg) \, \frac{1}{q(z)} \, + \, \frac{\delta}{a+1} \, \frac{zq^{\,\prime}(z)}{q^{\,2}(z)} \, + \, \frac{a\delta}{a+1} \, , \end{split}$$

then

$$\frac{L(a+1, c) f(z)}{L(a, c) f(z)} < q(z)$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.10 and therefore omitted. By taking a = n + 1 and c = 1 in Theorem 2.13, we have the following result:

Corollary 2.14. Let α , β and δ be complex numbers, $\delta \neq 0$. Let $q(z) \in \Omega$ be univalent and $Q(z) := \delta z q'(z)/q^2(z)$ be starlike in Δ . Further assume that

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \left(n+2 \right) \, q^2(z) + \, \frac{2\beta}{\delta} \left(n+2 \right) \, q^3(z) - \, \frac{\left[\gamma(n+2) + \delta \right]}{\delta} \, + \, \frac{z Q^{\, \prime}(z)}{Q(z)} \, \right\} > 0 \; .$$

 $I(z) \in \mathcal{C}_0$ satisfies

$$\alpha \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^{n}f(z)} + \beta \left(\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^{n}f(z)}\right)^{2} + \gamma \frac{D^{n}f(z)}{D^{n+1}f(z)} + \delta \frac{D^{n+2}f(z)D^{n}f(z)}{D^{n+1}f(z)^{2}}$$

$$< \alpha q(z) + \beta q(z)^{2} + \left(\gamma + \frac{\delta}{n+2}\right) \frac{1}{q(z)} + \left(\frac{\delta}{n+2}\right) \frac{zq'(z)}{q^{2}(z)} + \delta \frac{n+1}{n+2},$$

then

$$\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^nf(z)} < q(z)$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

By taking a = c = 1 in Theorem 2.13, we have the following result:

Corollary 2.15. Let α , β and δ be complex numbers, $\delta \neq 0$. Let $q(z) \in \Omega$ be univalent and $Q(z) := \delta z q'(z)/q^2(z)$ be starlike in Δ . Further assume that

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{2\alpha}{\delta} \, q^2(z) + \frac{4\beta}{\delta} \, q^3(z) - \frac{\left[2\gamma + \delta\right]}{\delta} + \frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)} \right\} > 0 \; .$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ satisfies

$$\alpha \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \beta \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^2 + \gamma \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} + \delta \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}}{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}}$$

$$< \alpha q(z) + \beta q(z)^2 + \left(\gamma + \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \frac{1}{q(z)} + \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{zq'(z)}{q^2(z)} + \frac{\delta}{2},$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < q(z)$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

For $\alpha=\beta=0$, $\gamma=-\delta/2$, $q(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$, the Corollary 2.15 reduces to a recent result of Obradovič and Tuneski [4], (Theorem 3, p. 62).

Acknowledgements. The authors are thankful to the referee for his sugstions. The research of V. Ravichandran is supported by a post-doctoral research fellowship from University Sains Malaysia.

References

- [1] B. C. Carlson and D. B. Shaffer, Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 15 (1984), 737-745.
- [2] J. -L. Liu and S. Owa, On a class of multivalent functions involving certain linear operator, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 33 (11) (2002), 1713-1722.
- [3] S. S. MILLER and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations. Theory and Applications, Pure and Applied Mathematics, a series in monographs and textbooks; No. 225, Marcel Dekker, New York 2000.
- [4] M. Obradovič and N. Tuneski, On the starlike criteria defined by Silverman, Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Rzeszowskiej Mat. 181 (24) (2000), 59-64.
- [5] K. S. Padmanabhan, On sufficient condition for starlikeness, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 32 (4) (2001), 543-550.
- [6] J. Patel and P. Sahoo, Properties of a class of analytic functions involving a linear operator, Demonstratio Math. 35 (3) (2002), 495-507.
- [7] V. Singh, On some criteria for univalence and starlikeness, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 34 (4) (2003), 569-577.

Abstract

In the present investigation, we obtain some sufficient conditions involving $\frac{L(a+1,c)f(z)}{L(a,c)f(z)}$ and $\frac{L(a+2,c)f(z)}{L(a+1,c)f(z)}$ for certain analytic function f(z) defined on the open unit disk in the complex plane to satisfy the subordination $\frac{L(a+1,c)f(z)}{L(a,c)f(z)} < q(z)$, where L(a,c) is the familiar Carlson-Shaffer linear operator.