## **CERTIFICATE**



DELHI COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY OF DELHI (Govt. of National Capital Territory of Delhi) BAWANA ROAD, DELHI - 110042

Date:		
Date:		

This is certified that the work contained in this dissertation entitled "DETECTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DEFECTS IN WELD JOINT BY USING ULTRASONIC NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING TECHNIQUE" by Mr. BRIJESH KUMAR is the requirement of the partial fulfillment for the award of degree of Master of Engineering (M.E.) in Production Engineering at Delhi College of Engineering. This work was completed under my direct supervision and guidance. He has completed his work with utmost sincerity and diligence. The work embodied in this major project has not been submitted for the award of any other degree to the best of my knowledge.

Prof. Dr. C.K. Dutta

Department of Mechanical Engineering Delhi College of Engineering DELHI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am thankful to the Almighty because without his blessings this work was not possible. It is a

great pleasure to have the opportunity to extent my heartfelt gratitude to everybody who helped

me throughout the course of this project.

It is distinct pleasure to express my deep sense of gratitude and indebtedness to my learned

supervisor Prof. Dr. C. K. Dutta for his invaluable guidance, encouragement and patient

reviews. His continuous inspiration has made me complete this dissertation. He kept on boosting

me time and again for putting an extra ounce of effort to realize his work.

I am also grateful to, Prof. S. Maji, HOD (Mechanical and Production Engineering), Delhi

College of Engineering, Dr. Reeta Wattal, Prof. S.K. Garg and all other faculty members for

providing necessary guidance and support from the department.

I am grateful to, Dr. Awdesh Kumar (Civil Engg. Deptt.) for his moral support during this

project.

I am also grateful to Mr. A.K Singh (M.D) and Mr. Mukhergy (Engg.) IRC Engineering Service

Private limited New Delhi for providing valuable training in Ultrasonic Non-destructive Testing

(ASNT Level -II).

I am also thankful to Mr. Vinay Kumar and Mr. Bhusan Nagpal, Welding Lab Incharge, for his

unconditional support and motivation during this work.

I am grateful to my parents, brother and sister and specially my Jeeju Awdesh Singh Gangwar

for their moral support all the time, they have been always around on the phone to cheer me up in

the odd times of this work.

I am also thankful to my classmates for their unconditional support and motivation during this

work.

**BRIJESH KUMAR** 

M.E. Production Engineering Delhi College of Engineering

College Roll No. 02/Pro/07

University Roll No: 12322

ii

#### CANDIDATE, S DECLARATION

I hereby certify that the work which is being presented in the thesis entitled "Detection and Characterization of the Defects in Weld Joint by Using Ultrasonic Non-Destructive Testing Technique" is the partial fulfillment of requirement for the award of degree of Master of Engineering submitted in the department of Mechanical Engineering at Delhi College of Engineering under University of Delhi, Delhi, is an authentic record of my own work carried out during a period from August 2008 to July 2009, under the supervision of Prof. Dr. C. K. Dutta (Department of Mechanical Engg.). The matter presented in this thesis has not been submitted in any other University/ Institute for the award of any degree.

#### BRIJESH KUMAR

M.E. Production Engineering Delhi College of Engineering College Roll No. 02/Pro/07 University Roll No. 12322

#### **Abstract**

In industrial production, the annual production of welded structure is about 45% of steel's, due to the inherent defects in welding technology and the characteristics of metal, there are always certain flaws in welding structure. In addition, welded structure is often used in more important equipment and structure. Therefore, nondestructive testing of welded structures is very necessary and important. The purpose of the non-destructive testing is to detect, locate and size the defect or discontinuities in materials. There are many types of non-destructive testing technique (radiography, ultrasonic, dye penetrant, eddy currents, magnetic particles, etc.), and each type offers advantages and disadvantages, depending on the material being inspected, type of discontinuity, medium in which the material is, etc. Thus, the choice of the most appropriate method depends on the desired application. In the detection of welding defects, it is universally acknowledged that ultrasonic testing is one of the most effective conventional nondestructive testing methods, and has obvious advantages compared with other methods.

Ultrasonic Testing (UT) uses high frequency sound energy to conduct examinations and make measurements. In this method a beam of ultrasonic waves is directed into the object to detect and locate internal defects or discontinuities. When the ultrasonic waves are directed into the object, they reflected not only at the interfaces but also by internal flaws. A receiver probe picks up the reflected ultrasonic wave and an analysis of this signal is done to locate flaws in the object under inspection. Ultrasonic testing has many advantages over other methods that make it especially well suited for weld inspection. It is Capable of detecting the most common weld defects (porosity, pinholes, lack of fusion, lack of penetration, concavity, mismatch, and internal cracking). It gives high accuracy and sensitivity for the detection of small defects. It is capable of inspecting welds without direct access to the weld itself. The UT is safe for both the process and operators.

**Key Words:** Non- Destructive Testing, Defect, Discontinuities, Ultrasonic, Probe.

### **Scope of the Study**

There is a high occurability of surface and internal defects in the weld zone due to numerous variables in welding process. The strength of the weld joint is depends not only on the presence or absence of the defects in weld zone but also their size, location and distribution. If the size of the weld defect is larger than the acceptable limit, the weld component must be rejected. Similarly, when the size of the defect is smaller than the acceptable limit but it located in high stress region, the weld component rejected again. Therefore, detecting various defects in the weld zone and quantitative evaluating are of great importance in terms of the structure's integrity and stability. The ultrasonic testing technique, which is widely used to detect internal defects of weld zone in many industry fields, plays an essential role as a volume testing method among other non-destructive testing methods. The principal goal for ultrasonic inspection of weld materials is the detection, location and classification of internal flaws and defects as quickly and as accurately as possible. In the present thesis ultrasonic testing of welds is briefly reviewed for evaluating the defect size and its location in welds.

## **Table of Contents**

Chapter: 1 Introduction of Non-destructive Testing (NDT)	
1.1 Introduction of NDT	1
1.2 Objective of NDT	1
1.3 Purpose of NDT	1
1.4 Rapid Growth and Acceptance of NDT	2
1.5 NDT Technology Selection	2
1.6 Application of NDT	
1.7 Common NDT Methods	3
Chapter: 2 Literature Review	
Literature Review	7
Chapter: 3 Introduction of Ultrasonic Testing Technique	
3.1 Introduction of Ultrasonic Testing	15
3.2 Principle of Ultrasonic Testing.	16
3.3 Advantages of Ultrasonic Testing.	17
3.4 Limitations of Ultrasonic Testing	17
3.5 Application of Ultrasonic Testing.	17
3.6 Present State of Ultrasonic Testing.	18
3.7 Physics of Ultrasonic Testing.	19
3.7.1 Ultrasonic Waves	19
3.7.2 Characteristic of Ultrasonic Waves	19
3.7.3 Types of Ultrasonic Waves	19
3.7.4 Properties of Ultrasonic Waves	21
3.7.5 Characteristics of Ultrasonic Beam.	26
3.7.6 The Speed of Sound in Solid Materials	28
Chapter: 4 Pulse Echo Ultrasonic Testing Technique	
4.1 Pulse Echo Testing Technique.	29
4.2 Pulse Echo testing Methods	
4.2.1 Contact Testing.	
4.2.2 Immersion Testing.	33
4.3 Other Ultrasonic Testing Technique.	
4.3.1 Through Transmission Testing.	
4.3.2 Resonance Testing.	
Chapter: 5 Equipments for Ultrasonic Testing	
5.1 Ultrasonic Probe.	36
5.1.1 Piezoelectric Effect	36
5.1.2 Types of Ultrasonic Probe	37
5.1.3 Characteristics of Ultrasonic Probe	
5.2 Acoustic Couplant.	40

5.3 Calibration and Reference Block	41
5.3.1 Calibration Block	41
5.3.2 Reference Block	42
5.4 Distance Amplitude Correction Curve	44
5.5 Ultrasonic Data Presentation.	45
Chapter: 6 Welding Defects	
6.1 Welding Defect	47
6.2 General Sources for Weld Defect	47
6.3 Types of Welding Defect	47
Chapter: 7 Ultrasonic Inspection of Weld Joint	
7.1 Inspection of Weld	50
7.2 Beam Path and Skip Distance of the Weld	51
7.3 Calibration of the Instrument	52
7.3.1 Measuring Probe Index	52
7.3.2 Measuring Probe Angle	52
7.3.3 Range Calibration.	53
7.4 Procedure for Making Distance Amplitude Correction Curve	58
7.5 Calculation of the probe Scanning Positions.	63
7.6 Determination of the Defect Location	64
7.7 Defect Sizing.	65
7.8 Selection of the Parameters for Weld Inspection	67
Chapter: 8 Testing of the Weld Joint	
8.1 Preparation of the Workpiece	69
8.2 Testing Setup	70
8.3 Testing of the Weld Joints	72
8.4 Results and Discussion.	80
8.5 Recommendations	81
8.6 Scope of Future Work	81
8.7 Ultrasonic Inspection of Other Joints	82
8.8 Codes, Standards, Specifications and Procedures for Ultrasonic Inspection	83
8.9 About ISNT and ASNT	85
References.	86

# **List of Figures**

Chapter: 1	
Figure 1.7a, Dye Penetrant Testing.	4
Figure 1.7b, Magnetic Particle Testing.	4
Figure 1.7c, Eddy Current Testing.	5
Figure 1.7d, Radiography Testing	6
Chapter: 3	
Figure 3.1, Ultrasonic Testing	15
Figure 3.2, Principle of Ultrasonic Testing	16
Figure 3.7.3a, Longitudinal Waves	20
Figure 3.7.3b, Transverse Waves	20
Figure 3.7.4a, Reflection and Refraction of Ultrasonic Waves	22
Figure 3.7.4b, Mode Conversion of Ultrasonic Waves	22
Figure 3.7.4c, Effect of Mode Conversion on Detectability of Flaw	23
Figure 3.7.4d, 1st and 2nd Critical Angles	24
Figure 3.7.4e, Usable Range for Angle Beam Probe in Steel	25
Figure 3.7.5a, Variation of Amplitude in Near Field and Far Field Region	26
Figure 3.7.5b, Beam Spread	27
Chapter: 4	
Figure 4.1a, Pulse Echo Testing Technique	29
Figure 4.1b, Effect of Flaw Distance on Reflected Ultrasonic Signal	30
Figure 4.1c, Influence of Shadow Effect on Ultrasonic Signal	30
Figure 4.1d, Effect of Defect Orientation on Ultrasonic Signal	31
Figure 4.1e, Influence of Defect Size on Ultrasonic Signal	31
Figure 4.2a, Normal Beam Testing.	32
Figure 4.2b, Angle Beam Testing	33
Figure 4.2c, Immersion Testing	33
Figure 4.3a, Through Transmission Testing	34
Figure 4.3b, Resonance Testing.	35
Chapter: 5	
Figure 5.1a, Piezoelectric Effect.	36
Figure 5.1b, TR Probe	38
Figure 5.1c, Flaw Echo Covered by Initial Pulse	39
Figure 5.1d, Probe Delay in Normal Beam and Angle Beam Testing	39
Figure 5.2 Acoustic Couplant Between Probe and Test Material	40
Figure 5.3a, IIW (V1) Calibration Block	41
Figure 5.3b, IIW (V2) Calibration Block	42
Figure 5.3c, Area Amplitude Block	43
Figure 5 3d Distance Amplitude Block	43

Figure 5.4, Distance Amplitude Correction Curve	44
Figure 5.5a, A-Scan Data Presentation	45
Figure 5.5b, B-Scan Data Presentation	45
Figure 5.5c, C-Scan Data Presentation	46
Chapter: 6	
Figure 6.3a, Different Types of Cracks in Weld	48
Figure 6.3b, Undercut in Weld	
Figure 6.3c, Lack of Fusion in Weld	48
Figure 6.3d, Incomplete Penetration in Weld	49
Figure 6.3e, Solid Inclusions in Weld	
Figure 6.3f, Porosity in Weld.	
Chapter: 7	
Figure 7.1, Inspection of Weld with Angle Beam Probe	50
Figure 7.2, Metal Beam Path and Skip Distance	51
Figure 7.3a, Measurement of Probe Index	
Figure 7.3b, Measurement of Probe Angle	
Figure 7.3c, Range Calibration with IIW (V1) Calibration Block having 100R F	
Figure 7.3d, Range Calibration with IIW (V1) Calibration Block having 25R and	-
Figure 7.3e, Range Calibration by Facing 25R Face	
Figure 7.3f, Range Calibration by Facing 50R Face	
Figure 7.3g, Divisions on CRT Screen.	
Figure 7.3h, Calibration of Range form 0-150mm by facing 25R Face	56
Figure 7.3i, Calibration of Range from 0-150mm by facing 50R Face	57
Figure 7.3j, Calibration of Range 0- 225mm facing 50R Face	
Figure 7.4a, Reference Block with Drilled Hole	
Figure 7.4b, Range Calibration from 0-200mm.	
Figure 7.4c, Reference Block with 3T/4 Hole Position	59
Figure 7.4d, Echo Position Corresponding to 3T/4 Hole Position	59
Figure 7.4e, Reference Block with T/2 Hole Position	
Figure 7.4f, Echo Position Corresponding to T/2 Hole Position	60
Figure 7.4g, Reference Block with T/4 Hole Position	
Figure 7.4h, Echo Position Corresponding to T/4 Hole Position	
Figure 7.4i, Resultant DAC curve	62
Figure 7.5a, Probe Scanning Between HSD and FSD	63
Figure 7.5b, Probe Scanning Between FSD and 1.5FSD	63
Figure 7.6, Calculation of Defect Location	64
Figure 7.7, 6dB Defect Sizing Method	
Chapter: 8	
Figure 8.1a, Synergic MIG Welding Machine Setup	69
Figure 8.1b, Details of Workpiece Preparation	
Figure 8.2. Ultrasonic Flaw Detector.	

Figure 8.3a, Measuring Probe Index	/2
Figure 8.3b, Measuring Probe Angle	.73
Figure 8.3c, Range Calibration from 0-200 mm	.74
Figure 8.3d, Test Piece with Probe Scanning Positions	.75
Figure 8.3e, 1st Defect Echo at CRT Screen	.76
Figure 8.3f, Defect Free Weld Zone	77
Figure 8.3g, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Defect Echo on CRT Screen	78
Figure 8.3h, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Defect Echo on CRT Screen	78
Figure 8.3i, Scanning Between FSD and 1.5FSD	.79
Figure 8.7, Ultrasonic Inspection of Other Weld Joints	82

Table 1: Ultrasonic Sound Velocity in Common Materials	68
Table2: Various Functions of USM22 flaw detector	
Table: 3 Test Parameters	72
Table: 4 ASTM Standards	84

### **List of Symbols**

- **B.** Metal Beam Path.
- C. Elastic Constant of the Material
- **D.** Diameter of the Probe.
- **d.** Depth of the Flaw.
- **Ei**. Incident Sound Energy.
- **Er.** Reflected Sound Energy.
- **Et.** Transmitted Sound Energy.
- **F.** Frequency of the Ultrasonic Waves.
- L. Length of the Workpiece.
- N. Near Field Length.
- Rc. Reflection Coefficient.
- **R.** Radius of the Calibration Block.
- **S.** Surface Distance from the Centre of the Probe.
- **Tc.** Transmission Coefficient.
- **T.** Thickness of the Workpiece.
- t. time
- V. Velocity of the Sound.
- VL. Longitudinal Wave Velocity.
- Vs. Shear Wave Velocity.
- **W.** Width of the Workpiece.
- **Z.** Acoustic Impedance.
- $\rho$ . Density of the material.
- **0.** Probe Angle.
- **λ.** Wavelength.
- **β.** Half Bevel Angle of the Weld